SN	Question	Remarks
1	Whether TRAI should prescribe any format for publishing tariff? Please support your answer with rationale.	Yes. It is very much required for protection of customer's right. It can be seen that TSPs published charges are monthly, but in Annexure II/III of your consultation paper 22/2019, period is mentioned as 28 days.
2	please give your views regarding desirability of publishing tariffs on various modes of communication viz., TSP website/Portal, App, SMS, USSD message, Facebook, WhatsApp, Twitter, Customer care centers, Sales outlets etc. If the answer to the question	I = I
3	publishing tariff at TSP's website conveys the relevant information to consumers in a simple	Many times, they do not tell customers that download speed is only for a first few GB data and then speed will reduce. As such separate format is proposed and given below at the end of questionnaire.
4	publish all the tariff offerings and vouchers in addition to the publishing of tariff plans, in	Yes. We have noticed that TSP do not give download speed limits and in vouchers it is mentioned as 20mbps/40mbps. However this speed is limited for initial 4/5 GB data and then reduces. This needs to be stopped.
5	introduce a tariff calculator tool to convey the effective cost of enrolment and continued subscription? If yes, what can be the essential features of such a tool? If the answer is in	If TSPs are offering certain free services for limited period, and after expiry of such period, plan being changed for subscribers for not ready to pay for those services, then Yes, such calculator is essential. Also TSPs must be asked to give their methodology of calculating data, which generally do not tally with the data usage shown by smart phone.
6	disclose clearly the implications of discontinuation of tariff plan after expiry of mandatory tariff protection period of six months on the provision of non-telecom services offered as a part of the bundle at the time of subscription to a particular plan? If	Yes. This may be needed when after expiry of mandatory period, customer is not willing to pay extra charge for those services, which makes the plan something else. However, better way is forbidding TSPs to change basic plan terms and allow subscribers to opt for not continuing additional free services without any financial burden for basic package he is interested.

	in negative, then please give reasons for not mandating such a disclosure.	
7	provide a declaration while reporting tariffs to TRAI and displaying tariffs through its various channels that there are no terms and conditions applicable to a tariff offering other	conditions are applicable to the tariff, other than disclosed here. Also there is a need to curb fine print T&C in such brochures. This will help customers in case of breach of condition.
8	Whether the service providers be required to publish details of all plans in the prescribed format including the plans not on offer for subscription but active otherwise? Please support your answer with rationale.	
9		Yes. TSPs should update information simultaneously at retail outlets/point of sale and Web portal. However, TRAI approval is must for such changes/or changes in conditions like data package, validity period, download speed as it leads to a new package.
10	formats are displayed on websites of the service providers in an effective manner? If no, should the manner of display on website may also be prescribed by the Authority? If it is felt that the manner of display on website	The tariffs published on web portal and given in Annexure II/III is different. Hence it is necessary to have better monitoring by TRAI. It is therefore better to have same format and same information at both the places. If feasible, format submitted to TRAI, should only be displayed on Web-portal and at retail shops/point of sale.
11	concept of unique id and requiring the service providers to link the tariff advertisements etc. with corresponding tariffs published in TRAI	
12	compliance mechanism is enough to deter	Without fine/penalties, no rule is complete, otherwise TSPs will get away with violations as there is no provisions. In case, violations

	regulations/directions. If no, please suggest further safeguards that may be introduced to ensure a robust monitoring and compliance mechanism.	1 1 1 1
13	"	This is basic framework. However, to protect interest of customers, cost and revenue data submitted by TSPs to TRAI, must be audited by CAG and also published in main papers as done by State electricity regulatory authority.

Proposed format:

Plan:Rs***/- Validity ** days/month

Free data: xx GB per day or yy GB for the period.

Download speed : ** Mbps for xx GB then ** mbps

Free voice calls

mobile to mobile of same TSP *****

mobile to mobiles on other network *****

mobile to land line: ****

UCN charges: **

FUP charges: **

Free insurance: **

Order a New Postpaid Sim

and get free subscription to...



1 year of Amazon Prime



Vodafone play



Zee5

- Individual Plans
- Family Plans

Benefits worth ₹20,000



REDX

999per month

Unlimited Data & 50% higher data speeds





View Details

This plan comes with a lock-in of 6 months and an exit fee of Rs.3000.

Benefits worths ₹ 2,497

Limited Offer

399per month



40GB data

©Complimentary mobile insurance, worth ₹999

Benefits worths ₹ 3,496

499per month

75GB data

Amazon prime subscription for 1 year, worth ₹999

© Complimentary mobile insurance, worth ₹999

Benefits worths ₹ 12,497

649per month

90GB data

Free iPhone Forever service, worth ₹10000



But in Annexure II, (Voda-Idea) and Annexure III (RJIO) of consultation paper 22/2019 by TRAI put on my gov, it is mentioned 28 days. Jio has given in fine print additional conditions like high speed allowance, FUP on non Jio calls, month means 28 days, etc. Though they are technically right after publishing T&C in fine print, which generally people may not read, don't you agree that month has different connotation? Are they paying salary to the employees on 28 days cycle? Is this called misinformation or cheating?