

To,

Dated : 31.01.2019

Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advisor (B&CS) Telecom Regulatory Authority of India New Delhi

Re.: TRAI Consultation Paper on Review of Television Audience Measurement and Rating in India (No. 10/2018; dated 3 December 2018)

Subject: Submission of Comments

Dear Sir,

At the outset, we wish to commend TRAI for reviewing the current Television Audience Measurement (TAM) and rating system in India and seeking inputs from stakeholders.

Given the importance of these ratings to drive important investment decisions in marketing strategy by the companies as well as in influencing the viewership decisions, it becomes imperative that the whole process is credible, independent, transparent and stays free from any deliberate manipulations, violations and biases.

Summary of issues for consultation:

Q1. Whether BARC has been able to accomplish the purpose with transparency and without any bias for which it has been established? Please elaborate your response with justifications. Also, suggest measures to enhance the effectiveness of BARC to give TV ratings with transparency and without bias.

We strongly believe that the objectivity and neutrality has been compromised since the task of television audience measurement is entrusted to Broadcast Audience Research Council (BARC) -- a body promoted by the Indian Broadcasting Foundation (IBF) which is primarily formed to protect and promote interests of pay TV Broadcasters; along with the Indian Society of Advertisers (ISA) and the Advertising Agencies Association of India (AAAI), IBF holds majority equity in BARC.

Moreover, it is difficult to imagine that the country's television measurement system is being controlled by select Pay TV broadcasters, advertising agencies and large FMCG companies - all having a direct stake in the outcomes and hence a strong motive to manipulate the ratings to their advantage. The fact that Star India sits on the Board of Directors while its' channel, Star Plus, has been rated No. 1 in Hindi GEC for over more than a decade, does merit investigation into the methodology and the working of BARC.

BARC, the only TAM system in the country, has failed to accomplish the purpose for which it was established. This would have been more evident if an inspection / audit would have been carried out during the past 5 years and ascertain the shortcomings in its methodology and techniques used (Self-regulation or Forbearance actually means No regulations (Freedom for continued violations) for a country like ours).



Q2. Do you feel that present shareholding/ownership pattern of BARC ensures adequate representation of all stakeholders to maintain its neutrality and transparent TV ratings? How its credibility and neutrality can be enhanced further? Please elaborate your response with justification.

The current TAM system is closed and controlled by those who has direct stake in the outcomes. There is a clear conflict of interest. The BARC Board of Directors is comprised of the CEOs and MDs of Star India Pvt. Ltd, Viacom 18 Media Pvt. Ltd, Multiscreen Media Pvt. Ltd, Zee Entertainment, Procter & Gamble, Godrej Consumer Products and others. Further, BARC has a Technical Committee and Sub Committees each for TV and Digital which too are predominantly comprised of IBF members or their wholly owned subsidiaries.

We strongly feel that the TAM systems should be independently managed by a neutral entity that has no relationship whatsoever, direct or indirect, with TV Broadcasters, advertising agencies and advertisers as otherwise there will always be a strong motive to manipulate the ratings to their own advantages.

Q3. Is there a need to promote competition in television rating services to ensure transparency, neutrality and fairness to give TAM rating? What regulatory initiatives/measures can be taken to make TV rating services more accurate and widely acceptable? Please elaborate your response with justifications.

TAM ratings demand that the process ensures transparency, neutrality and fairness to perverse the relevance and sanctity of the rating altogether. In a monopolistic arrangement, due to lack of competition, there is absolutely no driver for BARC to improve, invest and upgrade the process with the changing demand of times.

Competition will not only ensure that the rating agency/ies are not taking the process for granted; but also empowers the users to make better decisions since there would be other TAM insights available. That will also push BARC to introduce neutrality and fairness since manipulation in ratings will be easy to detect.

However, since India has a vast range of demographic and viewership pattern and preferences due to more than 22 major spoken languages; and a population of over 1.2 billion; the entire length and breadth of the country may not get adequately covered by any single rating agency. Adequate sample size is critical for accurate results. Hence diving country into 4 zones can help improve the rating process significantly. Competition should always be promoted, even in the zonal approach. Rating agencies may decide to cover all 4 zones or pick select zones.

Q4. Is the current audience measurement technique used by BARC apposite? Suggest some methods, if any, to improve the current measurement techniques.

To the best of our knowledge there has not been a single inspection / audit conducted at BARC to verify its measurement techniques and the veracity and sanctity of the same. Further all these years there has been lack of transparency in BARC's affairs. There have been various allegations of manipulation by BARC reported in the media for tampering BARC panel homes.

Technologies such as Hybrid Set-top boxes, smart phones and other connected devices on internet protocols have the requisite capability and can immensely improve the television audience measurement and rating techniques.



Q5. Does broadcasting programmes that are out of their category or in different language for some time during the telecast affect the TAM rating? If so, what measures should be adopted to curb it?

The influence on TAM rating of broadcasting programmes that are out of their category or in different language for some time during the telecast depends on the methodology used to categorize the genre and broadcasting programmes in different languages. It's been experienced that just Audio fingerprinting is not a foolproof technique or solution to these issues faced specially for a country like India. We again reiterate here, that not even once an inspection has been conducted on BARC to ascertain and establish these shortcomings in their adopted methodology and techniques.

Q6. Can TV rating truly based on limited panel homes be termed as representative?

A limited panel home of about 50,000 – 80,000 can be termed as representative for a much less populated country that has 3-6 spoken languages and about 100- 250 TV broadcasting channels. However, for a highly populated country like India, having more than 22 spoken languages and more than 850 (Permitted TV Channels) the sample size should be at least 10% spread across all TV households based on demographics, socio economic stature, language and most important the actual consumption of broadcasted programmes and channels.

Q7 What should be done to reduce impact of manipulation of panel home data on overall TV ratings? Give your comments with justification.

Television rating system serves as the basis for important investment decisions in marketing strategy by the companies as well as play an important role in influencing the viewership decisions. As per some estimates, the ratings decide the fate of more than Rupees 26,000 crore spending on TV advertising.

With such a large money at stake, it becomes imperative that the television rating continue to be credible, independent, transparent and free from any manipulations and biases. This can only be achieved with bringing in adequate competition in TAM rating system in the country as well as entrust the process with neutral organizations, that are having no conflict of interest.

Q8. What should be the panel size both in urban and rural India to give true representation of audience?

Ideally the panel size for a truly representative audience viewership rating in a large country like ours would be at least 10% - 15% of the population for each population segment— urban and rural. This however is a paramount task and it can only be achieved if there are more players doing TAM rating in the country, besides of course other advantages i.e. driving transparency, credibility and fairness in the rating process.

Q9. What method/technology would help to rapidly increase the panel size for television audience measurement in India? What will be the commercial challenge in implementing such solutions?

In last four years India has witnessed a growth in start-ups with highly innovative ideas. The TAM rating process should be made more inclusive than what it currently is (since the January 2014 MIB guidelines were notified with the sole objective of keeping out competition to benefit certain vested interest(s)).

Home Digital Networks Private Limited



Opening the TAM rating area to a broad set of players including the start-ups shall help take the TAM system in India many levels up. Startups can undertake this enormous task by collecting and using raw data made available from various sources including the DPOs and with use of an analytics engine can easily implement a robust, transparent and fair solution to provide and share accurate data

Q10. Should DPOs be mandated to facilitate collection of viewership data electronically subject to consent of subscribers to increase data collection points for better TRP ratings? Give suggestion with justification.

If the DPOs are mandated to facilitate collection of viewership data electronically, it will facilitate collection of raw data on viewership and increase data collection points for a better and more accurate TRP ratings. Many DPOs are now moving to deployment of Hybrid STBs and making provisions for IPTV that has inherent RPD (Return Path Data) This will surely help achieve the objectivity of a large sample of panel homes and provisioning of an accurate data using innovative techniques.

Q11. What percentage of STB supports transferring viewership data through establishing a reverse path/connection from STB? What will be the additional cost if existing STBs without return path are upgraded? Give your suggestions with justifications.

The Hybrid STBs (Connected STBs) supports transferring of viewership data. Otherwise provisions can be made at DVB -C and DVB-S STB manufacturers level to incorporate low cost telco GSM return module or a Wi-Fi connection module, that can be easily used to make a RPD for transferring of raw viewership data to the various TAM systems in the country.

Q12. What method should be adopted for privacy of individual information and to keep the individual information anonymous?

Privacy of individual viewership information should always be maintained and guaranteed. This could be achieved through signing of Non-disclosure agreements and adopting applicable GDPR rules.

Q13. What should be the level/granularity of information retrieved by the television audience measurement agency from the panel homes so that it does not violate principles of privacy?

Under the new regulatory regime prescribed by the Authority for Television services, (Eight Tariff order and associated regulations on Interconnection and QoS of 2017) that have finally come in force w.e.f 31.01.2019, TV subscribers are giving their choice of Channels on a-la-carte that they wish to subscribe from their respective DPOs, i.e. (Cable TV, DTH, HITS and IPTV). The information that is retrieved by the TAM agency from the panel homes is the duration or time spent on the subscribed channel(s). This does not violate the principles of privacy if it is carried out under Non-Disclosure and individual information is not divulged/ disclosed to any third party. The identity of the panel homes should be kept anonymous. The analytics of Big Data for reporting of viewership's behavior can be automated with smart phones and other handheld or house hold connected devices using AI (Artificial Intelligence) MI (Machine Learning).



Q14. What measures need to be taken to address the issue of panel tampering/infiltration? Please elaborate your response with justifications.

With more TAM agency(s) getting involved and stipulating operating guidelines to be adhered by all would ensure enough checks to address any issues of malfeasance and tampering to manipulate viewership data. Pertinent to mention out of more than 850 permitted television channels BARC was only doing TAM ratings for about 350 TV channels. These TV channels were taking the landing page of the DPOs for placement of its channels for manipulating the TAM ratings in their favour.

Q15. Should BARC be permitted to provide raw level data to broadcasters? If yes, how secrecy of households, where the people meters are placed, can be maintained?

As stated above, it is difficult to imagine that country's television measurement system is controlled by select TV broadcasters, advertising agencies and large FMCG companies (under BARC) - all having a direct stake in the outcomes and hence a strong motive to manipulate the ratings to their advantage. BARC should not provide raw data to broadcasters. It's been regularly reported in media how the entire TAM system has been manipulated numerous times and still no inspection or audit ever conducted on BARC

Q16. Will provisioning of raw level data to broadcasters, in any manner, either directly or indirectly contravene the policy guidelines for television rating agencies prescribed by MIB?

We strongly believe that the existing policy guidelines prescribed by MIB are flawed. The said policy has fallen short of bringing objectivity and transparency into the process. The existing rating system has been compromised on principle of fair competition, transparency and lack of accountability to the prescribed guidelines. Although the guidelines have provisions for acting in case of non-compliance, there is no information if the only rating agency BARC is being regularly monitored / inspected to ensure compliance.

The guidelines stipulate disclosure requirements on the rating agencies whereby the agency should place on its website, detailed rating methodology, coverage in terms of geographical and other socio-economic representation, possible sources of conflict of interest, quality control procedures audit reports, complaint redressal mechanism including toll free call center, comments/viewpoints of the users of the rating data etc. However, we were unable to find any such information by BARC placed in the public domain through its website www.barcindia.co.in

Q17. Is the current disclosure and reporting requirements in the present guidelines sufficient? If no, what additional disclosure and reporting requirements should be added?

They are not sufficient and urgently needs to be reviewed as Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Government of India (GoI), vide its order No. 9/135/ 2013-BP&L dated 16 January 2014, has approved 'Policy Guidelines for Television Rating Agencies in India' on the basis of the recommendations made by the Authority TRAI, that are applicable to the only TAM agency (BARC) providing television rating services in India as of now.

While we support TRAI efforts to streamline the rating system by issuing this consultation paper for Review of Television Audience Measurement and Ratings in India, It is interesting to also note that while TRAI had not mentioned anything about the Bank Guarantee in its **Home Digital Networks Private Limited**







recommendations, the policy guidelines issued by MIB imposes the requirement. Further, while TRAI recommendations prescribes a penalty ranging from Rs. 10 lakh to Rs 100 lakh for first three instance, MIB has stipulated penalty for two instances of violation as Rs 25 Lakh and Rs. 75 Lakh respectively. Cleary there are several indications that attempt has been made to keep any kind of competition out for so many years in order to make someone's business highly commercially viable so to earn super profits by manipulation of TAM in the country.

Q18. Stakeholders may also provide their comments on any other issue relevant to the present consultation

It is needless to say that as India moves on a growth trajectory with now start-ups having huge potential therein, the television audience rating can be an area where such entities can step in and contribute. However the above mentioned eligibility criteria applicable to any other rating agency in India can seldom be met by smaller/ start-up entities even though they may have the technical capability and the know-how for managing analytics. The criteria in the guidelines appear to be framed keeping in mind the larger entities with the sole intent of keeping away any form of competition. This have definitely not been proved in country's interest. Therefore it becomes imperative to have no financial entry barriers for Startups or entrepreneurs getting in this field of TV, Radio and Digital ratings with innovative ideas and analytics knowhow.

Therefore it is also the right time for the present government to review the rating system in the country through the Authority whereby the Authority too should be conducting an audit / inspection of BARC working and methodology adopted and to also identify the regular beneficiaries of these manipulations and violations in TAM data that's been also reported in the media numerous times.

In case the Authority may desire any further clarification / information, the same can be requested from the undersigned.

Thanking you

With Best Regards

Vikki Choudhry Director

and

Consumer of Television Services

+91 9810055287 vikki@homedigital.tv