



TELECOM REGULATORY AUTHORITY OF INDIA

REPORT

ON

AUDIT & ASSESSMENT OF QUALITY OF SERVICE

OF

CELLULAR MOBILE TELEPHONE SERVICES

FOR

SOUTH ZONE

ANDHRA PRADESH

Report Period: April - Jun 2015

cs Datamation Research Services Pvt. Ltd

Plot No 361, 1st Floor, Patparganj Indl. Area,
Delhi-110 092

Contents

CHAPTER-1: INTRODUCTION	3
1.0 Objectives of the Audit and Assessment of Quality of Service	3
2.0 Scope of work to be undertaken.....	3
3.0 Quality Parameters to be audited in respect of the Basic (Wire line), Telephone Services, Broadband, and Mobile Telephone Services	3
4.0 Coverage, Sampling & Research Methodology for the Southern Zone (Andhra Pradesh).....	10
5.0 Procedure adopted for Quality and Assessment of the Services	11
CHAPTER-2: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	23
I. Preface.....	23
II. Findings from Quality of Service Audit (Operator wise for each parameter)	24
CHAPTER-3: AUDIT –PMR DATA VERIFICATION RESULTS	27
3.0 Cellular Mobile Telephone Service (Network Service Quality Parameter)	27
3.1 PMR Data Verification Results for Quarter 4	27
3.1.1 AP Circle (April'15).....	27
3.1.2 AP Circle (May'15).....	28
3.1.3 AP Circle (Jun'15.).....	29
3.1.4 Summarized PMR Data AP Circle (April – Jun'15)	30
Bookmark not defined.	
3.1.6 Comparison between the PMR & 3 Day Live data (Avg. of 3month) for Quarter4 (April – Jun.'15).....	31
Bookmark not defined.	
3.2 3 Days Live Test Audit Report	33
3.3 Operator Assisted Drive Test.....	34
3.4 CUSTOMER SERVICE QUALITY PARAMETERS.....	36
3.5 Redressal	38
3.5.1 Performance (live calling for billing complaints).....	38
3.5.2 Level 1 Live Calling	38
3.5.3 Live calling to call centre	39
3.6 Inter Operator Call Assessment	39
CAPTER-4: DETAILED FINDINGS, ANALYSIS AND GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION	41
4.0 Cellular Mobile Telephone Service	41
4.1 Customer Service Quality Parameters	41
4.2 Summarized PMR Data Results in Table & Graphical.....	45
4.3 Drive Test Measurements Audit Report.....	50
4.4 3 Days LIVE Test Summary and Graphical Representation.....	56

CHAPTER-1: INTRODUCTION

1.0 Objectives of the Audit and Assessment of Quality of Service:

Telecom Regulatory Authority of India has been entrusted important task of laying down the standards of quality of service to be provided by the service providers and ensuring that the quality of service is provided as per norms; and also TRAI is responsible for conducting the periodical audit of such services provided by the service providers so as to protect the interest of the consumers of telecommunications service. TRAI engaged Datamation for the Southern Zone (Andhra Pradesh circle) for the audit and assessment of Quality of Service of service provided for Basic (Wire line) Telephone Services, Broadband and Cellular Mobile Telephone Services by various Operators, as per the scope of work detailed in the tender document.

2.0. Scope of work to be undertaken:

The scope of work Audit and Assessment of Quality of Service of service providers as mandated by TRAI includes:

- (a) Preparation of Performance Monitoring reports (PMRs) and up- loading in the system.
- (b) Live measurements of the performance of Service Providers (SPs) against the benchmarks for three days during each audit.
- (c) Monthly audit based on one month data of the SPs.
- (d) Drive test of the RF networks.
- (e) Audit of the performance of call Centre's with respect to their accessibility and percentage of calls answered by the operators and random customer feedback by calling the customers to get feedback of the services provided by the service providers.
- (f) Transfer of data generated by the RF drive test / live measurements / PMR/ monthly audit to the server located at TRAI premises on real time basis.

3.0. Quality Parameters to be audited in respect of the Basic (Wire line), Telephone Services, Broadband, and Mobile Telephone Services:

Basic (Wire line Services): The parameters for Basic Telephone Service (Wire line) consist of various QoS indicators, which can be audited and assessed objectively, and include parameters like fault incidences, call completion rates / answer to seizure ratio, POI congestion and customer service parameters viz. mean time to repair faults, metering and billing credibility (post-paid and pre-paid), resolution of billing/charging complaints, period of applying credit/waiver/adjustment to customer's account, response time to the customer for assistance, termination/closure of service, time taken for refund of security deposit

after closures; provision of a telephone after registration of demand, shift of telephone connection, etc. This work was not carried out in the Q4.

Mobile Telephone Services: The parameters of Quality of Service for cellular mobile telephone services have been specified under the head (A) Network Service Quality Parameters (B) Customer Service Quality Parameters. The Network Service Quality Parameters include the parameters related to (i) Network Availability (ii) Connection Establishment, (iii) Connection Maintenance (iv) POI Congestion. The Customer Service Quality Parameters include metering and billing credibility (post-paid and pre-paid), resolution of billing/charging complaints, and period of applying credit/waiver/adjustment to customer's account, response time to the customer for assistance, termination/closure of service and time taken for refund of security deposit after closures. The parameters related to the Service coverage are to be audited and monitored during drive test. All of these parameters have been covered in the Q4.

Broadband Services: The parameters of Quality of Service for broadband services, specified in the regulation 3 of Quality of Service of Broadband Services Regulations, 2006, include service provisioning/activation time, fault repair and restoration time, billing performance, response time to customer for assistance, bandwidth utilization/throughput, service availability, packet loss and network latency.

Cellular Mobile Telephone Service:

S.N	Name of Parameter	Benchmark	Avg. over a Period
A	Network Service Quality Parameters:		
(i)	Network Availability		
	(a) BTSs Accumulated downtime (not available for service)	$\leq 2\%$	One Month
	(b) Worst affected BTSs due to downtime	$\leq 2\%$	One Month
(ii)	Connection Establishment (Accessibility)		
	(a) Call Set-up Success Rate(within licensee's own network)	$\geq 95\%$	One Month
	(b) SDCCH/ Paging Channel Congestion	$\leq 1\%$	One Month
	(c) TCH Congestion	$\leq 2\%$	One Month
(iii)	Connection maintenance (Retain ability)		
	(a) Call Drop Rate	$\leq 2\%$	One Month

	(b) Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate	$\leq 5\%$ up to 31.03.2011 $\leq 3\%$ From 01.04.2011	One Month
	(c) connections with good voice quality	$\geq 95\%$	One Month
(iv)	Point of Interconnection(POI) Congestion (on individual POI)	$\leq 0.5\%$	One Month
B	Customer Service Quality Parameters:		
(v)	Metering and billing credibility—post-Paid	Not more than 0.1% of bills issued should be disputed over a billing cycle	One Billing Cycle
(vi)	Metering and billing credibility—pre- paid	Not more than 1 complaint per 1000 customers i.e.0.1% complaints for metering, charging, credit, and validity	One Quarter
vii)	(a)Resolution of billing/ charging complaints	100% within 4 weeks	One Quarter
	(b) Period of applying credit/ waiver/ adjustment to customer's account from the date of resolution of complaints	within 1 week of resolution of complaint	One Quarter
(viii)	Response Time to the customer for assistance		
	(a) Accessibility of call centre/ customer care	$\geq 95\%$	One Quarter
	(b) Percentage of calls answered by the operators (voice to voice) within 90 seconds	$\geq 95\%$	One Quarter
(ix)	Termination/closure of service	≤ 7 days	One Quarter
(x)	Time taken for refund of deposits after closures	100% within 60 days	One Quarter

(ii) Basic Service (wire line):

S.N	Name of Parameter	Benchmark	Avg. over a Period
(i)	Fault incidences (No. of faults/100 subscribers/month)	≤ 5	One Quarter
(ii)	Fault repair by next working day	For urban areas: By next working day: $\geq 90\%$ and within 3 days: 100%. For rural and hilly areas: By next working day: $\geq 90\%$ and Within 5 days: 100%. Rent Rebate Faults pending for >3 days and ≤ 7 days : Rent rebate for 7 days. Faults pending for >7 days and ≤ 15 days: Rent rebate for 15 days. Faults pending for >15Days: rent rebate for one month.	One Quarter
(iii)	Mean Time To Repair (MTTR)	≤ 10 Hr	One Quarter
(iv)	(a) Call Completion Rate within a local network shall be better than	$\geq 55\%$	One Quarter
	or, (b) Answer to Seizure Ratio (ASR)	$\geq 75\%$	One Quarter
(v)	Point of Interconnection (POI) Congestion (on individual POI)	$\leq 0.5\%$	One month
(vi)	Metering and billing credibility–post paid	Not more than 0.1% of bills issued should be disputed over a billing cycle	One Billing Cycle
(vii)	Metering and billing credibility- pre-paid	Not more than 1 complaint per 1000 customers, i.e.,0.1% complaints for metering, charging, credit, and validity	One Quarter
(viii)	Resolution of billing/ charging Complaints	100% within 4 weeks	One Quarter
(ix)	Period of applying credit/ waiver/ adjustment to customer's account from the date of resolution of complaints	within 1 week of resolution of complaint	One Quarter
(x)	Response Time to the customer for assistance		
	(a) Accessibility of call centre/ customer care	$\geq 95\%$	One Quarter
	(b)Percentage of calls answered by the operators (voice to voice) within 60 seconds	$\geq 90\%$	One Quarter
(xi)	Termination/closure of service	≤ 7 days	One Quarter
(xii)	Time taken for refund of deposits after Closures	100% within 60 days.	One Quarter

(iii) Broadband Service:

S.N	Parameters	Benchmark
(i)	Service Provisioning/ Activation time	100% cases in =<15 working days (Subject to technical feasibility). In all cases where payment towards installation charge & security deposit is taken and the Broadband connection is not provided within 15 working days, a credit at the rate of Rs.10/ per day, subject to a maximum of installation charge or equivalent usage allowance shall be given to the customer, at the time of issue of first bill.
(ii)	Fault Repair/ Restoration Time	By next working day: > 90% and within 3 working days: 99% Rebate (a) Faults Pending for > 3 working days and < 7 working days: rebate equivalent to 7 days of minimum monthly charge or equivalent usage allowance (b) Faults Pending for > 7 working days and < 15 working days: rebate equivalent to 15 days of minimum monthly charge or equivalent usage allowance (c) Faults Pending for > 15 working days: rebate equivalent
(iii)	Billing Performance Billing complaints per 100 bills issued %age of Billing Complaints resolved Time taken for refund of deposits after closure	< 2% 100% within 4 weeks 100% within 60 days
(iv)	Response time to the customers for assistance	% age of calls answered by operator(Voice to Voice) Within 60 seconds > 60% Within 90 seconds > 80%

(v)	<p>Bandwidth Utilization/ Throughput:</p> <p>a) Bandwidth Utilization</p> <p>i) POP to ISP Gateway Node [Intra-network] Link(s)</p> <p>ii) ISP Gateway Node to IGSP / NIXI Node upstream Link(s) for International connectivity</p> <p>b) Broadband Connection Speed (download)</p>	<p><80% link(s)/route bandwidth utilization during peak hours (TCBH). If on any link(s)/route bandwidth utilization exceeds 90%, then network is considered to have congestion. For this additional provisioning of Bandwidth on immediate basis, but not later than one month, is mandated.</p> <p>Subscribed Broadband Connection Speed to be met >80% from ISP Node to User.</p>
(vi)	Service Availability / Uptime	<p>> 90% quarter ending June 2007;</p> <p>> 98% with effect from quarter ending September 2007 and onwards</p>
(vii)	Packet Loss	<1%
(viii)	<p>Network Latency(for wired broadband access)</p> <p>User reference point at POP / ISP Gateway Node to International Gateway (IGSP/NIXI)</p> <p>User reference point at ISP Gateway Node to International nearest NAP port abroad (Terrestrial)</p>	<p><120 msec</p> <p><350 msec</p>

User reference point at ISP Gateway Node to International nearest NAP port abroad (Satellite) <800 msec

Detailed Scope of Work implemented & Universe:

We have been undertaking audit and assessment of Quality of Service provided by every service provider (licensee) in each of the telecom circles/metro service areas under the respective Zone in the following manner:-

- a. In respect of Cellular Mobile Telephone service, all the service areas/circles in each Zone are to be audited in every quarter of the year i.e. a service area will be audited four times in a year.
- b. In respect of Basic service (wire line) and Broadband service, a service area/circle in the contracted zone is to be audited only once in a year.

We under took the audit work for the Mobile services as follows: -.

- (a) Generation of reports at service providers site as part of QoS monitoring reports i.e. quarterly Performance Monitoring Reports (PMRs) and monthly Point of Interconnect (POI) Congestion Reports for Basic and Cellular Mobile Services with reference to the records maintained by the service provider and the system logs for the period. We generated the quarterly PMR at site and uploaded it on real time basis on the server at TRAI, Delhi.

The PMR report formats and parameters were finalized and any modifications or additions of parameters were undertaken in consultation with TRAI. The scope covered all future PMR parameters as and when defined by TRAI during the duration of the contract. The PMRs were generated on monthly basis for the Network Service Quality Parameters of cellular mobile telephone services and on quarterly basis for Customer Service Quality Parameters of cellular mobile telephone services, basic (wire line) services and broadband services as per the parameters specified. The PMRs so generated were up-loaded on the server latest by 7th of the following month.

- (b) Verification of the performance of service providers against the Quality of Service benchmarks laid down by TRAI using live measurement for three days for the parameters for the services as specified during the month in which the audit and assessment is carried out. The results were uploaded live on the server;
- (c) Verification of the performance of service providers against the Quality of Service benchmarks, for the parameters and for the services as specified in clause 1.9, laid down by TRAI using the data for the entire month during which the live measurement as per clause (b) above is carried out; the results were uploaded live on the server;
- (d) Drive tests of the mobile networks of service providers; the results were uploaded live on the server. We carried out an analysis of the drive test and loaded the results giving such information and in such format as agreed by TRAI.
- (e) Audit of the performance of call centers with respect to their accessibility and percentage of calls answered by the operators, test calling and random customer feedback by calling the customers to get feedback of the services of the service providers was also carried out by Datamation. The Automatic Call Distribution (ACD) records were also verified for the calls answered by the operators within 60 seconds.

3.1 Sampling Universe:

The Telecom Licensed Service Areas / Circle for the purpose of audit and assessment are:

South Zone: Andhra Pradesh

The audit and assessment of Quality of Service has been conducted for BSNL, MTNL, private basic service

providers, unified access service providers, cellular mobile service providers and ISPs (providing broadband service) in various service areas for basic telephone service (wire line), cellular mobile telephone service and broadband service. We were required to conduct the audit and assessment of Quality of Service of Broadband Service only in respect of the service providers who are having broadband subscriber base of more than 10,000 subscribers in their licensed service area. The updated data in respect of licensees (service providers) who have commissioned service and their subscriber base/Mobile Switching Centre (MSCs)/BTS"/ Exchanges / Internet Service Providers Central Nodes (ISP Nodes) is supposed to be be intimated by TRAI from time to time and we carried out the audit and assessment of Quality of Service accordingly thereafter.

The audit and assessment of Quality of Service for all the service providers in a Telecom Circle/Metro Service Area/ Licensed Service Area were completed in the same quarterly period.

Generation of performance reports against QOS benchmarks:

4.0 Coverage, Sampling & Research Methodology for the Southern Zone (Andhra Pradesh):

Sample size for cellular mobile services:

100% Gate way MSCs (GMSC) and Mobile Switching Centre (MSC) of all the Cellular Mobile Service Provider (CMSP) or Unified Access Service Providers (UASP) were covered in specified circles/ service areas in respective Zone in each of the quarterly period.

Number of exchanges to be covered for Basic (Wire line) services: (Not covered in this Quarter)

The break-up of the total number of exchanges of BSNL, MTNL and private basic service operators circle/ service area-wise, including urban and rural exchanges, and the number of exchanges, both urban and rural, that shall be covered during the year (i.e. four quarters) for audit and assessment of the Quality of Service shall be obtained from TRAI. As per the break-up of number of exchanges to be covered in a year, 556 urban exchanges and 1508 rural exchanges, totaling 2064 exchanges are proposed to be covered. The exchanges shall evenly be spread over in about 10% of SDCAs to the extent possible with each service provider in specified circles/ service areas. A service area/circle in the contracted Zone shall be audited only once in a year.

Number of POPs to be covered for Broadband Services: (Not covered in this Quarter)

We propose to first visit the ISP"s Central Node in licensed service area and identify the total number of Point of Presence (POPs) in each service area. Thereafter, the sample for audit and assessment of Point of Presence shall be decided in such a way that minimum 5% (five percent) of the Points of Presence of ISP

spread over in 10% (ten percent) SDCAs in specified service area/telecom circle shall be covered. The POPs are proposed to be evenly spread over in the licensed service area. A service area/circle shall be audited only once in a year.

4.1 Primary Data Collection and Quality Control: The primary data was collected only as per the structured questionnaire and through field visits as per mode and protocol indicated and already approved by TRAI.

The primary data was collected by Datamation's RAN Engineers. The following measures, amongst others, were adopted to ensure good quality of data:

- Contents of questionnaire along with techniques and tools used for the survey and data collection after approval of TRAI were shared with all the trained / skilled investigating personnel at the beginning of the survey through orientation;
- Standardized data collection tool and guidelines were designed by the project team;
- Monitoring and supervision of field Engineers was done by team leader and field team leaders.

4.2 Secondary data collection and use: To achieve the set objectives of the survey, information from secondary sources was also used, including information supplied from TRAI and various other relevant media/sources.

Data processing, analysis and Report writing: after collection of data and field work, data processing was done by editing, validation of data for removing duplication or incomplete information, etc. and tabulation. Analysis of data was done as per the scope of work and deliverables. After completion of compilation of data and analysis, reports were compiled and submitted to TRAI which will include details on comparable parameters state wise.

5.0. Procedure adopted for Quality and Assessment of the Services:

The generation and verification of performance of service providers against QOS benchmarks involved measuring of specified reporting parameters, checking of complete records, analysis of procedure and method utilized by various service providers in measuring the parameters and method of averaging for the purpose of reporting. We included critical findings licensee-wise in each quarterly report.

Audit methods and procedures:

To measure each quality of service parameter defined by TRAI, the two main sources of data collection identified were:

- Audit of the MIS reports at exchanges (OMC or MSCs) or ISP Node of the service provider.
- Primary data collection and check back calls (live observations done during the visits)

The audit was conducted in each centre of study to generate various types of data. Thus, for data collection, following activities were undertaken during the appraisal exercise.

Collection of MIS data of OMC or MSC or ISP Node:

For this TRAI has suggested to the service providers to maintain the QoS source data in a proper format. From the source data, we generated the quarterly/monthly performance monitoring reports (PMR). Methodology adopted was checked against instructions and standards to see if the measurements adhere to specifications.

Live Measurements and Live Data Collation:

During the audit and assessment, following activities were undertaken for live measurements and live data collection.

a) Audit and Assessment of complaint redressal and provisioning of new broadband Connections: (Not conducted this Quarter)

Telephonic interviews are proposed to be conducted among a sample of subscribers of telephone –

- In basic service (wireline) for those customers who reported a fault complaint, billing dispute
- In case of Mobile operators, who have had a recent billing dispute
- In case of Broadband service for those who requested for a new connection reported a fault complaint, billing dispute, complaint of Broadband connection speed (download).

Data shall be obtained on:

- Occurrence of fault complaints
- Clearance of fault within stipulated time
- Incidence of billing disputes
- Clearance of billing complaints within stipulated time
- Attendance to requests for closure/ termination of service

Sampling Procedure & quality control: In order to get a correct and meaningful result from audit it is important to ensure that the right sampling procedure is followed. Equally important is the process of ensuring that quality control parameters are put in place. Care shall be taken to distribute the sample to obtain a random list. The distribution of sample sizes shall be evenly distributed. The sampling procedure for various activities to be carried is given below:

Sample for telephonic interview for billing complaints:

The sample size for telephonic interview of billing complaints in each audit shall be 100 subscribers or the total number of complaints, whichever is less per service provider for each service in a licensed service area. All the complaints booked shall be treated as the total population for selection of samples.

Sample for telephonic interview for new connection for Broadband Service:

The sampling frame shall be for Point of Presence /ISP Node of Broadband Service Provider. Here, the total sample size (10% of the applicants in the previous month or 100 whichever is less for every service provider) has been randomly selected from the records /registers to make check back calls.

Sample for telephonic interview for service complaints/ requests:

The operator is required to provide the details of the service complaints/ requests for the month previous to the audit month for Cellular Mobile Telephone Services, Basic (wireline) Services and Broadband Services. For broadband services, complaints related to download speed are proposed to be covered. From the list of these complaints /requests (10% or 100 per service provider per license service area, whichever is less) sample has been drawn randomly to make check back calls. A notice of minimum³ (three) weeks was provided to the service provider by us for arranging and supplying the data required for audit of exchanges, ISP nodes and MSCs to be covered.

b) Audit and Assessment of Call Centre/ customer care promptness and live measurement through test calls:

Test calls were made to assess the availability and efficiency of Level 1 services and complaint centre accessibility. The telephone /SIM Cards/Instruments for testing purposes were provided by the concerned service provider(s) in whose network the audit and assessment of Quality of Service is carried out. The details regarding test calls are:

(a) Testing of Level 1 Services:

Level 1 Services include police, fire, ambulance (Emergency services) in the case of both Mobile service providers and basic telephone service providers. Test calls were made from all the levels working in a particular SDCA visited. Again, the total sample sizes (150 per license service area per service per quarter) were equally distributed among the different SDCAs visited, and the distribution among the active levels is in proportion to the capacity of each level in that SDCA.

(b) Inter-operator call assessment:

Inter Network calls i.e. calls made from one operator to another within the same license were made to judge the ease of connectivity amongst the operators.

A sample of 2 X50 test calls per service provider within the licensed service area was made at different point of time to the free test numbers of another service provider (50 calls between 1000 to 1300

Hrs and 50 calls between 1500 to 1700 hrs for basic service and between 1100 to 1400 hrs and between 1600 to 1900 hrs) for cellular mobile service. The results of these calls were compiled and reported

separately for each service provider service area-wise.

The telephone/ SIM Cards /Instruments for testing purposes were provided by the concerned service provider(s) in whose network the audit and assessment of Quality of Service is carried out.

(c) Testing of Complaint Centre Accessibility and response time:

(i) Basic Telephone Service (wire line) and Cellular Mobile Telephone Service:

We measured the performance of both basic telephone service (wire line) & cellular mobile services against the benchmarks of the following Quality of Service parameters:-

Response time to the customer for assistance:

(a) Accessibility of call centre/customer care $\geq 95\%$

(b) % age of calls answered by the operator (voice to voice):

Within 60 seconds = 90%

The procedure for assessment of the performance in respect of above parameters was made using the traffic data at the point of termination to call centre from mobile/ basic telephone network. Traffic at the tandem or trunk or gateway MSC out going circuits to IVR of call centre was measured as per the traffic counter available in the respective switch to assess the accessibility of call centre.

In the case of parameter % of call answered by the operator voice to voice, assessment of IVR traffic data and CRM traffic data was analyzed during the time consistent busy hour (TCBH) of call centre. In addition, we also made the test calls and correlated the results with the traffic data analysis.

The procedure (IVR menu and sub-menu) and ease of accessing the operator within the benchmark laid down by TRAI, both post-paid and pre-paid customers were assessed and reported. In this regard para 3.11.4 of the Explanatory Memorandum to the Standards of Quality of Service of Basic Telephone Service (Wire line) and Cellular Mobile Telephone Service Regulations, 2009 and provisions of the Telecom Consumers Complaint Redressal Regulations, 2012 was followed.

(ii) Broadband service:

We propose to measure the performance of Broadband service against the benchmarks of the following Quality of Service parameters:-

Response time to the customer for assistance: % age of calls answered by operator (voice to voice):

Within 60 seconds = 60%

Within 90 seconds = 80%

Measurement:

A sample of 2 X 50 calls per service provider is proposed to be made at different point of time to the call centre of each service provider from each licensed service area (50 calls between 1000 to 1300 Hrs. and 50 calls between 1500 to 1700 hrs.) for basic telephone service (wireline) and similarly, 2X50 calls to the call centre of each service provider (50 calls between 1100 to 1400 hrs. and 50 calls between 1600 to 1900 hrs.) for cellular mobile telephone service from each licensed service area to ensure statistical significance. The time to connect to IVR shall be noted for all these calls. This is the wait time before an automatic answer machine (IVR) message begins. We then propose to measure the gap between the time when the last digit of the number is dialed, and the time when the IVR message begins. Similarly the wait time before a Call Centre agent responds to a test call shall be measured for all such test calls.

Verification and audit of records:

We propose to verify and audit the following records in respect of Basic Telephone Service (wire line):

- Call Centre records for complaints
- FRS details for fault complaints, fault repair and MTTR (Mean Time to Repair)
- Commercial records for billing details, billing disputes and redressal there of
- Past traffic reports at local and TAX (Trunk Automatic exchanges) for Call
- Completion Rate/*Answer to Seizure Ratio* calculations
- Checking of customer complaint handling through live test at the call centre
- 100 Nos. of service complaints / requests and 100 Nos. of billing related complaints shall be taken up by the auditing agency for verifying their redressal as per the record of the service provider.

We verified and audited the following records in respect of Cellular Mobile Telephone Service:

- Call Centre records for complaints
- Network maintenance and planning department (OMC and Drive Test) records for QOS parameters
- System / Network outage details, Call Set-up Success Rate, Blocked Call Rate, Call Drop Rate, worst affected cells having more than 3 % TCH drop rate, Voice Quality, Service Coverage and POI congestion
- Commercial and customer care records for billing disputes, redressal and refunds of payment
- Checking of customer complaint handling through live test at the call centre
- 100 Nos. of service complaints/ requests and 100 Nos. of billing related complaints w e r e taken up by the auditing Agency for verifying their redressal as per the record of the service provider.

We propose to verify & audit records maintained by Broadband service providers relating to:

- Call Centre records for complaints
- FRS details for fault complaints, fault repair
- Records for requests for new connection, and supplementary services
- Commercial records for billing details, billing disputes and redressal there of
- Checking of customer complaint handling through live test at the call centre
- Service complaints/ requests and billing related complaints shall be taken up by the auditing agency for verifying their redressal as per the record of the service provider.
- Bandwidth Utilization/ Throughput
- Broadband connection speed
- Service Availability/Uptime
- Packet Loss and Latency measurements

Network performance parameters like Bandwidth Utilization/Throughput including Broadband Connection Speed, Packet Loss and Latency shall be measured on sample basis.

The detailed methodology for each Quality of Service parameter as given in the Explanatory Memorandum to the Quality of Service of Broadband Service Regulations, 2006 dated 6th October 2006 (11 of 2006) was followed. The signature of the Nodal Officer nominated by the service provider for coordination with the audit agency were taken on all the formats containing the verified data for all the parameters

We shall take live measurements and collection of one month data or audit by actual visit to such NOC, OMC, call centre and billing centre.

Procedure followed for cellular mobile telephone service data generation, verification and audit

S.N	Parameter	Procedure
i)	Network availability (a) BTS accumulated down time (b) Worst affected BTSs due to down time	The fault Alarm tracking details at the OMC (MSC) for the network outages (due to own network elements and infrastructure service provider end outages) were verified for arriving at the figures reported to TRAI. The cell wise data generated through counters/ MMC available in the switch for traffic measurements were verified.
ii)	Call Set-up Success Rate	Both for SDCCH and TCH congestions the data in MSCs was verified and compared with the data reported to TRAI in the Quarterly PMRs.
iii)	Blocked Call Rate	This parameter was measured by the system generated (defined counters are available in the system for traffic measurement) cell wise dropped call data and total calls established figures to arrive at the authenticity and accuracy of the benchmark reported to TRAI.
iv)	Call Drop Rate	This parameter was measured from the system generated data on a scale from 0 to7 for GSM and FER value for CDMA technology. We also collected the relevant city wise drive log files for all drive tests conducted to verify the parameter.
v)	% Connections with good voice quality	

vi)	Service coverage	We also collected the relevant city wise drive log files for all drive tests conducted to verify the parameter.
vii)	POI Congestion	The traffic data generated through Gateway MSCs (GMSCs) and reported to TRAI in POI congestion reports were verified
vii)	Metering and Billing Credibility	We audited the billing complaints details on complaints received during the quarter and used for arriving at the figures reported to TRAI.
ix)	% of Billing Complaints resolved	Audit of billing complaints resolved and the total complaints received were carried out to check the figures reported to TRAI. At the same time, we also conducted random live back checks of complaints.
x)	Period of applying credit/waiver/adjustment to customers account from the date of resolution	We checked the billing complaints for which credit/waiver/adjustment were made on resolution of the complaints within one week.
xi)	Termination/closure of service	The data was verified for termination /closure of the services within 7 days from the date of request.
xii)	Time taken for refund of deposits after closure	We verified that 100 % deposits should be refunded within 60 days. At the same time, we also conducted a random live back check so fall such subscribers entitled for a refund.

Drive Tests:

In the case of Cellular Mobile Service, the exercise of QoS assessment shall not be limited to generation, verification and audit of data, but we shall also verify the parameters by conducting extensive drive test in all service areas, as per the details given below, to assess the network performance.

There are two types of drive tests that were conducted. One is operator assisted drive test and the other is independent drive tests. The details of these drive tests are given below:

Operator Assisted Drive Tests: The primary aims of these drive tests is to cross-check/ validate the data on Quality of Service being provided by the telecom service providers to TRAI. These drive tests were conducted in such a manner so as to enable identification of network element deficiency and initiation of improvements. The operator assistance was desired to ensure a greater audit transparency.

In each licensed service area drive test in three cities, having high population, medium population and low population, were conducted every month for each service provider covering a minimum distance of 100 kilometers in city area and adjoining areas including important indoor sites. These cities were proposed and finalized by TRAI. The results of analysis of data generated during such drive tests were uploaded, immediately on completion of the drive test, to the central server at TRAI.

Independent Drive Tests: We shall do independent drive tests in Q2 spread across the contracted zone limited to a maximum of 10 drive tests per licensed service area, in a year. The location for these drive tests was selected based on the subscriber complaints being received by TRAI or as decided by TRAI. Independent drive test covered a city and adjoining areas covering a minimum distance of 100 kilometers including congested areas and important indoor sites. The results of analysis of data generated during such drive tests will be uploaded, immediately on completion of the drive test, to the central server at TRAI.

Drive Test Methodology:

For drive test following procedure was adopted:

- i. We obtained a coverage map from the service provider before starting the drive test and studied the coverage detail in terms of the signal strength. Based on the signal strength as depicted in the coverage map, the drive test was done to check the following parameters:
 - a. Coverage-Signal strength
 - b. Voice quality
 - c. Call setup success rate
 - d. Blocked calls e. Call drop rate
- ii. The drive test covered selected cities and adjoining towns/ rural areas where the service provider has commenced service, including congested areas and indoor sites.
- iii. The drive test covered the routes including expressways, major and secondary roads / streets, Commercial, residential areas/Commercials estates to check the in-building network performance.
- iv. The drive tests of each mobile network were conducted between 10 am and 8 pm on weekdays.
- v. The Vehicle used in the drive tests was equipped with the test tool that automatically generates calls on the mobile telephone networks.
- vi. The speed of the vehicle was kept at around 30-50 km/hour (around 30 km/hr in case of geographically small cities)
- vii. The holding period of each test call was 120 seconds.
- viii. A test call was generated 10 seconds after the previous test call is completed.
- ix. Measurement using engineering handsets was not done
- x. The dedicated originating and terminating mobile unit's antenna was placed at the same height and in the same vehicle. Moreover, the height of the antenna was uniform in case of all service providers.

6.0 Reporting Formats:

We developed data formats including executive summary, critical findings and detailed data analysis thereof for reporting the results of such audit and assessment. We submitted to TRAI sample design and sample reporting formats within 4 weeks of signing of the agreement. All these reports were enabled as online reports with sufficient flexibility of querying against various parameters.

6.1 Deliverables:

Quarterly Reports: We are submitting quarterly reports in the formats approved by TRAI for the purpose. Five copies of such report during the quarterly period were submitted to TRAI within the time period given in the delivery schedule.

The report also contained the Audit results of service areas including executive summary, critical findings and comparison of performance of the service providers on various qualities of service parameters for which Audit work was undertaken during the quarter.

Reports were submitted for approval within one month of the completion of each quarter for audit and assessment of QoS parameters for basic service, cellular mobile service and broadband service. The report contained the findings on audit and assessment of QOS provided by service providers carried out in accordance with Clause 2 above. The report contained performance of each service provider for each licensed service area against the Quality of Service parameters. The report also contained a comparative analysis of performance of all the service providers in a licensed service area. The report also contained an Executive Summary and critical finding along with detailed analysis.

A separate report shall also be submitted for each company/group of companies at the end of the year. The report contained an Executive Summary and critical finding along with detailed analysis to share with the service provider and take further follow-up action.

7.0. Work Plan and Delivery Schedule:

S. No.	Deliverable	Period
	Date of award of work as per the contract say (D)	
1.	Submission of all sample design and reporting formats by the Audit agency	D+4 weeks
2.	Submission of final design and reporting formats by the Audit agency incorporating modifications and corrections suggested by TRAI and its acceptance	D+8 weeks
3.	Commencement of audit and assessment of Quality of Service	Beginning of – the quarter following date of award of work (D) or any subsequent quarter, as decided by TRAI
4.	Submission of first quarterly report	One month from the end of the first Quarter
5.	Submission of second quarterly report	One month from the end of the second Quarter
6.	Submission of third quarterly report	One month from the end of the third Quarter
7.	Submission of fourth quarterly report	One month from the end of the fourth Quarter
8.	Commencement of audit and assessment of Quality of Service for the first quarter for the extended period	From the end of the fourth quarter or any later period as decided by TRAI
9.	Submission of first quarterly report for the extended period, if any	One month from the end of the first quarter of extended period
10.	Submission of second quarterly report for the extended period, if any	One month from the end of the second quarter of extended period
11.	Submission of third quarterly report for the extended period, if any	One month from the end of the third quarter of extended period
12.	Submission of fourth quarterly report for the extended period, if any	One month from the end of the fourth quarter of extended period

CHAPTER-2: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. Preface

This report presents the growth trends for the telecom services in India for the quarter ending Sept. 2014. This report provides a broad perspective on the Telecom Services to serve as a reference document for various stakeholders, research agencies and analysts. Under the Unified Access Service (UAS) Regime, the details of subscriber base under wireless services, both GSM & CDMA technologies have been combined.

This report highlights the findings for the audit & assessment of Quality of Service of Cellular Mobile Services, Wire line Services & Broadband Services in **South Circle** (Andhra Pradesh) in 4th quarter (April – Jun. 2015). The primary data collection and verification of records (PMR data verification – quarterly) maintained by various operators was undertaken during the period April – Jun. 2015.

Following are the various operators covered in Andhra Pradesh circle (South Zone) for Cellular Mobile (Wireless) services QoS audit & assessment. The Month of audit & TCBH information is also given below:

S.I.	Name of Service Provider	Month of Audit	TCBH Hour
GSM Operators			
1	Aircel Ltd	April- Jun.'15	1900-2000 Hrs
2	Airtel Ltd	April- Jun.'15	1900-2000 Hrs
3	BSNL	April- Jun.'15	1900-2000 Hrs
4	Idea	April- Jun.'15	1900-2000 Hrs
5	Reliance Communication (GSM)	April- Jun.'15	1900-2000 Hrs
6	Tata Communications (GSM)	April- Jun.'15	1900-2000 Hrs
7	Uninor	April- Jun.'15	1900-2000 Hrs
8	Vodafone	April- Jun.'15	1900-2000 Hrs
CDMA Operators			
9	Reliance Communication (CDMA)	April- Jun.'15	1900-2000 Hrs
10	Tata Communications (CDMA)	April- Jun.'15	1900-2000 Hrs

II. Findings from Quality of Service Audit (Operator wise for each parameter)

Verification of the Performance of Service Providers against the Quality of Service benchmarks laid down by TRAI using the data for the entire month during which the live measurement is carried out.



As per PMR Data Verification Results for-

- **Andhra Pradesh Circle (April'15)** - From the month Data Assessment, it is found that Aircel 2G and 3G and TATA GSM are not meeting the benchmark for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate.
- **Andhra Pradesh Circle (May'15):** From the month Data Assessment, it is found that Aircel (2G & 3G) and TATA GSM are not meeting the benchmark for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate and Airtel not provided PMR data
- **Andhra Pradesh Circle (Jun.'15):** From the month Data Assessment, it is found that Aircel (2G & 3G) not meeting the benchmark for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate.
- **Andhra Pradesh Circle(April – Jun.'15):-** From the month Data Assessment, it is found that Aircel (2G & 3G) and TATA GSM are not meeting the benchmark for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate.



As per 3 Days Live Test Audit Report (4th Quarter), Andhra Pradesh Circle:-

Verification of the Performance of Service Providers against the Quality of Service benchmarks laid down by TRAI using Live measurements for 3 days during the month in which the Audit and Assessment is carried out.

- Aircel (2G& 3G) and TATA GSM is not meeting the benchmark for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate in all 3days.
- Airtel is not submitting 3 days live data

➤ **As per Operator Assisted Drive Test:**

The Operator Assisted Drive Test was conducted for all the Operators. Route covered was about 100 Km depending on city areas within the speed limit of 30-40 km/hour. In all the cities Zones were selected for covering different density areas (High/Medium/Low).

❖ **Andhra Pradesh Circle:**

- All service providers are meeting the benchmark Voice Quality 0-4 w/o frequency hopping.
- Bsnl in Rajahmundry , Sangareddy and Rcom GSM operator in Mahabubnagr operators are not meeting the benchmark for Voice Quality (0-5 (with frequency hopping)).
- Uninor operator not meeting the benchmark in Sangareddy for **Call Setup Success Rate**.

➤ **Level 1 Live Calling (Emergency No.) Q4**

- Level 1 calling such as calling at emergency no. like Police, Fire, and Ambulance were made so as to check the service of such short codes. In different cities of Andhra Pradesh it was found to be functional.

➤ **Performance (live calling for billing complaints):**

- We have made live calling to customers as per their complaints details and we verified their complaint and we found that most of the complaints are resolved within the time line and all the operators are meeting the TRAI benchmarks.

➤ **Live calling to call centre:-**

A sample of live callings were taken for each operator and calls were made for assessing call center's responses within 90sec in which we found Airtel, Rcom, BSNL was not met the benchmark($\geq 95\%$).

➤ **Inter Operator Call Assessment**

- In the inter-operator call assessment test, calls were made from one operator to other operator so as to check congestion on both the operators' network. In such cases, the radio part, switch part and the POI in between the operators are involved and hence if any congestion is found in the

network, it may be due to any of these parts. The result shows that the congestion was not found with all the service providers.

➤ **CUSTOMER SERVICE QUALITY PARAMETERS**

❖ **4th Quarter data Assessment (Andhra Pradesh Circle)**

- According to the parameter metering/billing credibility post-paid we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter metering /billing credibility pre-paid we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter Resolution of billing/ charging complaints we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter Period of applying credit/waiver/adjustment to the customer's account from the date of resolutions of complaints we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except **Vodafone**
- According to the parameter Accessibility of call centre/Customer Care we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter % call answered by operators (voice to voice) within 90 sec we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except **Airtel, BSNL, Vodafone and Rcom (GSM & CDMA)**.
- According to the parameter no. of requests for Termination / Closure of service complied within 7 days during the quarter we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except **Vodafone**
- According to the parameter Time taken for refunds of deposits after closures after verification we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except **Vodafone**.

CHAPTER-3: AUDIT –PMR DATA VERIFICATION RESULTS

3.0 Cellular Mobile Telephone Service (Network Service Quality Parameter)

3.1 PMR Data Verification Results for Quarter 4

3.1.1 AP Circle (April'15):

Verification of the Performance of Service Providers against the Quality of Service benchmarks laid down by TRAI using the data for the entire month during which the live measurement is carried out.

AP Circle (April'15)																	
Month PMR Generation Data		Benchmark	Audit Period	Aircel	Aircel 3G	Airtel	Airtel 3G	BSNL	BSNL 3G	IDEA	IDEA 3G	Reliance	TATA	Uninor	Vodafone	Reliance	TATA
S/N	Name of Parameter			GSM Operators											CDMA		
Network Service Quality Parameter																	
Network Availability																	
1	BTS accumulated downtime	≤ 2%	One Month	0.12%	0.16%	0.02%	0.21%	1.68%	0.70%	0.06%	0.09%	0.20%	0.09%	0.12%	0.05%	0.18%	0.06%
	Worst affected BTS due to downtime	≤ 2%	One Month	0.50%	0.54%	0.00%	0.17%	1.98%	1.90%	0.04%	0.00%	0.26%	0.21%	0.15%	0.09%	0.35%	0.00%
Connection establishment (Accessibility)																	
2	Call Setup Success Rate	≥ 95%	One Month	99.02%	98.12%	99.34 %	99.16%	98.27%	97.80%	98.84%	99.01%	99.71%	98.90%	98.61%	99.74%	99.10 %	99.20%
	SDCCH/ Paging Channel Congestion	≤ 1%	One Month	0.08%	0.85%	0.07%	0.14%	0.62%	0.80%	0.86%	0.44%	0.06%	0.05%	0.14%	0.09%	0.00%	0.00%
	TCH congestion	≤ 2%	One Month	0.44%	1.04%	0.06%	0.00%	1.87%	1.80%	0.81%	0.55%	0.07%	0.15%	0.59%	0.26%	0.04%	0.02%
Connection Maintainability (Retain ability)																	
3	Call Drop Rate	≤ 2%	One Month	1.22%	0.66%	0.31%	0.33%	0.85%	0.70%	0.69%	0.49%	0.30%	0.66%	0.66%	0.45%	0.14%	0.32%
	Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate	≤ 3%	One Month	7.37%	6.96%	0.00%	1.25%	2.88%	2.80%	2.66%	2.44%	0.05%	4.10%	1.66%	2.61%	0.12%	1.36%
	% of Connections with good voice quality	≥ 95%	One Month	96.77%	99.78%	99.11 %	99.02%	98.00%	99.80%	97.63%	99.78%	98.82%	97.91%	98.60%	98.96%	99.70 %	98.26%
	Point of Interconnections (POI) congestion (on individual POI)	≤ 0.5%	One Month	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Finding & Critical Analysis:

- From the month Data Assessment, it is found that all the operators are meeting the Network Parameters except Aircel (2G & 3G) and TATA GSM for Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate.

3.1.2 AP Circle (May.'15):

Verification of the Performance of Service Providers against the Quality of Service benchmarks laid down by TRAI using the data for the entire month during which the live measurement is carried out.

AP Circle (May.'15)																	
Month PMR Generation Data		Bench mark	Audit Period	Aircel	Aircel 3G	Airtel	Airtel 3G	BSNL	BSNL 3G	IDEA	IDEA 3G	Reliance	TATA	Uninor	Vodafone	Reliance	TATA
S/N	Name of Parameter			GSM Operators										CDMA			
Network Service Quality Parameter																	
Network Availability																	
1	BTS accumulated downtime	≤ 2%	One Month	0.14%	0.11%	NP	NP	1.57%	0.70%	0.07%	0.06%	0.18%	0.06%	0.11%	0.05%	0.17%	0.04%
	Worst affected BTS due to downtime	≤ 2%	One Month	0.50%	0.23%	NP	NP	1.96%	1.80%	0.04%	0.05%	0.13%	0.21%	0.18%	0.10%	0.17%	0.08%
Connection establishment (Accessibility)																	
2	Call Setup Success Rate	≥ 95%	One Month	98.94%	98.63%	NP	NP	98.43%	97.80%	99.01%	99.11%	99.75%	98.80%	98.78%	99.77%	99.02%	99.18%
	SDCCH/ Paging Channel Congestion	≤ 1%	One Month	0.09%	0.75%	NP	NP	0.68%	0.80%	0.82%	0.30%	0.02%	0.37%	0.23%	0.12%	0.00%	NA
	TCH congestion	≤ 2%	One Month	0.43%	0.63%	NP	NP	1.49%	2.00%	0.83%	0.45%	0.06%	0.12%	0.40%	0.23%	0.05%	0.01%
Connection Maintainability (Retain ability)																	
3	Call Drop Rate	≤ 2%	One Month	1.05%	0.62%	NP	NP	0.84%	0.70%	0.73%	0.50%	0.29%	0.63%	0.46%	0.46%	0.16%	0.33%
	Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate	≤ 3%	One Month	6.10%	6.75%	NP	NP	2.87%	2.70%	2.84%	2.40%	0.03%	3.84%	1.01%	2.60%	0.10%	1.44%
	% of Connections with good voice quality	≥ 95%	One Month	96.72%	99.78%	NP	NP	99.00%	99.80%	97.59%	99.78%	98.95%	97.99%	98.63%	98.99%	99.70%	98.25%
	Point of Interconnections (POI) congestion (on individual POI)	≤ 0.5%	One Month	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Finding & Critical Analysis:

- From the month Data Assessment, it is found that all the operators are meeting the network parameters except Aircel (2G & 3G) and TATA GSM for Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate.

3.1.3 AP Circle (Jun.'15):

Verification of the Performance of Service Providers against the Quality of Service benchmarks laid down by TRAI using the data for the entire month during which the live measurement is carried out.

AP Circle (Jun.'15)																	
Month PMR Generation Data		Bench mark	Audit Period	Aircel	Aircel 3G	Airtel	Airtel 3G	BSNL	BSNL 3G	IDEA	IDEA 3G	Reliance	TATA	Uninor	Vodafone	Reliance	TATA
S/N	Name of Parameter			GSM Operators											CDMA		
Network Service Quality Parameter																	
Network Availability																	
1	BTS accumulated downtime	≤ 2%	One Month	0.25%	0.19%	0.02%	0.23%	1.98%	0.90%	0.06%	0.08%	NP	0.09%	0.19%	0.08%	NP	0.13%
	Worst affected BTS due to downtime	≤ 2%	One Month	0.70%	0.54%	0.00%	0.50%	1.97%	1.90%	0.08%	0.03%	NP	0.31%	0.36%	0.18%	NP	0.39%
Connection establishment (Accessibility)																	
2	Call Setup Success Rate	≥ 95%	One Month	98.74%	98.75%	99.38%	98.71%	98.24%	97.80%	99.08%	99.15%	NP	98.92%	98.64%	99.81%	NP	99.24%
	SDCCH/ Paging Channel Congestion	≤ 1%	One Month	0.08%	0.71%	0.06%	0.37%	0.64%	0.80%	0.88%	0.26%	NP	0.09%	0.17%	0.10%	NP	0.00%
	TCH congestion	≤ 2%	One Month	0.41%	0.53%	0.06%	0.00%	1.39%	1.90%	0.80%	0.39%	NP	0.19%	0.48%	0.19%	NP	0.01%
Connection Maintainability (Retain ability)																	
3	Call Drop Rate	≤ 2%	One Month	0.91%	0.66%	0.35%	0.38%	0.87%	0.70%	0.82%	0.52%	NP	0.66%	0.55%	0.51%	NP	0.34%
	Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate	≤ 3%	One Month	4.87%	7.30%	0.18%	1.74%	2.91%	2.70%	2.92%	2.83%	NP	1.42%	1.07%	2.71%	NP	0.24%
	% of Connections with good voice quality	≥ 95%	One Month	96.68%	99.78%	99.89%	99.00%	98.00%	99.80%	97.42%	99.77%	NP	97.88%	98.50%	98.98%	NP	98.25%
	Point of Interconnections (POI) congestion (on individual POI)	≤ 0.5%	One Month	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	NP	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	NP	0.00%

Finding & Critical Analysis:

- From the month Data Assessment, it is found that all the operators are meeting the Network Parameters except Aircel 2G and 3G for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate.

3.1.4 Summarized PMR Data AP Circle (April – Jun.'15)

Verification of the Performance of Service Providers against the Quality of Service benchmarks laid down by TRAI using the data for the entire Quarter during which the live measurement is carried out.

AP Circle (April - Jun.'15)																	
Month PMR Generation Data		Bench mark	Audit Period	Aircel	Aircel 3G	Airtel	Airtel 3G	BSNL	BSNL 3G	IDEA	IDEA 3G	Reliance	TATA	Uninor	Vodafone	Reliance	TATA
S/N	Name of Parameter			GSM Operators											CDMA		
Network Service Quality Parameter																	
Network Availability																	
1	BTS accumulated downtime	≤ 2%	One Qtr	0.17%	0.15%	0.02%	0.22%	1.74%	0.77%	0.06%	0.08%	0.19%	0.08%	0.14%	0.06%	0.18%	0.08%
	Worst affected BTS due to downtime	≤ 2%	One Qtr	0.57%	0.44%	0.00%	0.34%	1.97%	1.87%	0.05%	0.03%	0.20%	0.24%	0.23%	0.12%	0.26%	0.16%
Connection establishment (Accessibility)																	
2	Call Setup Success Rate	≥ 95%	One Qtr	98.90%	98.50%	99.36%	98.94%	98.31%	97.80%	98.98%	99.09%	99.73%	98.87%	98.68%	99.77%	99.06%	99.21%
	SDCCH/ Paging Channel Congestion	≤ 1%	One Qtr	0.08%	0.77%	0.07%	0.26%	0.65%	0.80%	0.85%	0.33%	0.04%	0.17%	0.18%	0.10%	0.00%	0.00%
	TCH congestion	≤ 2%	One Qtr	0.43%	0.73%	0.06%	0.00%	1.58%	1.90%	0.81%	0.46%	0.07%	0.15%	0.49%	0.23%	0.05%	0.01%
Connection Maintainability (Retain ability)																	
3	Call Drop Rate	≤ 2%	One Qtr	1.06%	0.65%	0.33%	0.36%	0.86%	0.70%	0.75%	0.50%	0.30%	0.65%	0.56%	0.47%	0.15%	0.33%
	Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate	≤ 3%	One Qtr	6.11%	7.00%	0.09%	1.50%	2.89%	2.73%	2.81%	2.56%	0.04%	3.12%	1.25%	2.64%	0.11%	1.01%
	% of Connections with good voice quality	≥ 95%	One Qtr	96.72%	99.78%	99.50%	99.01%	98.33%	99.80%	97.55%	99.78%	98.89%	97.93%	98.58%	98.98%	99.70%	98.25%
	Point of Interconnections (POI) congestion (on individual POI)	≤ 0.5%	One Qtr	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Finding & Critical Analysis:

- From the month Data Assessment, it is found that all the operators are meeting the Network Parameters except Aircel (2G & 3G) and TATA GSM for Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate.

3.1.5 Comparison between the PMR & 3 Day Live data (Avg. of 3month) for Quarter4 (April – Jun.'15)

The comparison has been done after averaging the data of 3months for both PMR (April – Jun.'15) and Live test of 3days (Avg. of 3 days). We have taken the data for comparison between PMR and Live test up to 1st decimal value except the benchmark $\geq 95\%$ in this we taken before decimal value.

Comparison of PMR & Live Data July- Sept.'14		Benchmark	Audit Period	Aircel	Aircel 3G	Airtel	Airtel 3G	BSNL	BSNL 3G	IDEA	IDEA 3G	Reliance	TATA	Uninor	Vodafone	Reliance	TATA
S/N	Name of Parameter			GSM Operators										CDMA			
Network Service Quality Parameter																	
Network Availability																	
1	BTS accumulated downtime	$\leq 2\%$	PMR	0.17%	0.15%	0.02%	0.22%	1.74%	0.77%	0.06%	0.08%	0.19%	0.08%	0.14%	0.06%	0.18%	0.08%
			LIVE	0.13%	0.06%	NP	NP	1.56%	0.99%	0.09%	0.03%	0.10%	0.06%	0.32%	0.04%	0.12%	0.05%
	Worst affected BTS due to downtime	$\leq 2\%$	PMR	0.057%	0.054%	0.000%	0.003%	0.009%	0.008%	0.000%	0.000%	0.002%	0.004%	0.002%	0.001%	0.002%	0.001%
			LIVE	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.13%	0.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Connection establishment (Accessibility)																	
2	Call Setup Success Rate	$\geq 95\%$	PMR	98.90%	98.50%	99.36%	98.94%	98.31%	97.80%	98.98%	99.09%	99.73%	98.87%	98.68%	99.77%	99.06%	99.21%
			LIVE	98.90%	98.82%	NP	NP	98.44%	98.01%	98.97%	99.20%	99.75%	98.93%	98.73%	99.77%	98.96%	99.13%
	SDCCH/ Paging Channel Congestion	$\leq 1\%$	PMR	0.08%	0.77%	0.07%	0.26%	0.65%	0.80%	0.85%	0.33%	0.04%	0.17%	0.18%	0.10%	0.00%	0.00%
			LIVE	0.09%	0.73%	NP	NP	0.57%	0.83%	0.49%	0.17%	0.02%	0.03%	0.14%	0.08%	0.00%	NA
TCH congestion	$\leq 2\%$	PMR	0.43%	0.73%	0.06%	0.00%	1.58%	1.90%	0.81%	0.46%	0.07%	0.15%	0.49%	0.23%	0.05%	0.01%	
		LIVE	0.46%	0.45%	NP	NP	1.28%	1.36%	0.86%	0.26%	0.06%	0.14%	0.42%	0.23%	0.04%	0.01%	
Connection Maintainability (Retain ability)																	
3	Call Drop Rate	$\leq 2\%$	PMR	1.06%	0.65%	0.33%	0.36%	0.86%	0.70%	0.75%	0.50%	0.30%	0.65%	0.56%	0.47%	0.15%	0.33%
			LIVE	0.98%	0.60%	NP	NP	0.87%	0.72%	0.76%	0.50%	0.28%	0.61%	0.49%	0.47%	0.16%	0.34%
	Worst affected cells having more than 3%	$\leq 3\%$	PMR	6.11%	7.00%	0.09%	1.50%	2.89%	2.73%	2.81%	2.56%	0.04%	3.12%	1.25%	2.64%	0.11%	1.01%
			LIVE	5.30%	6.49%	NP	NP	2.84%	2.72%	2.80%	2.38%	0.04%	3.71%	0.97%	2.76%	0.12%	1.33%
% of Connections with	$\geq 95\%$	PMR	96.72%	99.78%	99.50%	99.01%	98.33%	99.80%	97.55%	99.78%	98.89%	97.93%	98.58%	98.98%	99.70%	98.25%	

			LIVE	96.81%	99.79%	NP	N P	96.61%	99.84%	97.51%	99.77%	99.00%	97.97%	98.59%	98.97%	99.70%	98.25%
Point of Interconnections (POI) congestion (on individual POI)	≤ 0.5%	PMR	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		LIVE	0.00%	0.00%	NP	N P	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

3

1

Findings:-

- There are difference in **BTS accumulated downtime** in Airtel (2G& 3G), Aircel (2G & 3G), and in **Worst affected BTS due to downtime** there are difference in all operators Uninor,Vodafone, BSNL (2G & 3G), Reliance (GSM & CDMA) & TATA (GSM & CDMA) except Idea and Reliance.
- There are difference in **Call Setup Success Rate** in Airtel and BSNL3G, in SDCCH/ Paging Channel Congestion Idea 2G and 3G, TATA GSM and CDMA .in **TCH congestion** BSNL 3G and Idea 3G.
- In **Call Drop Rate** Aircel2G,Uninor in **Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop** (call drop) differences are in TATA (Gsm & Cdma) , Aircel (2G&3G) and Uninor. in **% of Connections with good voice quality** Rcom and BSNL (2G) has minor differences.

3.2 3 Days Live Test Audit Report(4th Quarter), AP Circle:

Verification of the Performance of Service Providers against the Quality of Service benchmarks laid down by TRAI using Live measurements for 3 days during the month in which the Audit and Assessment is carried out.

Andhra Pradesh Circle Q1 April- Jun.'14																		
3 days Live Test Audit Data			Date	Aircel	Aircel 3G	Airtel	Airtel 3G	BSNL	BSNL 3G	IDEA	IDEA 3G	Reliance	TATA	Uninor	Vodafone	Reliance	TATA	
S/N	PARAMETER	Bench Mark		GSM Operators											CDMA			
Network Availability																		
1	BTS accumulated downtime	≤ 2%	Day 1	0.20%	0.07%	NP	NP	1.74%	0.93%	0.04%	0.02%	0.11%	0.06%	0.30%	0.05%	0.13%	0.02%	
			Day 2	0.09%	0.07%	NP	NP	1.48%	0.88%	0.15%	0.05%	0.09%	0.07%	0.19%	0.03%	0.12%	0.04%	
			Day 3	0.10%	0.04%	NP	NP	1.46%	1.15%	0.07%	0.03%	0.10%	0.06%	0.47%	0.04%	0.11%	0.08%	
	Worst affected BTS due to downtime	≤ 2%	Day 1	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.24%	0.16%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
			Day 2	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.09%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
			Day 3	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.05%	0.23%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Connection establishment (Accessibility)																		
1	Call Setup Success Rate	≥ 95%	Day 1	98.89%	98.75%	NP	NP	98.42%	98.22%	99.01%	99.23%	99.76%	99.01%	98.69%	99.84%	99.09%	98.84%	
			Day 2	98.99%	98.86%	NP	NP	98.44%	97.78%	98.99%	99.13%	99.75%	98.82%	98.75%	99.76%	99.10%	99.23%	
			Day 3	98.81%	98.86%	NP	NP	98.45%	98.03%	98.91%	99.23%	99.73%	98.97%	98.76%	99.71%	98.71%	99.31%	
2	SDCCH/ Paging Channel Congestion	≤ 1%	Day 1	0.03%	0.77%	NP	NP	0.60%	0.80%	0.37%	0.18%	0.02%	0.01%	0.15%	0.05%	0.00%	NA	
			Day 2	0.19%	0.70%	NP	NP	0.63%	0.85%	0.39%	0.22%	0.02%	0.03%	0.14%	0.09%	0.00%	NA	
			Day 3	0.05%	0.71%	NP	NP	0.48%	0.84%	0.71%	0.10%	0.02%	0.05%	0.12%	0.11%	0.00%	NA	
3	TCH congestion	≤ 2%	Day 1	0.39%	0.49%	NP	NP	1.41%	1.28%	0.80%	0.00%	0.06%	0.12%	0.46%	0.16%	0.04%	0.01%	
			Day 2	0.40%	0.44%	NP	NP	1.26%	1.19%	0.84%	0.44%	0.06%	0.14%	0.43%	0.24%	0.03%	0.02%	
			Day 3	0.58%	0.43%	NP	NP	1.16%	1.62%	0.93%	0.34%	0.06%	0.17%	0.38%	0.29%	0.07%	0.01%	
Connection Maintainability (Retain ability)																		
4	Call Drop Rate	≤ 2%	Day 1	0.97%	0.59%	NP	NP	0.86%	0.83%	0.76%	0.49%	0.27%	0.60%	0.53%	0.47%	0.15%	0.31%	
			Day 2	1.09%	0.62%	NP	NP	0.87%	0.68%	0.77%	0.49%	0.29%	0.63%	0.50%	0.45%	0.16%	0.36%	
			Day 3	0.87%	0.60%	NP	NP	0.87%	0.64%	0.75%	0.51%	0.27%	0.60%	0.44%	0.48%	0.16%	0.35%	
5	Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate	≤ 3%	Day 1	5.70%	6.58%	NP	NP	2.86%	2.77%	2.89%	2.57%	0.02%	3.75%	1.04%	2.76%	0.08%	1.27%	
			Day 2	5.77%	6.27%	NP	NP	2.84%	2.69%	2.90%	2.18%	0.05%	3.84%	1.02%	2.74%	0.16%	1.24%	
			Day 3	4.43%	6.61%	NP	NP	2.82%	2.71%	2.61%	2.40%	0.05%	3.53%	0.86%	2.79%	0.14%	1.49%	
6	% of Connections with good voice quality	≥ 95%	Day 1	96.80%	99.79%	NP	NP	96.71%	99.84%	97.51%	99.77%	98.98%	97.97%	98.57%	98.97%	99.69%	98.26%	
			Day 2	96.83%	99.79%	NP	NP	96.62%	99.83%	97.48%	99.77%	99.00%	97.94%	98.61%	98.98%	99.71%	98.25%	
			Day 3	96.81%	99.79%	NP	NP	96.51%	99.84%	97.54%	99.77%	99.03%	98.00%	98.60%	98.97%	99.70%	98.25%	
7	Point of Interconnections (POI) congestion (on individual POI)	≤ 0.5%	Day 1	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
			Day 2	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
			Day 3	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

Finding & Critical Analysis:

- Aircel (2G & 3G) and TATA GSM are not meeting the benchmark for **worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate.**

3.3 Operator Assisted Drive Test (AP Circle):

The Operator Assisted Drive Test was conducted for all the Operators. Route covered was about 100 Km depending on city areas within the speed limit of 30-40 km/hour. In all the cities Zones were selected for covering different density areas (High/Medium/Low).

Drive Test Measurements												
S N	Parameter	City Name	GSM Operators								CDMA Operators	
			Airtel	Idea	Vodafone	BSNL	Uninor	Aircel	Reom GSM	TATA GSM	Reom CDMA	TATA CDMA
1.1	Call Attempts	RAJAHMUNDARY	605	646	656	683	687	NS	675	517	674	692
		MAHABUBNAGAR	497	559	476	731	571	388	573	524	605	385
		SANGAREDDY	441	438	393	433	426	149	402	403	448	414
1.2	Blocked Call Rate (<=3%)	RAJAHMUNDARY	0.50%	0.31%	0.15%	1.02%	0.87%	NS	0.89%	0.77%	0.15%	0.72%
		MAHABUBNAGAR	0.00%	0.18%	0.21%	0.14%	1.23%	0.23%	0.70%	0.00%	0.99%	0.00%
		SANGAREDDY	0.00%	0.23%	0.00%	0.69%	0.94%	0.00%	0.23%	0.50%	0.45%	0.72%
1.3	Dropped Call Rate (<=2%)	RAJAHMUNDARY	0.00%	0.31%	0.48%	1.33%	0.29%	NS	1.20%	0.00%	0.45%	0.44%
		MAHABUBNAGAR	0.00%	0.18%	0.00%	0.41%	0.18%	0.23%	1.05%	0.19%	0.33%	0.26%
		SANGAREDDY	0.00%	0.23%	0.00%	0.01%	0.24%	0.00%	0.23%	0.00%	0.22%	0.00%
1.4	Percentage of connections with good voice quality (=>95%)											
	(i) 0-4 (w/o frequency hopping)	RAJAHMUNDARY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	97.34%	96.00%
		MAHABUBNAGAR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	95.35%	99.25%
		SANGAREDDY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	98.76%	99.83%
	(ii) 0-5 (with frequency hopping)	RAJAHMUNDARY	95.67%	95.75%	95.26%	93.60%	95.06%	NS	98.46%	98.00%	-	-
		MAHABUBNAGAR	95.97%	95.90%	96.49%	95.74%	96.34%	96.27%	93.49%	97.70%	-	-
SANGAREDDY		95.81%	96.34%	97.01%	94.74%	97.08%	95.34	95.59%	100%	-	-	
1.5	Service Coverage											
	In door (>= -75dBm)	RAJAHMUNDARY	94.12%	95.00%	81.56%	73.69%	89.39%	NS	34.44%	43.83%	43.07%	66.11%
		MAHABUBNAGAR	94.56	95.91%	84.14%	43.07%	85.84%	53.64%	37.34%	67.34%	30.90%	79.35%
		SANGAREDDY	91.01%	92.46%	79.24%	89.40%	85.36%	28.36%	49.22%	65.04%	47.91%	69.01%
	In-vehicle (>= -85dBm)	RAJAHMUNDARY	98.96%	99.63%	96.60%	93.40%	97.42%	NS	70.75%	76.00%	76.95%	88.09%
		MAHABUBNAGAR	98.50%	99.06%	95.68%	76.95%	98.24%	86.75%	71.31%	91.68%	65.65%	97%
		SANGAREDDY	98.41%	99.19%	96.25%	99.26%	96.76%	74.47%	82.52%	90.03%	80.57%	91.64%
	Outdoor- in city (>= -95dBm)	RAJAHMUNDARY	99.65%	99.92%	99.71%	98.43%	98.97%	NS	96.30%	94.83%	97.57%	95.87%
		MAHABUBNAGAR	99.81%	99.93%	99.12%	97.57%	100%	97.16%	98.91%	98.47%	98.39%	100%
SANGAREDDY		99.78%	99.91%	99.47%	99.94%	99.63%	95.38%	97.75%	98.58%	98.73%	99%	

1.6	Call Setup Success Rate	RAJAHMU NDARY	99.01%	99.69%	99%	98.97%	99.23%	NS	99.11%	99.23%	99.58%	99.28%
-----	-------------------------	---------------	--------	--------	-----	--------	--------	----	--------	--------	--------	--------

34

	(>=95%)	MAHABUB NAGAR	98.99%	99.82%	98.95%	98.45%	98.87%	99.23%	99.30%	100.00 %	99%	100%
		SANGAREDDY	100%	99.77%	98%	99.67%	66.06%	100.00 %	99.75%	99.50%	100%	99%
1.7	Hand Over Success Rate (HOSR)	RAJAHMU NDARY	100%	99.68%	100%	97.74%	99.22%	NS	99%	99.58%	100.00 %	100%
		MAHABUB NAGAR	99.69%	99.71%	99.79%	100.00 %	99.66%	99.42%	100%	100.00 %	100.00 %	100%
		SANGAREDDY	100%	99.58%	100%	100.00 %	97.87%	99.34%	99%	99.78%	100.00 %	100%
1.8	Km's Driven	RAJAHMU NDARY	348 KM									
		MAHABUB NAGAR	296 KM									
		SANGAREDDY	306 KM									

Finding & Critical Analysis:

- In Rajahmundary and Sangareddy BSNL, in Mahabubnagr Rcom GSM are not meeting the benchmark for Voice Quality (0-5 (with frequency hopping)).

3.4 CUSTOMER SERVICE QUALITY PARAMETERS

3.4.1 1st Quarter data Assessment (April - Jun.'15):

Andhra Pradesh, Q4 April - Jun.'15														
PMR		Benchmark	Audit	Aircel	Airtel	BSNL	Idea	Rcom GSM	Tata GSM	Uninor	Vodafone	Rcom CDMA	Tata CDMA	
S.N	Name of Parameter			GSM Operators							CDMA Operators			
Customer Service Quality Parameters														
1	Metering/billing credibility Post paid	<= 0.1%	Reported	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%	0.08%	0.10%	0.00%	NA	0.05%	0.09%	0.00%	
			Verified	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%	0.08%	0.10%	0.00%	NA	0.05%	0.09%	0.00%	
2	Metering /billing credibility Pre paid	<= 0.1%	Reported	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%	0.09%	0.00%	0.02%	0.05%	0.02%	0.00%	
			Verified	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	0.04%	0.09%	0.00%	0.02%	0.05%	0.02%	0.00%	
3	Resolution of billing/ charging complaints	98% within 4 weeks	Reported	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
			Verified	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
		100% within 6 weeks	Reported	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
			Verified	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
4	Period of applying credit/waiver/adjustment to the customer's account from the date of resolutions of complaints	<=1 week	Reported	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99.81%	100%	100%	
			Verified	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99.81%	100%	100%	
5	Response time to customers for assistance													
	a) Accessibility of call centre/Customer Care	>=95%	Reported	97.39%	100%	98.00%	99.49%	99.47%	99.43%	100.00%	100.00%	98.91%	98.79%	
			Verified	97.39%	100%	98.00%	99.49%	99.47%	99.43%	100.00%	100.00%	98.91%	98.79%	
	b) % call answered by operators (voice to voice) within 90 sec.	>=95%	Reported	97.66%	90.59%	80.00%	97.44%	87.00%	98.11%	99.54%	94.00%	89.00%	99.30%	
			Verified	97.66%	90.59%	80.00%	97.44%	87.00%	98.11%	99.54%	94.00%	89.00%	99.30%	
6	Termination/closure of service													
	% of requests for Termination / Closure of service complied within 7 days during the quarter	<=7days	Reported	100%	100%	100%	100.00%	100%	100%	NA	93.00%	100%	100%	
			Verified	100%	100%	100%	100.00%	100%	100%	NA	93.00%	100%	100%	
7	Time taken for refunds of deposits after closures.	100% within 60 days	Reported	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	NA	64.00%	100%	100%	
			Verified	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	NA	64.00%	100%	100%	

Critical Analysis:-

- According to the parameter metering/billing credibility post-paid we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter metering /billing credibility pre-paid we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter Resolution of billing/ charging complaints we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter Period of applying credit/waiver/adjustment to the customer's account from the date of resolutions of complaints we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except Vodafone.
- According to the parameter Accessibility of call centre/Customer Care we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter % call answered by operators (voice to voice) within 90 sec we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except **Airtel, Bsnl, Rcom** (GSM & CDMA), **Vodafone**.
- According to the parameter no. of requests for Termination / Closure of service complied within 7 days during the quarter we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except **Vodafone**.
- According to the parameter Time taken for refunds of deposits after closures we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except Vodafone.

3.5 Redressal

Sample coverage

A sample of billing complaints was taken for each operator and calls were made for assessing the resolution of billing/charging complaints within 4 weeks as claimed by the respective operators.

3.5.1 Performance (live calling for billing complaints)

Calling Operator	Vodafone	Airtel	Idea	Uninor	Aircel	BSNL	Rcom	Tata	RCOM CDMA	Tata CDMA
Calls Attempted	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Total No. of calls Answered	86	78	77	63	82	78	78	78	58	67
Cases resolved with 4 weeks	86	78	77	63	82	78	78	78	58	67
%age of cases resolved	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Note: The difference between call attempts and call answer is because of either Number busy, No response or out of reach in the Network.

Findings:-

We have made live calling to customers as per their complaints details and we verified their complaint and we found that most of the complaints are resolved within the time line and all the operators are meeting the TRAI benchmarks

3.5.2 Level 1 Live Calling (Emergency No.):-

Level 1 calling such as calling at emergency no. Police, Fire, and Ambulance were made so as to check the service of such short codes. In different cities of Andhra Pradesh we have dialed 3times from each service providers' no. and in this way we have dialed 270 calls.

Emergency No	No of Calls	Airtel	Airce l	Idea	Unino r	Vodafon e	RCOM GSM	RCOM CDMA	TAT A GSM	TATA CDMA	BSNL
Police-100	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Fire-101	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Blood& Helth- 104	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Ambulance - 108	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Rly-139	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Highway-1072	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
child help-1098	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
women - 1091	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
electric-1912	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10

kisan call center- 18001801551	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
-----------------------------------	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Critical Analysis:-

Level 1 calling such as calling at emergency no. like Police, Fire, and Ambulance were made so as to check the service of such short codes. In different cities of Andhra Pradesh it was found to be functional.

3.5.3 Live calling to call centre

Calling Operator	Airtel	Aircel	Idea	Uninor	Vodafone	RCOM GSM	RCOM CDMA	TATA GSM	TATA CDMA	BSNL
Total No. of Calls Attempted	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Total No. of Calls Connected to IVR	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Calls got Connected to Agent within 90 sec	92	98	97	100	95	89	90	97	98	91
% of Calls got Answered	92.00%	98.00%	97.00%	100.00%	95.00%	89.00%	90.00%	97.00%	98.00%	91.00%

A sample of live callings were taken for each operator and calls were made for assessing call center's responses within 90sec in which we found Airtel & Rcom GSM were not met the benchmark($\geq 95\%$).

3.6 Inter Operator Call Assessment

3.6.1 Sample coverage

A sample of 2x50 test calls per Service Provider within the licensed service area (Andhra Pradesh circle) were made between 11:00 to 14:00 hrs and 16:00 to 19:00 hrs so that TCBH hours for all the operators were covered.

Performance Based on Live Measurement

Calling Operator	Vodafone	Airtel	Idea	Uninor	Aircel	BSNL	Rcom GSM	Tata GSM	RCOM CDMA	Tata CDMA
Vodafone	-	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Airtel	100.00%	-	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Idea	100.00%	100.00%	-	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Uninor	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	-		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Aircel	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	-	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
BSNL	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	-	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Rcom GSM	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	-	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Tata GSM	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	-	100.00%	100.00%
RCOM CDMA	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	-	100.00%
Tata CDMA	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	-

Critical Analysis:-

In the inter-operator call assessment test, calls were made from one operator to other operator so as to check congestion on both the operators' network. In such cases, the radio part, switch part and the POI in between the operators are involved and hence if any congestion is found in the network,

CAPTER-4: DETAILED FINDINGS, ANALYSIS AND GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION

4.0 Cellular Mobile Telephone Service

4.1 Customer Service Quality Parameters

4.1.1 4th Quarter data Assessment (April – Jun.'15):

Andhra Pradesh, Q4 April - Jun.'15														
PMR		Benchmark	Audit	Aircel	Airtel	BSNL	Idea	Rcom GSM	Tata GSM	Uninor	Vodafone	Rcom CDMA	Tata CDMA	
S.N	Name of Parameter			GSM Operators									CDMA Operators	
Customer Service Quality Parameters														
1	Metering/billing credibility Post paid	<= 0.1%	Reported	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%	0.08%	0.10%	0.00%	NA	0.05%	0.09%	0.00%	
			Verified	0.00%	0.01	0.00%	0.08%	0.10%	0.00%	NA	0.05%	0.09%	0.00%	
2	Metering /billing credibility Pre paid	<= 0.1%	Reported	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%	0.09%	0.00%	0.02%	0.05%	0.02%	0.00%	
			Verified	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	0.04%	0.09%	0.00%	0.02%	0.05%	0.02%	0.00%	
3	Resolution of billing/ charging complaints	98% within 4 weeks	Reported	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
			Verified	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
		100% within 6 weeks	Reported	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99.81%	100%	100%
			Verified	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99.81%	100%	100%
4	Period of applying credit/waiver/adjustment to the customer's account from the date of resolutions of complaints	<=1 week	Reported	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%	0.08%	0.10%	0.00%	NA	0.05%	0.09%	0.00%	
			Verified	0.00%	0.01	0.00%	0.08%	0.10%	0.00%	NA	0.05%	0.09%	0.00%	
5	Response time to customers for assistance													
	a) Accessibility of call centre/Customer Care	>=95%	Reported	97.39%	100%	98.00%	99.49%	99.47%	99.43%	100.00%	100.00%	98.91%	98.79%	
			Verified	97.39%	100%	98.00%	99.49%	99.47%	99.43%	100.00%	100.00%	98.91%	98.79%	
	b) % call answered by operators (voice to voice) within 90 sec.	>=95%	Reported	97.66%	90.59%	80.00%	97.44%	87.00%	98.11%	99.54%	94.00%	89.00%	99.30%	
			Verified	97.66%	90.59%	80.00%	97.44%	87.00%	98.11%	99.54%	94.00%	89.00%	99.30%	
6	Termination/closure of service	<=7days	Reported	100%	100%	100%	100.00%	100%	100%	NA	93.00%	100%	100%	
			Verified	100%	100%	100%	100.00%	100%	100%	NA	93.00%	100%	100%	
7		100%	Reported	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	NA	64.00%	100%	100%	

Time taken for refunds of deposits after closures.	within 60 days	Verified	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	NA	64.00%	100%	100%
--	----------------	----------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	----	--------	------	------




Fig. 1

According to the parameter metering/billing credibility post-paid in the table 4.1.1 and the Fig.1 we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.




Fig. 2

According to the parameter metering /billing credibility pre-paid in the table 4.1.1 and the Fig.2 we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.




Fig. 3

According to the parameter Resolution of billing/charging complaints in the table 4.1.1 and the Fig.3 we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.




Fig. 4

According to the parameter Period of applying credit/waiver/adjustment to the customer's account from the date of resolutions of complaints in the table 4.1.1 and the Fig.4 we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.




Fig. 5

According to the parameter Accessibility of call centre/Customer Care in the table 4.1.1 and the Fig.5 we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.




Fig. 6

According to the parameter % call answered by operators (voice to voice) within 90 sec in the table 4.1.1 and the Fig.6 we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except **Airtel, Bsnl, Vodafone and Rcom (GSM & CDMA).**




Fig. 7

According to the parameter no. of requests for Termination / Closure of service complied within 7 days during the quarter in the table 4.1.1 and the Fig.7we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except **Vodafone**.




Fig. 8

According to the parameter Time taken for refunds of deposits after closures in the table 4.1.1 and the Fig.8 we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark Except Vodafone.

4.2 Summarized PMR Data Results in Table & Graphical

4.2.1 AP Circle (April – Jun'15):

AP Circle (April - Jun.'15)																	
Month PMR Generation Data		Bench mark	Audit Period	Aircel	Aircel 3G	Airtel	Airtel 3G	BSNL	BSNL 3G	IDEA	IDEA 3G	Reliance	TATA	Uninor	Vodafone	Reliance	TATA
S/N	Name of Parameter			GSM Operators										CDMA			
Network Service Quality Parameter																	
Network Availability																	
1	BTS accumulated downtime	≤ 2%	One Qtr	0.17%	0.18%	0.02%	0.15%	1.94%	1.05%	0.05%	0.03%	0.40%	0.24%	0.33%	0.07%	0.52%	0.04%
	Worst affected BTS due to downtime	≤ 2%	One Qtr	1.28%	1.32%	0.00%	0.40%	1.90%	1.92%	0.28%	0.11%	1.18%	0.94%	1.55%	0.40%	1.39%	0.00%
Connection establishment (Accessibility)																	
2	Call Setup Success Rate	≥ 95%	One Qtr	99.33%	99.15%	99.25%	98.87%	98.05%	97.38%	99.99%	99.56%	99.72%	98.66%	98.19%	99.77%	98.49%	99.24%
	SDCCH/ Paging Channel Congestion	≤ 1%	One Qtr	0.18%	0.46%	0.06%	0.22%	0.70%	0.78%	0.61%	0.02%	0.03%	0.07%	0.27%	0.06%	0.00%	0.01%
	TCH congestion	≤ 2%	One Qtr	0.31%	0.40%	0.07%	0.00%	1.88%	1.34%	0.79%	0.07%	0.06%	0.18%	0.47%	0.23%	0.13%	0.75%
Connection Maintainability (Retain ability)																	
3	Call Drop Rate	≤ 2%	One Qtr	0.94%	0.57%	0.38%	0.58%	0.90%	0.72%	0.76%	0.42%	0.40%	0.73%	0.86%	0.58%	0.27%	0.29%
	Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate	≤ 3%	One Qtr	4.56%	6.14%	0.54%	2.42%	2.67%	2.83%	1.57%	0.47%	1.79%	4.65%	1.83%	2.80%	0.11%	1.40%
	% of Connections with good voice quality	≥ 95%	One Qtr	97.84%	99.78%	98.85%	99.06%	97.00%	99.85%	97.49%	99.80%	98.85%	97.93%	98.00%	98.85%	99.69%	98.84%
	Point of Interconnections (POI) congestion (on individual POI)	≤ 0.5%	One Qtr	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%




Fig. 1

According to the above graph and data on the table, it is found that all the operators are meeting the Network Parameters.




Fig. 2

According to the above graph and data on the table, it is found that all the operators are meeting the Network Parameters.




Fig. 3

According to the above graph and data on the table, it is found that all the operators are meeting the Network Parameters.




Fig. 4

According to the above graph and data on the table, it is found that all the operators are meeting the Network Parameters.




Fig. 5

According to the above graph and data on the table, it is found that all the operators are meeting the Network Parameters.




Fig. 6

According to the above graph and data on the table, it is found that all the operators are meeting the Network Parameters.




Fig. 7

According to the above graph and data on the table, it is found that all the operators are meeting the Network Parameters except Aircel (2G & 3G) and TATA GSM.




Fig. 8

According to the above graph and data on the table, it is found that all the operators are meeting the Network Parameters.




Fig. 9

According to the above graph and data on the table, it is found that all the operators are meeting the network Parameters.

4.3 Drive Test Measurements Audit Report AP Circle (Graphical Representation)

NS= No Service

Drive Test Measurements												
S N	Parameter	City Name	GSM Operators								CDMA Operators	
			Airtel	Idea	Vodafone	BSNL	Uninor	Aircel	Reom GSM	TATA GSM	Reom CDMA	TATA CDMA
1.1	Call Attempts	Rajahmundry	605	646	656	683	687	NS	675	517	674	692
		Mahabubnagr	497	559	476	731	571	388	573	524	605	385
		Ssnagreddy	441	438	393	433	426	149	402	403	448	414
1.2	Blocked Call Rate (<=3%)	Rajahmundry	0.50%	0.31%	0.15%	1.02%	0.87%	NS	0.89%	0.77%	0.15%	0.72%
		Mahabubnagr	0.00%	0.18%	0.21%	0.14%	1.23%	0.23%	0.70%	0.00%	0.99%	0.00%
		Ssnagreddy	0.00%	0.23%	0.00%	0.69%	0.94%	0.00%	0.23%	0.50%	0.45%	0.72%
1.3	Dropped Call Rate (<=2%)	Rajahmundry	0.00%	0.31%	0.48%	1.33%	0.29%	NS	1.20%	0.00%	0.45%	0.44%
		Mahabubnagr	0.00%	0.18%	0.00%	0.41%	0.18%	0.23%	1.05%	0.19%	0.33%	0.26%
		Ssnagreddy	0.00%	0.23%	0.00%	0.01%	0.24%	0.00%	0.23%	0.00%	0.22%	0.00%
Percentage of connections with good voice quality (=>95%)												
1.4	(i) 0-4 (w/o frequency hopping)	Rajahmundry	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	97.34%	96.00%
		Mahabubnagr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	95.35%	99.25%
		Ssnagreddy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	98.76%	99.83%
	(ii) 0-5 (with frequency hopping)	Rajahmundry	95.67%	95.75%	95.26%	93.60%	95.06%	NS	98.46%	98.00%	-	-
		Mahabubnagr	95.97%	95.90%	96.49%	95.74%	96.34%	96.27%	93.49%	97.70%	-	-
		Ssnagreddy	95.81%	96.34%	97.01%	94.74%	97.08%	95.34	95.59%	100%	-	-
Service Coverage												
1.5	In door (>= -75dBm)	Rajahmundry	94.12%	95.00%	81.56%	73.69%	89.39%	NS	34.44%	43.83%	43.07%	66.11%
		Mahabubnagr	94.56	95.91%	84.14%	43.07%	85.84%	53.64%	37.34%	67.34%	30.90%	79.35%
		Ssnagreddy	91.01%	92.46%	79.24%	89.40%	85.36%	28.36%	49.22%	65.04%	47.91%	69.01%
	In-vehicle (>= -85dBm)	Rajahmundry	98.96%	99.63%	96.60%	93.40%	97.42%	NS	70.75%	76.00%	76.95%	88.09%
		Mahabubnagr	98.50%	99.06%	95.68%	76.95%	98.24%	86.75%	71.31%	91.68%	65.65%	97%
		Ssnagreddy	98.41%	99.19%	96.25%	99.26%	96.76%	74.47%	82.52%	90.03%	80.57%	91.64%
	Outdoor- in city (>= -95dBm)	Rajahmundry	99.65%	99.92%	99.71%	98.43%	98.97%	NS	96.30%	94.83%	97.57%	95.87%
		Mahabubnagr	99.81%	99.93%	99.12%	97.57%	100%	97.16%	98.91%	98.47%	98.39%	100%
		Ssnagreddy	99.78%	99.91%	99.47%	99.94%	99.63%	95.38%	97.75%	98.58%	98.73%	99%
1.6	Call Setup Success Rate (>=95%)	Rajahmundry	99.01%	99.69%	99%	98.97%	99.23%	NS	99.11%	99.23%	99.58%	99.28%
		Mahabubnagr	98.99%	99.82%	98.95%	98.45%	98.87%	99.23%	99.30%	100.00%	99%	100%
		Ssnagreddy	100%	99.77%	98%	99.67%	66.06%	100%	99.75%	99.50%	100%	99%
1.7	Hand Over Success Rate (HOSR)	Rajahmundry	100%	99.68%	100%	97.74%	99.22%	NS	99%	99.58%	100.00%	100%
		Mahabubnagr	99.69%	99.71%	99.79%	100.00%	99.66%	99.42%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	100%

		r										
	Ssnagreddy	100%	99.58%	100%	100.00%	97.87%	99.34%	99%	99.78%	100.00%	100%	

4.3.1 Call Attempts: -




Fig.4.3.1

According to the table and the fig. 4.3.1 it shows the no. of call attempted in the different city.

4.3.2 Blocked Call Rate (<=3%):-




Fig.4.3.2

According to the table and the fig. 4.3.2 it shows all operators are meeting the benchmark of **Blocked Call Rate**.

4.3.3 Dropped Call Rate (<=2%):




Fig. 4.3.3

According to the table and the fig. 4.3.3 it shows the all operator are meeting the benchmark for **Dropped Call Rate**.

4.3.4 Percentage of connections with good voice quality (=>95%)

4.3.4.1 0-4 (w/o frequency hopping)




Fig. 4.3.4.1

According to the table and the fig. 4.3.4.1 it shows that all service providers are meeting the benchmark Voice Quality 0-4 (w/o frequency hopping).

4.3.4.2 0-5 (with frequency hopping)




Fig. 4.3.4.2

According to the table and the fig. 4.3.4.2, it shows that the BSNL is not meeting the benchmark in Rajahmundary, Sanagreddy and Rcom GSM in Mahabubnagr is not meeting the benchmark for Voice Quality (0-5 (with frequency hopping)).

4.3.5 Service Coverage

4.3.5.1 In door ($\geq -75\text{dBm}$)




Fig.4.3.5.1

According to the table and the fig.4.3.5.1, it shows that all operators are meeting the benchmark.

4.3.5.2 In-vehicle ($\geq -85\text{dBm}$)




Fig. 4.3.5.2

According to the table and the fig.4.3.5.2, it shows that all operators are meeting the benchmark.

4.3.5.3 Outdoor- in city ($\geq -95\text{dBm}$)




Fig. 4.3.5.3

According to the table and the fig.4.3.5.3, it shows that all operators are meeting the benchmark.

4.3.6 Call Setup Success Rate ($\geq 95\%$)




Fig. 4.3.6

According to the table and the fig. 4.3.6, it shows all operators are meeting the benchmark for **Call Setup Success Rate**.

4.3.7 Handover Success Rate (HOSR)




Fig.4.3.7

4.4 3 Days LIVE Test Summary and Graphical Representation For Q4_AP Circle

Andhra Pradesh Circle Q4_April- Jun.'15																		
3 days Live Test Audit Data			Days	Aircel	Aircel 3G	Airtel	Airtel 3G	BSNL	BSNL 3G	IDEA	IDEA 3G	Reliance	TATA	Uninor	Vodafone	Reliance	TATA	
S/N	PARAMETER	Bench Mark		GSM Operators									CDMA					
Network Availability																		
1	BTS accumulated downtime	≤ 2%	Day 1	0.20%	0.07%	NP	NP	1.74%	0.93%	0.04%	0.02%	0.11%	0.06%	0.30%	0.05%	0.13%	0.02%	
			Day 2	0.09%	0.07%	NP	NP	1.48%	0.88%	0.15%	0.05%	0.09%	0.07%	0.19%	0.03%	0.12%	0.04%	
			Day 3	0.10%	0.04%	NP	NP	1.46%	1.15%	0.07%	0.03%	0.10%	0.06%	0.47%	0.04%	0.11%	0.08%	
	Worst affected BTS due to downtime	≤ 2%	Day 1	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.24%	0.16%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
			Day 2	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.09%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
			Day 3	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.05%	0.23%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Connection establishment (Accessibility)																		
1	Call Setup Success Rate	≥ 95%	Day 1	98.89%	98.75%	NP	NP	98.42%	98.22%	99.01%	99.23%	99.76%	99.01%	98.69%	99.84%	99.09%	98.84%	
			Day 2	98.99%	98.86%	NP	NP	98.44%	97.78%	98.99%	99.13%	99.75%	98.82%	98.75%	99.76%	99.10%	99.23%	
			Day 3	98.81%	98.86%	NP	NP	98.45%	98.03%	98.91%	99.23%	99.73%	98.97%	98.76%	99.71%	98.71%	99.31%	
2	SDCCH/ Paging Channel Congestion	≤ 1%	Day 1	0.03%	0.77%	NP	NP	0.60%	0.80%	0.37%	0.18%	0.02%	0.01%	0.15%	0.05%	0.00%	NA	
			Day 2	0.19%	0.70%	NP	NP	0.63%	0.85%	0.39%	0.22%	0.02%	0.03%	0.14%	0.09%	0.00%	NA	
			Day 3	0.05%	0.71%	NP	NP	0.48%	0.84%	0.71%	0.10%	0.02%	0.05%	0.12%	0.11%	0.00%	NA	
3	TCH congestion	≤ 2%	Day 1	0.39%	0.49%	NP	NP	1.41%	1.28%	0.80%	0.00%	0.06%	0.12%	0.46%	0.16%	0.04%	0.01%	
			Day 2	0.40%	0.44%	NP	NP	1.26%	1.19%	0.84%	0.44%	0.06%	0.14%	0.43%	0.24%	0.03%	0.02%	
			Day 3	0.58%	0.43%	NP	NP	1.16%	1.62%	0.93%	0.34%	0.06%	0.17%	0.38%	0.29%	0.07%	0.01%	
Connection Maintainability (Retain ability)																		
4	Call Drop Rate	≤ 2%	Day 1	0.97%	0.59%	NP	NP	0.86%	0.83%	0.76%	0.49%	0.27%	0.60%	0.53%	0.47%	0.15%	0.31%	
			Day 2	1.09%	0.62%	NP	NP	0.87%	0.68%	0.77%	0.49%	0.29%	0.63%	0.50%	0.45%	0.16%	0.36%	
			Day 3	0.87%	0.60%	NP	NP	0.87%	0.64%	0.75%	0.51%	0.27%	0.60%	0.44%	0.48%	0.16%	0.35%	
5	Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate	≤ 3%	Day 1	5.70%	6.58%	NP	NP	2.86%	2.77%	2.89%	2.57%	0.02%	3.75%	1.04%	2.76%	0.08%	1.27%	
			Day 2	5.77%	6.27%	NP	NP	2.84%	2.69%	2.90%	2.18%	0.05%	3.84%	1.02%	2.74%	0.16%	1.24%	
			Day 3	4.43%	6.61%	NP	NP	2.82%	2.71%	2.61%	2.40%	0.05%	3.53%	0.86%	2.79%	0.14%	1.49%	
6	% of Connections with good voice quality	≥ 95%	Day 1	96.80%	99.79%	NP	NP	96.71%	99.84%	97.51%	99.77%	98.98%	97.97%	98.57%	98.97%	99.69%	98.26%	
			Day 2	96.83%	99.79%	NP	NP	96.62%	99.83%	97.48%	99.77%	99.00%	97.94%	98.61%	98.98%	99.71%	98.25%	
			Day 3	96.81%	99.79%	NP	NP	96.51%	99.84%	97.54%	99.77%	99.03%	98.00%	98.60%	98.97%	99.70%	98.25%	
7	Point of Interconnections (POI) congestion (on individual POI)	≤ 0.5%	Day 1	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
			Day 2	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
			Day 3	0.00%	0.00%	NP	NP	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

5

6

4.4.1 Network Availability

4.4.1.1 BTS accumulated downtime ($\leq 2\%$)




Fig.4.4.1.1

- All operators are meeting the TRAI benchmarks ($\leq 2\%$) for 3 days live data taken in the month of audit.

4.4.1.2 Worst affected BTS due to downtime ($\leq 2\%$)




Fig.4.4.1.2

- All operators are meeting the TRAI benchmarks ($\leq 2\%$) for 3 days live data taken in the month of audit.

4.4.2 Connection establishment (Accessibility)

4.4.2.1 Call Setup Success Rate ($\geq 95\%$)




Fig. 4.4.2.1

- All operators are meeting the TRAI benchmarks ($\geq 95\%$) for 3 days live data taken in the month of audit.

4.4.2.2 SDCCH/ Paging Channel Congestion ($\leq 1\%$)




Fig. 4.4.2.2

- All operators are meeting the TRAI benchmarks ($\leq 1\%$) for 3 days live data taken in the month of audit.

4.4.2.3 TCH congestion $\leq 2\%$




Fig. 4.4.2.3

- All operators are meeting the TRAI benchmarks ($\leq 2\%$) for 3 days live data taken in the month of audit.

4.4.3 Connection Maintainability (Retain ability)

4.4.3.1 Call Drop Rate $\leq 2\%$




Fig. 4.4.3.1

All operators are meeting the TRAI benchmarks ($\leq 2\%$) for 3 days live data taken in the month of audit.

4.4.3.2 Worst affected cells having > 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate




Fig. 4.4.3.2

- Aircel (2G & 3G) and TATA GSM are not meeting the benchmark for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate.

4.4.3.3 % of Connections with good voice quality $\geq 95\%$




Fig. 4.4.3.3

- All operators are meeting the TRAI benchmarks ($\geq 95\%$) for 3 days live data taken in the month of audit.

4.4.3.4 Point of Interconnections (POI) congestion (on individual POI) $\leq 0.5\%$




Fig. 4.4.3.4

- All operators are meeting the TRAI benchmarks ($\leq 0.5\%$) for 3 days live data taken in the month of audit.

CHAPTER-5: FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

Verification of the Performance of Service Providers against the Quality of Service benchmarks laid down by TRAI using the data for the entire month during which the live measurement is carried out.

➤ As per PMR Data Verification Results for-

- **Andhra Pradesh Circle (April'15)** - From the month Data Assessment, it is found that Aircel 2G and TATA GSM are not meeting the benchmark for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate.
- **Andhra Pradesh Circle (May.'15):** From the month Data Assessment, it is found that Aircel (2G & 3G) and TATA GSM are not meeting the benchmark for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate and **Airtel** not submit the PMR report.
- **Andhra Pradesh Circle (Jun.'15):** From the month Data Assessment, it is found that Aircel (2G & 3G) not meeting the benchmark for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate and Reliance Not submit the PMR report
- **Andhra Pradesh Circle(April - Jun.'15):-** From the month Data Assessment, it is found that Aircel (2G & 3G) and TATA GSM are not meeting the benchmark for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate and Airtel and Reliance Not submit the PMR reports.

➤ **As per 3 Days Live Test Audit Report (4th Quarter), Andhra Pradesh Circle:-**

Verification of the Performance of Service Providers against the Quality of Service benchmarks laid down by TRAI using Live measurements for 3 days during the month in which the Audit and Assessment is carried out.

- Aircel (2G& 3G) and TATA GSM is not meeting the benchmark for worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate in all 3days.

➤ **As per Operator Assisted Drive Test:**

The Operator Assisted Drive Test was conducted for all the Operators. Route covered was about 100 Km depending on city areas within the speed limit of 30-40 km/hour. In all the cities Zones were selected for covering different density areas (High/Medium/Low).

❖ **Andhra Pradesh Circle:**

- All service providers are meeting the benchmark for **Blocked Call Rate**
- All service providers are meeting the benchmark for **Dropped Call Rate**
- All service providers are meeting the benchmark Voice Quality 0-4 w/o frequency hopping.
- Rcom GSM in Mahabunagr and BSNL in Rajahmundary , Sangareddy are not meeting the benchmark for Voice Quality (0-5 (with frequency hopping).
- All service providers are meeting the benchmark for **Call Setup Success Rate**.

➤ **Level 1 Live Calling (Emergency No.) Q1**

- Level 1 calling such as calling at emergency no. like Police, Fire, and Ambulance were made so as to check the service of such short codes. In different cities of Andhra Pradesh it was found to be functional.

➤ **Performance (live calling for billing complaints):**

- We have made live calling to customers as per their complaints details and we verified their complaint and we found that most of the complaints are resolved within the time line and all the operators are meeting the TRAI benchmarks.

➤ **Live calling to call centre:-**

A sample of live callings were taken for each operator and calls were made for assessing call center's responses within 90sec in which we found Airtel, Reliance and BSNL was not met the benchmark($\geq 95\%$).

➤ **Inter Operator Call Assessment**

- In the inter-operator call assessment test, calls were made from one operator to other operator so as to check congestion on both the operators' network. In such cases, the radio part, switch part and the POI in between the operators are involved and hence if any congestion is found in the network, it will be due to any of these parts. The result shows that all Service providers were well connected.

➤ **CUSTOMER SERVICE QUALITY PARAMETERS**

❖ **4th Quarter data Assessment (Andhra Pradesh Circle)**

- According to the parameter metering/billing credibility post-paid we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter metering /billing credibility pre-paid we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter Resolution of billing/ charging complaints we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter Period of applying credit/waiver/adjustment to the customer's account from the date of resolutions of complaints we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark **except Vodafone.**

- According to the parameter Accessibility of call centre/Customer Care we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark.
- According to the parameter % call answered by operators (voice to voice) within 90 sec we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except **Airtel, BSNL, Vodafone** and **Rcom (GSM & CDMA)**.
- According to the parameter no. of requests for Termination / Closure of service complied within 7 days during the quarter we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except **Vodafone**.
- According to the parameter Time taken for refunds of deposits after closures after verification we found that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark except **Vodafone**.