# Objective Assessment of Quality of Services (QoS) for Cellular Mobile (Wireless), Basic Wireline and Broadband Service Providers

# Rajasthan Circle

# Report: October – November - December - 2009





# Preface

TRAI, the regulatory watch dog for the Quality of Service for the telecom services – Basic (Wireline), Cellular Mobile (Wireless) and Broadband has commissioned this study with the objective of measuring Quality of Services under the parameters as per the published notifications. The study, from the execution perspective, has been divided into two modules – Survey module and Audit module.

The Survey module has been commissioned with the objective of gauging the subscriber feedback on Quality of Services by way of primary survey and comparing them with quality of service benchmarks stipulated by TRAI. In addition, Survey module would also measure the compliance of 'Telecom Consumer Protection and Redressal of Grievances Regulations, 2007'.

The Audit module would assess the Quality of Service of telecom operators Basic (Wireline), Cellular Mobile (Wireless) and Broadband services) by auditing the service level records maintained by the operators, conducting drive tests as well as live measurements and comparing them with quality of service benchmarks stipulated by TRAI.

For the ease of execution both the modules have been commissioned as two separate exercises. However, the findings of each module would feed into the justification of the other module.

The Survey and Audit modules for various circles within the Zones, due the sheer scale of data collection, have been distributed across various Half Yearly periods. IMRB International Auditors carried out Audits across Punjab, Rajasthan, Karnataka, North East and Assam circles in the second Half Yearly period 2009. This report details the performance of various service providers in Rajasthan circle against Quality of Services benchmarks for various parameters laid down by TRAI in respective regulations for Cellular (Mobile), Basic Wireline and Broadband services.



# Table of contents

1.0 Background	5
2.0 Objectives and Methodology	6
Section A: WIRELINE	1
3.0 Sampling Methodology (Wireline) 3.1 Sampling for Basic (Wireline) services	8 8
4.0 Audit methodology (Wireline)	9
4.1 Basic (Wireline) Services	9
5.0 Executive Summary	10
5.1 Service provider performance report based on one month data verification – Basic (Wireline) Services	10
6.0 Detailed findings – Includes comparison between Live calling/Live measurements and One month data collection for Basic Wireline Services	13
6.1 Graphical/Tabular Representations for Basic (Wireline) services	13
7.0 Compliance reports: Results of Verification of Records	20
7.1 Basic (Wireline) services 7.2 Conclusions	20 21
Section B: WIRELESS	1
8.0 Sampling methodology (Wireless)	23
9.0 Audit methodology (Wireless)	24
9.1 Cellular Mobile Services	24
10.0 Executive Summary	25
10.1 Service provider performance report based on one month data verification: Cellular Mobile Services	26
11.0 Detailed findings – Includes comparison between Live calling/Live measurements and One month data collection	
11.1 Graphical/Tabular Representations for Cellular Mobile Services	
12.0 Compliance reports: Results of Verification of PMR*	42
12.1 Cellular Mobile services 12.2 Conclusions (Wireless)	
Section C: BROADBAND	1
13.0 Sampling Methodology (Broadband)	45
14.0 Audit methodology (Broadband)	46



14.1 Broadband Services	46
15.0 Executive Summary	47
15.1 Service provider performance report based on one month data Verification – Broadband Services	47
16.0 Detailed findings – Includes comparison between Live calling/Live measurements and One month data collection for Broadband Services	51
16.1 Graphical/Tabular Representations for Broadband services	51
17.0 Compliance reports: Results of Verification of Records for January to March 2009	57
17.1 Broadband services 17.2 Conclusions (Broadband)	57 58
18.0 Annexure - I (Wireline)	59
18.1 Parameter wise performance reports for Basic Wireline services	59
19.0 Annexure - I (Wireless)	64
19.1 Service provider performance report based on one month data 19.2 Monthly Point of Interconnection (POI) Congestion Report 19.3 Parameter wise performance reports for Cellular Mobile services	64 65 66
20.0 Annexure - I (Broadband)	75
20.1 Parameter wise performance reports for Broadband services	75
21.0 Annexure – II Detailed Explanation of Audit methodology (Parameter wise)	80
21.1 For Basic (Wireline) services 21.2 Cellular Mobile services	80 83
ZI.J FUT DIVAUDAND SERVICES	



# 1.0 Background

The Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI) has a critical mandate to protect the interest of telecom consumers in addition to various other functions bestowed upon it. As part of the license conditions to telecom operators, it has the power and authority to measure the Quality of Service provided by various govt. (BSNL & MTNL) and private telecom operators. The parameters that need to be measured for Basic (Wireline) and Cellular Mobile (Wireless) services have been specified in the TRAI notification on Quality of Services of Basic (Wireline) and Cellular Mobile (Wireless) services dated 20<sup>th</sup> March, 2009. The parameters for Broadband Service have been specified in the TRAI notification for Quality of Services of Broadband Service Regulation, 2006

IMRB has been carrying out this exercise for TRAI since December 2007 to assess the quality of services being provided by Basic (Wireline), Cellular Mobile (Wireless) and Broadband service providers.

The study is being conducted broadly in two modules. They are:

**Survey module:** To obtain subscriber feedback on quality of services by way of primary survey and to check the 'Implementation and effectiveness of Telecom Consumer Protection and Redressal of Grievances Regulations, 2007'

**Audit module:** To assess the quality of service of telecom operators Basic (Wireline), Cellular Mobile (Wireless) and Broadband services by auditing the service level records maintained by the operators, conducting drive tests as well as live measurements and comparing them with quality of service benchmarks stipulated by TRAI

This report highlights the findings for the Audit module for Rajasthan circle that was covered in the 4<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (October – December 2009). The primary data collection and verification of records maintained by various operators of Cellular Mobile (Wireless), Basic wireline and Broadband services was undertaken by IMRB International during the period October – December 2009.

The study is being conducted broadly in two modules: (i) Survey module and (ii) Audit module

This report highlights the Audit Module findings for Rajasthan circle for Cellular Mobile services



# 2.0 Objectives and Methodology

The primary objective of the Audit module is to Audit and Assess the Quality of Services being rendered by Basic (Wireline), Cellular Mobile (Wireless), and Broadband service against the parameters notified by TRAI. (The parameters of Quality of Services (QoS) have been specified by in the respective regulations published by TRAI). Following are the key activities undertaken by Auditors during the Audit process conducted at the operator's premises

1. Verification of the data submitted by service providers: This involved verification of the quarterly Performance Monitoring Reports (PMR's) and monthly Point of Interconnect (POI) Congestion reports being submitted by various service providers. The raw data in the records maintained by service providers was audited to assess the book keeping methodology. All Network related and Non network related parameters notified by TRAI in various regulations were Audited

- Live measurement for three days: Network performance of service providers was assessed for three days in the month in which the Audit was carried out. Live figures from the server/ NMS software were recorded for various network related parameters.
- 3. Data verification for the month in which Audits were carried out: Subsequent to the visits for Audit during the live measurement at various Exchanges/ISP Nodes/Exchanges, data for all the network and Non network related parameters was collected from various service providers for the complete month in which the Audit was carried out. Raw data/records pertaining to these were also verified on sample basis to check the veracity of data provided by the operators.
- 4. **Drive tests:** Operator assisted drive tests were conducted in three cities as per the norms stated in the tender.
- 5. Live calling: Live testing was done on a sample basis to check efficiency of the customer care, inter operator call assessment, Back check calls for service provisioning and fault repair
- Any changes or discrepancies found in the methodology were reported to the service providers and changes were suggested by IMRB Auditors.
- PMR verification was done as per the old parameters being reported to TRAI by all operators.
- Live measurement and 1 month data collection was done as per the new regulations published by TRAI on 20th March, 2009.
- Separate formats were designed each for Basic (Wireline), Cellular mobile (Wireless) and Broadband services to collect the information on various parameters



# Section A: WIRELINE



# 3.0 Sampling Methodology (Wireline)

# 3.1 Sampling for Basic (Wireline) services

- For BSNL the sample of exchanges was selected was spread across 10% of SDCA's in the entire service.
- For rest of the service providers data was collected pertaining to all the exchanges present in the circle/service area
- Following are the various Basic Wireline operators covered in Rajasthan circle:

	Name of Operator
Operator 1	BSNL
Operator 2	Airtel
Operator 3	Reliance
Operator 4	Shyam



# 4.0 Audit methodology (Wireline)

# 4.1 Basic (Wireline) Services

Following table explains the audit methodology for Basic (Wireline) services:-

SI. No.	Parameters	One month data verification	Live measurement	Live calling
1	Provision of telephone after registration of demand	YES		YES
2	Fault incidence/clearance related statistic	YES		
2.1	- Total number of faults registered per month	YES		YES
2.2	- Fault repair by next working day	YES		YES
3	Mean Time to Repair (MTTR)	YES		
4	Call Completion Rate (CCR)	YES	YES	
5	Metering and billing credibility – billing complaints	YES		YES
6	Customer care promptness	YES		
6.1	- Shifting of telephone line	YES		YES
6.2	- Processing closure request	YES		YES
6.3	- Processing of additional supplementary services	YES		YES
7	Response time to customer	YES		
7.1	- While call is getting connected and answered	YES		YES
7.2	- While call is answered by operator (voice to voice)	YES		YES
8	Time taken to refund of deposits after closure	YES		YES

\* In addition to above verification of records for PMR submitted during April to June 2009 was carried out for all network and non network related parameters.

{**Note**: - A more detailed explanation of parameter wise audit methodology for Basic (wireline) services is explained in Annexure II}



# 5.0 Executive Summary

The objective assessment of Quality of Services (QoS) was carried out by IMRB International for all the Basic (Wireline) and Broadband service providers during the period starting from October to December 2009 in Rajasthan circle. The executive summary encapsulates the key findings of the Audit by providing: -

- <u>"Service provider performance report</u>" for Basic (Wireline) service, which gives a glimpse
  of the performance of various operators against the benchmark specified by TRAI, during
  the month in which the Audit was carried out by IMRB Auditors
- <u>"Parameter wise critical findings"</u> for Basic (Wireline) service: This indicates key
  observations and findings from different activities carried out during the Audit
  process

# 5.1 Service provider performance report based on one month data verification – Basic (Wireline) Services

Parameters	Benchmarks	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Faults incidences (No. of faults/100 Subs./month)	≤5	3.3	2.3	1.84	2.94
% of faults repaired by next working day	≥ 90%	91.94%	95.04%	100.00%	94.60%
% of faults repaired within 3 days	100%	98.36%	99.63%	100.00%	100.00%
Faults pending for> 3days and ≤7 days	Rent rebate of 7 days	100.00%	NA	NA	NA
Faults pending for > 7 days and ≤15 days	Rent rebate of 15 days	100.00%	NA	NA	NA
Faults pending for > 15 days	Rent rebate of 1 month	100.00%	100.00%	NA	NA
Mean Time to Repair (MTTR)	≤ 8 Hrs	4.2	8.36	4.06	5.5
Call Completion Rate (CCR)	≥ 55%	62.19%	94.29%	NA	91.22%
Answer to Seizure ratio (ASR)	≥ 75%	58.41%	NA	89.58%	NA
No. of POIs with congestion > 0.5%	≤ 0.5%	0	0	0	0
Metering and billing credibility - Number of bills disputed during over a billing cycle	≤ 0.1%	0.03%	0.01%	0.03%	0.09%
Resolution of billing complaints within 4 weeks	100%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Period of applying credit / waiver	≤ 1 week	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Customer care/help	line promptness				
Percentage shift requests attended within 3 days	≥ 95%	85.61%	100.00%	NA	100.00%
Closure within 7 days	100%	61.48%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Response time to custo	omer for assista	nce			
% age calls getting connected and answered	≥ 95%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	100.00%
% age call answered by operator in 60 seconds	≥ 90%	83.00%	89.00%	92.00%	98.00%
Time taken for refund of deposits after closures within 60 days	100%	70.36%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

{\*Note: For BSNL data pertains to the sample 5% of exchanges audited during the period of to October to December 2009, whereas for rest of the operators figures pertain to all the exchanges present in the circle}

\*\* Methodology not in line with QoS

Figures provided on All India basis

Not meeting the benchmark B'mark = TRAI Benchmark, DNA = Details not available, NA: Not Applicable



Parameters	Benchmarks	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Percentage connections completed within 7 days	100%	74.03%	100.00%	NA	100.00%
% of faults repaired by next working day	≥ 90%	32.97%	91.00%	92.00%	79.00%
% of faults repaired within 3 days	100%	73.34%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Call Completion Rate (CCR)	≥ 55%	78.00%	93.04%	NA	91.12%
Answer to Seizure ratio (ASR)	≥75%	61.63%	NA	90.16%	NA
Resolution of billing complaints within 4 weeks	100%	85.45%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Summary of Live Measurement Results - Wireline Services

#### Customer care/helpline promptness

Percentage shift requests attended within 3 days	≥ 95%	65.99%	100.00%	NA	100.00%					
Response time to customer for assistance										
% age calls getting connected and answered	≥ 95%	86.42%	100.00%	100.00%	98.00%					
% age call answered by operator in 60 seconds	≥ 90%	57.68%	89.00%	91.00%	90.00%					

Not meeting the benchmark

# Critical findings and Key take outs: Basic (Wireline) services

BSNL, Airtel, Shyam and RCOM are the 4 operators providing Basic (Wireline) Services in Rajasthan circle to retail customers. During the audit process it was observed that the BSNL could not meet TRAI specified benchmark on most of the parameters specified by TRAI.

The live calling results were found to be different from the 1 month audit data collection in certain places. To some extent the difference can be attributed to the smaller sample size undertaken for the live calling.

The parameter wise key takeouts for the Wireline service providers for the Rajasthan circle are as under:-

### Provision of telephone after registration of demand

 In Rajasthan circle, live calling for service provisioning shows BSNL falling short of TRAI specified benchmark of 100% connections within 7 days.

# Fault incidence / clearance statistics

- All service providers are meeting the TRAI benchmark for fault incidence ≤ 5 in the month of audit
- All operators are meeting the benchmark for faults repaired within 24 hrs
- For live calling carried out by IMRB auditors BSNL and Shyam did not meet the fault repaired within 24 hrs benchmark
- For fault repair within 3 days BSNL and Airtel falls marginally short of the TRAI specified benchmark with a score of 98.36% and 99.63% respectively.
- Part reason of service provider poor performance on this parameter can be attributed to the fact that in remote areas of Rajasthan circle prompt action on faults becomes difficult due to accessibility issues.



# Mean time to Repair (MTTR)

 Airtel (8.36) is not meeting the benchmark and way above the TRAI benchmark on this parameter during month in which audit was carried out.

# Traffic statistics (CCR & ASR)

- All service providers comfortably meets the benchmark on CCR parameter both during month in which audit was carried out and three days when live measurement was carried out in auditor's presence at various exchanges
- BSNL fall short of TRAI benchmark for ASR both during month in which audit was carried out and the three days live measurement

# Metering and billing credibility

- All the service providers comfortably meet TRAI specified benchmark with percentage billing complaints being less than equal to 0.1% of the total bills generated.
- For all the complaints registered and resolved within 4 weeks all operators meet the benchmark during month of audit whereas BSNL does not meet the benchmark during live calling done by IMRB auditors

# Customer care/helpline promptness

 Attention is also required on the promptness of customer care as BSNL falls short of TRAI specified benchmark for time taken to attend shift and closure requests for the month in which audit was carried out by IMRB auditors

### Response time to customer for assistance

- BSNL and Airtel do not meet TRAI specified benchmark for calls answered by the operator in 60 seconds.
- Also for the live calling carried out by IMRB auditors BSNL (57.68%) and Airtel (89.00%) fail to meet the TRAI specified benchmark of ≥ 90%

### Time taken for refund of deposits after closure

 BSNL does not meet the benchmark of 100% refund of deposits within 60 days observed during month of audit

### Level 1 service

Level 1 services	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total no. of calls made		475	100	100	100
Calls answered in 60 sec		395	96	96	97
Calls answered after 60 sec		51	4	4	3

To test the efficiency of level 1 services (Trunk booking, Child helpline, Women helpline, Airline booking, Fire, Police, Railways) offered by various service providers. 475 calls were made for BSNL to different numbers and time taken to answer the call was recorded. Of all calls made, 395 calls were answered in 60 seconds.



# 6.0 Detailed findings – Includes comparison between Live calling/Live measurements and One month data collection for Basic Wireline Services

# 6.1 Graphical/Tabular Representations for Basic (Wireline) services

<u>Service provisioning / Activation time (Comparison between one month audit results and live calling results)</u>



# Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL

# Fault incidence



All operators are meeting the benchmark





# Fault repair/Restoration time (Comparison between one month audit results and live calling results)

# One month

All operators are meeting the benchmark

### Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Reliance Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL, Shyam



# One month

Operator meeting benchmark: Reliance, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL, Airtel

### Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL, Reliance



# Mean time to repair



Operator meeting benchmark: BSNL, Reliance, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: Airtel

# <u>Call completion rate (Comparison between one month audit results and three day live measurement)</u>



### One month

All operators are meeting the benchmark

# Live measurement

All operators are meeting the benchmark





# Answer to Seizure Ratio (Comparison between one month audit results and three day live measurement)

### One month

Operator meeting benchmark: Reliance Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL

### Live measurement

Operator meeting benchmark: Reliance Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL

# Percentage bills disputed



All operators are meeting the benchmark







### One month

All operators are meeting the benchmark

### Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Reliance, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL

# Shift requests attended (Comparison between one month audit results and live calling results)



# One month

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL

### Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL



# Closure requests attended within 7 days



Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Reliance, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL

# <u>Response time to customer for assistance - Calls answered and getting connected</u> (Comparison between one month audit and live calling results)



# One month

All operators are meeting the benchmark

# Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Reliance, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL



# <u>Response time to customer for assistance - Calls answered by the operator within 60</u> seconds (Comparison between one month audit results and live calling results)



# One month

Operator meeting benchmark: Reliance, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL, Airtel

# Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Reliance, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL, Airtel

# Time taken to refund of deposits after closure



Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Reliance, Shyam Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL



# 7.0 Compliance reports: Results of Verification of Records

Deremetere	Donohmorko	BSNL*		Airtel		Reliance		Shyam	
Falanieleis	Deficilitatiks	PMR <sup>#</sup>	IMRB	PMR	IMRB	PMR	IMRB	PMR	IMRB
Percentage connections completed within 7 days	100%	100.00%	83.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	98.17%	98.00%
Faults incidences (No. of faults/100 Subs./month)	≤5	4.70	5.10	3.00	3.00	1.81	1.70	0.00	2.20
% of faults repaired by next working day	≥ 90%	94.58%	92.00%	97.00%	97.00%	98.69%	94.00%	96.00%	96.00%
Faults pending for> 3days and ≤7 days	Rent rebate of 7 days	180	0	13	13	1	1	0	0
Faults pending for > 7 days and ≤15 days	Rent rebate of 15 days	90	5	2	0	1	0	0	0
Faults pending for > 15 days	Rent rebate of 1 month	694	5	1	1	0	0	0	0
Mean Time to Repair (MTTR)	≤ 8 Hrs	6.70	4.10	7.00	7.00	3.50	3.50	5.60	5.60
Call Completion Rate (CCR)	≥ 55%	62.76%	<mark>60.00%</mark>	93.00%	93.00%	NA	NA	91.00%	91.00%
Metering and billing credibility - Number of bills disputed during over a billing cycle	≤ 0.1%	0.00%	0.04%	0.01%	0.01%	0.06%	0.06%	0.09%	0.09%
Resolution of billing complaints within 4 weeks	100%	<mark>100.00%</mark>	97.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Custo	mer care/	helpline	promptne	ess				

# 7.1 Basic (Wireline) services

Shift requests (Total number 2860 1350 939 102 393 0 0 102 received) Percentage shift requests attended 100.00% 70.00% 98.00% 98.00% >95% 100.00% 100.00% NA NA within 3 days Closure request attended 2236 2236 204 1280 1280 22185 5000 269 100.00% 75.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% Closure within 24 hours >95% Supplementary (additional) service Complied Complied Complied Complied requests attended) Additional facility provided within >95% Complied Complied Complied Complied 24 hours

Response time to customer for assistance

% age call answered through IVR in 20 seconds	≥80%	Complied		Complied		Complied		Complied	
% age call answered through IVR in 40 seconds	100%	Complied		Complied		Complied		Complied	
% age call answered by operator in 60 seconds	≥80%	81.00%	81.00%	82.00%	83.00%	86.00%	86.00%	63.00%	63.00%
% age call answered by operator in 90 seconds	≥95%	Complied		Complied		Complied		Com	plied
Time taken for refund of deposits after closures within 60 days	100%	<mark>100.00%</mark>	81.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

\* These have been calculated cumulatively on the basis of figures reported by various exchanges

<sup>#</sup> As per the PMR submitted by the operators in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter of 2009

Figures do not match with those reported in PMR

Not meeting the benchmark

Figures verified on all India bases

B'mark = TRAI Benchmark, DNA = Details not available, NA: Not Applicable



# 7.2 Conclusions

# **Basic Wireline Services**

- 1. Significant variation is observed in figures reported in PMR and those verified in sample exchanges for shifts, rent rebate and closures for BSNL
- For rest of the parameters, variation observed in figures for BSNL is owing to the fact that only 5% of the total exchanges were audited for the operator whereas the data provided in the PMR is basis all the exchanges in the circle
- 3. Raw data on call centre details was not available at the exchanges audited and hence the same could not be verified by IMRB auditors



# Section B WIRELESS



# 8.0 Sampling methodology (Wireless)

# 8.1 Sampling for Cellular Mobile (Wireless) service providers

Data pertaining to 100% of the Gateway MSC's (GMSC's) and Mobile Switching Centres (MSC's) of all the Cellular Mobile Service Providers or Unified Access Service Providers (UASP) was collected and verified in specified circles/service areas. Following are the various operators covered in Rajasthan circle

	Name of Operator	Month of Audit
Operator 1	Airtel	December
Operator 2	Vodafone	December
Operator 3	Tata CDMA	December
Operator 4	Idea	December
Operator 5	BSNL	December
Operator 6	Reliance CDMA	October
Operator 7	Reliance GSM	October
Operator 8	MTS	December



# 9.0 Audit methodology (Wireless)

# 9.1 Cellular Mobile Services

In a nutshell the following activities were done while auditing for various parameters for Cellular Mobile Services:

					AS FOUND IN			
				AS FOUND IN	3 DAY		OPERATO	
				VERIFICATION	LIVE		R	INDEPEN
		AS	AS FOUND IN ACTUAL	FOR THE	MEAS URE		ASSISSTE	DENT
		REPORTED	RECORDS AFTER	MONTH OF	MENT	LIVE	D DRIVE	DRIVE
S.no	Parameter	IN PMR	VERIFICATION	AUDIT	DATA	CALLING	TESTS	TESTS
Α	Network Performance							
A (i)	BTS accumulated down time	Yes	Yes	Yes				
A (ii)	Call setup success rate (within licensee own							
	network)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes
A (iii)	Blocked Call Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes
A (iv)	Call Drop rate	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes
A (v)	% Connections with good voice quality	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes
A (vi)	Service Coverage	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes
A (vii)	PoI Congestion	Yes	Yes	Yes				
в	Customer Helpline		•	-				
B (i)	Response time to the customer for assistance	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		
С	Billing Complaints							
C (i)	Billing complaints per 100 bills issued	Yes	Yes	Yes				
C (ii)	%age of billing complaints resolved within 4							
	weeks	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		
C (iii)	Period of all refunds/payments due to							
ì	customers from date of resolution as in (ii)							
	above							
	400 10	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		
1								

{Note: A more detailed explanation of parameter wise audit methodology for Cellular Mobile services is explained in Annexure II}



# 10.0 Executive Summary

The objective assessment of Quality of Services (QoS) was carried out by IMRB International for all the Cellular mobile service providers during the period starting from October 2009 to December 2009 in Rajasthan circle. The executive summary encapsulates the key findings of the Audit by providing: -

- <u>"Service provider performance report</u>" for Cellular mobile service, which gives a glimpse
  of the performance of various operators against the benchmark specified by TRAI, during
  the month in which the Audit was carried out by IMRB Auditors
- <u>"Parameter wise critical findings</u>" for Cellular mobile services: This indicates key observations and findings from different activities carried out during the Audit process



			١	letwork Availa	bility		Conne	ection Establi (Accessibility	shment y)	C	onnection N	laintenanc	e (Retainab	oility)	PO		Network	Traffic Cap Utilization	bacity and
Name of Service Provider	Time Consistent Busy Hour (TCBH) (in hrs)	Total no. of BTSs in the licensed service area	Sum of downtime of BTSs in a month in hours i.e. total outage time of all BTSs in hours during a month	BTSs Accumulated downtime (not available for service) (%age)	No. of BTSs having accumulated downtime of >24 hours in a month	Worst affected BTSs due to downtime (%age)	Call Set- up Success Rate (within licensee's own network)	SDCCH/ Paging Chl. Congestion (%age)	TCH Congestion (%age)	Call Drop Rate (%age)	Total No. of cells exceeding 3% TCH drop (call drop)	Total no. of cells in the network	Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop (call drop) rate (%age)	Connection with good voice quality*	POI Congestion (No. of POIs not meeting the benchmark)	Total number of working POI Service Area wise	Equipped Capacity of Network in respect of Traffic in erlang	Total traffic handled in TCBH in erlang	Total no. of customers served (as per VLR) on last day of the month
B'mark	$\rightarrow$			≤2%		≤2%	≥ 95%	≤ 1%	≤2%	≤2%			≤ 5%	≥ 95%	≤ 0.5%				
Airtel	19:00 – 20:00	6381	5414	0.11%	7	0.11%	99.25%	0.16%	0.23%	1.00%	538	18837	2.86%	98.60%	0	141	375907	281093	8578792
Vodafone	19:00 – 20:00	5751	1247	0.03%	0	0.00%	99.61%	0.08%	0.10%	0.91%	803	18098	4.44%	97.04%	0	128	185812	141037	4548865
Tata CDMA	19:00 – 20:00	941	144	0.02%	0	0.00%	98.76%	0.00%	0.03%	0.67%	10	2884	0.35%	98.76%	0	186	120173	38030	1289055
ldea	20:00 – 21:00	2941	1675	0.08%	0	0.00%	99.46%	0.21%	0.28%	1.24%	24242	276353	8.77%	97.55%	0	110	70929	44018	1639147
BSNL	19:00 – 20:00	3499	44111	1.69%	259	7.40%	98.66%	0.31%	1.20%	1.83%	1005	9865	10.19%	97.80%	0	113	265400	103380	2197088
Reliance CDMA	19:00 – 20:00	1899	2384	0.17%	1	0.05%	99.43%	0.00%	0.16%	0.84%	2	1899	0.11%	96.06%	0	93	202000	71560	1550//1
Reliance GSM	19:00 – 20:00	2479	5652	0.31%	26	1.05%	98.70%	0.03%	0.06%	0.53%	24	7437	0.32%	97.87%	0	93	202000	11509	1000441
MTS	20:00 – 21:00	1403	678	0.06%	0	0.00%	98.22%	0.19%	1.78%	0.62%	4128	130510	3.16%	98.59%	0	51	54000	36775	1160143

# 10.1 Service provider performance report based on one month data verification: Cellular Mobile Services

\*Details pertaining to these are obtained through operator done drive tests. Results of the operator assisted drive tests are explained in detail in critical findings

\*\* Methodology not in line with QoS

Figures provided on All India

Not meeting the benchmark B'mark = TRAI Benchmark, DNA = Details not available, NA: Not Applicable



# **Critical findings: Cellular Mobile Services**

The audit for cellular mobile service providers were conducted at their respective MSCs in the Rajasthan circle apart from Reliance Communication whose audit was conducted at their central NOC at Mumbai.

The audit involved a three stage verification process which consisted of auditing the records of the service providers and verifying the data submitted to TRAI. The second step involved a three day live measurement of all the network parameters. Finally basis the three day live measurement the auditors needed to find out the busy hour for the service provider and collect the hourly data for this busy hour for the month in which the audit was conducted.

Service Provider	Reported Time Consistent Busy Hour	Network Busy Hour found in 3 day live measurement
Airtel	19:00 – 20:00	19:00 – 20:00
Vodafone	19:00 – 20:00	19:00 – 20:00
Tata CDMA	19:00 – 20:00	19:00 – 20:00
ldea	20:00 - 21:00	20:00 – 21:00
BSNL	19:00 – 20:00	19:00 – 20:00
Reliance CDMA	19:00 – 20:00	19:00 – 20:00
Reliance GSM	19:00 – 20:00	19:00 – 20:00
MTS	20:00 – 21:00	20:00 – 21:00

# **Busy Hour of Various Service Providers**

The TCBH reported by all the service providers matched the network busy hour calculated by IMRB auditors for the Rajasthan circle.

### Accumulated Downtime:

In the Rajasthan circle, all the operators were found to be meeting the TRAI benchmark for this parameter. Tata experienced the lowest outage hours (around 144 hrs) in the month of audit. BSNL does not meet the TRAI benchmark for worst affected BTSs due to downtime with 7.4% of its BTSs having downtime >24 hours in a month.

# Call Set-up Success Rate (CSSR):

All the operators were comfortably meeting the benchmark on this parameter. During the audits the maximum CSSR was observed for Vodafone with 99.61% of their calls getting completed. All the operators were found to be calculating the parameter as per the norm specified by TRAI. CSSR was established as the ratio of total number of successful call attempts (establishment) to the total number of call attempts made.

### Network Congestion parameters:

SDCCH / Paging Channel Congestion, TCH and POI are part of the network congestion parameters. All the operators are meeting the TRAI specified benchmarks on the congestion parameters. The calculation methodology of these parameters was found to be in complete accordance with what has been specified by TRAI. Both RCOM CDMA and Tata Teleservices measure paging channel utilization. When the value of this parameter is less than 100%, it is counted as 0% congestion. There was almost negligible congestion amongst POIs across all the operators with none of the operator having POI congestion more than 0.5% and not meeting the TRAI specified benchmark.



# Call Drop Rate:

During the audit it was found that all the service providers were measuring this parameter as per the TRAI guidelines. The call drop rate was measured as the ratio of total calls dropped to the total number of call attempts for all operators. Also, all of service providers were found to be meeting the TRAI specified benchmark. The lowest call drop rate was found to be for Reliance GSM at 0.53%.

### Connections with good voice quality:

All the operators are measuring this parameter via their periodic drive tests. However, for Vodafone these parameters can be obtained at their switch as well. During the audit it was found that all the service providers were measuring this parameter as per the TRAI guidelines.

# Customer Care / Helpline Assessment

For the accessibility of customer care aspect all the service providers meet the TRAI benchmark. All operators are meeting the TRAI specified benchmark for accessibility of call centre and in case of calls answered by operators within 60 seconds for the month of audit.

# Billing performance

All the operators except BSNL were found to be meeting the benchmark of  $\leq 0.1\%$  complaints registered per 100 bills issued. However all the operators were found to be meeting the TRAI benchmark for billing complaints being resolved within 4 weeks and refund within 1 week (wherever applicable).

Inter operator call Assessment To↓ From→	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	ldea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Airtel	NA	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Vodafone	100%	NA	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tata CDMA	100%	100%	NA	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
ldea	100%	100%	100.0%	NA	99%	100%	98%	99%
BSNL	99%	98%	99%	99%	NA	98%	98%	97%
Reliance CDMA	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	NA	100%	100%
Reliance GSM	98%	98%	98%	97%	94%	98%	NA	98%
MTS	99%	98%	100%	98%	99%	100%	98%	NA

#### Inter operator calls assessment

The maximum problem faced by the calling operator to other operators

In the inter-operator call assessment, calls were made from the test SIMs of service provider whose audit was being conducted to all the other service providers. BSNL found it comparatively difficult connecting to Reliance GSM number with only 94% of the calls getting connected.



# Results of Operator assisted Drive test

The drive test was conducted simultaneously for all the operators present in the Rajasthan circle. There was in total of three drive tests conducted in the circle. These tests were conducted in the cities of Udaipur, Jaipur and Jaisalmer. IMRB auditors were present in vehicles of every operator. A sample of 15 – 30 test calls were made along each of the routes. The holding period for all test calls was between 120 seconds to 180 seconds. The drive test vehicle across all routes plied at a speed of less than 20 km per hour. Taking into consideration the route that was taken for the drive test; most of the major areas Rajasthan telecom circles were covered.

For measuring voice quality RxQual samples for GSM operators and Frame Error Rate (FERs) for CDMA service providers were measured. RxQual greater than 5 meant that the sample was not of appropriate voice quality and for CDMA operators FERs of more than 4 were considered bad. Call drops were measured by the number of calls that were dropped to the total number of calls established during the drive test. Similarly CSSR was measured as the ratio of total calls established to the total call attempts made. Signal strength was measured in Dbm with strength > -75dbm for indoor, -85 dms for in-vehile and > -95 dbm outdoor routes.

The drive tests in the Rajasthan circle were conducted in the cities of Udaipur, Jaipur and Jaisalmer was conducted along the following route:

	Type of location	Udaipur	Jaipur	Jaisalmer
	Peiphery of the city	Court Choraha – Madhuvan – Fatehpur Shoraha – Sukhed - Chittor Highway – pratapnagar choraha – Ahmedabad bypass	Mi road, transport nagar, gandhi nagar, airport, mansarover, ajmer road bypass, vki	Bsnl off. – ramgarh bypass – union circle – garhisar chauraha – hanuman circle – tanot road – mal ki parol .
Outdoor	Congested area	Gulabbaug – Jagdish Mandir – Hathi Pole – Chetak circle	Tonk road, imli phatak, 22 godown, bapu nagar, choura rasta, johari bazar, jorawar singh gate.	Nagar palika circle – shiv road circle - fort pheriphery- nagar palika circle.
	Across the city	Ahmedabad Bypass – Hiran Magri – Udyapole – Delhi Gate – Court Choraha – Chetak Circle – Thokar Choraha – Pratapnagar Choraha	Murlipura pura, bhawani niketan, ambawadi, bani park, c- scheme, tonk phatak.	Mal ki parol – hanuman circle- shiv road circle – fort – nagar palika committee circle.
Indoor	Office complex	Court Choraha, BSNL Exchange	Idea cellular office	Bsnl office
muuui	Shopping complex	R.k mall	Crystal palm shopping mall	Annapurna restaurant



The tables given below gives a glimpse of the results of the operator assisted drive test:

# Drive Test – Udaipur

	B'mark	nark Airtel		Vodafone		Tata CDMA		Idea		BS	NL	Reliance CDMA		Reliance GSM		MTS	
		In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor
Voice quality	≥ 95%	97.87%	96.62%	86.70%	95.28%	99.53%	95.77%	98.81%	97.10%	79.02%	79.50%	99.92%	91.26%	100.00%	91.05%	95.25%	98.07%
CSSR	≥ 95%	100.00%	98.68%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	98.59%
%age Blocked calls		0.00%	1.32%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	1.41%
Call drop rate	≤ 2%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Hands off success rate		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Drive Test – Jaipur

	Benchmark Ai		rtel	Vodafone		Tata CDMA		Idea		BSNL		Reliance CDMA		Reliance GSM		MTS	
		In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor
Voice quality	≥ 95%	98.11%	95.93%	98.22%	93.95%	100.00%	97.59%	99.73%	95.86%	95.33%	88.87%	100.00%	98.24%	100.00%	98.45%	98.52%	98.10%
CSSR	≥ 95%	100.00%	99.08%	100.00%	98.81%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	90.48%	95.40%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	98.86%
%age Blocked calls		0.00%	0.92%	0.00%	1.19%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	9.52%	4.60%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.14%
Call drop rate	≤2%	0.00%	0.93%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.41%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Hands off success rate		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.59%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



	B'mark	Airtel		Vodafone		Tata CDMA		Idea		BSNL		Reliance CDMA		Reliance GSM		MTS	
		In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor	In door	Outdoor
Voice quality	≥ 95%	99.07%	96.44%	93.21%	91.21%	99.74%	99.90%	99.62%	99.04%	100.00%	100.00%	99.91%	99.78%	100.00%	96.40%	99.80%	99.19%
CSSR	≥ 95%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
%age Blocked calls		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Call drop rate	≤2%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Hands off success rate		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

### Drive Test – Jaisalmer

Not meeting the benchmark

Following were the areas where the signal strength was found to be inadequate for the operators:

# City - Udaipur

There was interference and low signal strength recorded for all operators in the outdoor areas near Bhuwana, Sukher Chittorgarh Bypass, Gokul Village to Ahmadabad Bypass, Between Petrol pump and TP Nagar (NH8 by pass), Jagdish mandir, Ganesh ghati, Govardhan Vilas, Ashok Nagar, Keshav nagar to Thokar Chouraha, Arya Samaj Mandir, Ganesh Temple Hathipole while in the indoor areas inadequate coverage was not found in any of the areas.

# City - Jaipur

There was interference and low signal strength recorded for all the operators in the outdoor areas of Bhawani niketan sikar road, nr. Crystal palm, near SMS Hospital, Under VKIA Bridge, At Ajmer bypass chowraha, At Sanganeri gate circle while in the indoor areas there was no inadequate coverage or interference recorded.

### **City - Jaisalmer**

There was interference and low signal strength recorded for all operators in the outdoor areas of Tanot road, malka pole, fort road, Main Market Road, Hanuman chouraha while in the indoor areas no interference and inadequate coverage was recorded



### Conclusions:

Drive test was conducted by IMRB with the help of service providers to measure this parameter. In the drive test it was found that all the operators except Vodafone, BSNL and Reliance GSM meet the TRAI benchmark for voice quality.

- 1. BSNL does not meet the TRAI benchmark on voice quality in Jaipur and Udaipur
- 2. Reliance in Udaipur while Vodafone in all the 3 cites do not meet the benchmark for voice quality
- 3. BSNL does not meet the TRAI benchmark on call drop rate in Jaipur

# Summary of Live Measurement Results - Cellular Mobile Services

	Conr	nection Establis (Accessibility)	hment	Coni	nection Mair (Retainabi	ntenance lity)	Metering and Billing	Response time to customer for assistance		
Name of Service Provider	Call Set- up Success Rate (within licensee's own network)	SDCCH/ Paging Chl. Congestion (%age)	TCH Congestion (%age)	Call Drop Rate (%age)	Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop	Connection with good voice quality*	%age complaints resolved within 4 weeks	Accessibility of call centre/ customer care	Percentage of calls answered by the operators (voice to voice) within 60 seconds	
B'mark	≥ 95%	≤1%	≤2%	≤2%	≤ 5%	≥ 95%	100%	≥ 95%	≥ 90%	
Airtel	99.44%	0.14%	0.12%	0.70%	3.01%	96.94%	100.00%	100.00%	94.95%	
Vodafone	99.68%	0.03%	0.09%	0.90%	4.72%	93.71%	93.33%	100.00%	94.90%	
Tata CDMA	99.31%	0.00%	0.01%	0.68%	0.76%	98.76%	100.00%	100.00%	96.97%	
ldea	99.67%	0.25%	0.17%	1.06%	9.06%	97.85%	96.67%	100.00%	96.91%	
BSNL	98.45%	0.26%	1.20%	2.21%	14.27%	92.36%	92.00%	95.00%	77.46%	
Reliance CDMA	99.41%	0.00%	0.15%	0.82%	0.26%	97.77%	100.00%	100.00%	96.97%	
Reliance GSM	98.68%	0.02%	0.05%	0.51%	0.38%	93.76%	100.00%	99.00%	95.92%	
MTS	98.23%	0.16%	1.77%	0.62%	3.60%	98.59%	100.00%	100.00%	94.95%	



Not meeting the benchmark

\* Based on operator assisted drive tests conducted by IMRB

During the three day live measurement, all operators are meeting benchmark for connection establishment related parameters. BSNL for call drop rate and worst affected cells and Idea for worst affected cells were found not to be meeting the TRAI benchmark on connection maintenance parameters.



# <u>11.0 Detailed findings – Includes comparison between Live</u> <u>calling/Live measurements and One month data collection</u>

# 11.1 Graphical/Tabular Representations for Cellular Mobile Services

# **BTSs Accumulated Downtime**



# All the operators meet the benchmark

# Worst Affected BTSs



Operator(s) meeting benchmark: Airtel, Vodafone, Tata CDMA, Idea, Reliance CDMA, Reliance GSM, MTS Operator(s) not meeting the benchmark: BSNL

IMRB

# Call Set-up Success Rate (CSSR)



# One month

All the operators meet the benchmark

# Live measurement

All the operators meet the benchmark

#### **Drive test**

All the operators meet the benchmark

### **SDCCH / Paging Channel Congestion**



#### One month

All the operators meet the benchmark **Live measurement** All the operators meet the benchmark



# **TCH Congestion**



# One month

All the operators meet the benchmark

# Live measurement

All the operators meet the benchmark

# Call Drop Rate



# One month

All the operators meet the benchmark

### Live measurement

Operator(s) meeting benchmark: Airtel, Vodafone, Tata CDMA, Idea, Reliance CDMA, Reliance GSM, MTS



Operator(s) not meeting the benchmark: BSNL

# Drive test

All the operators meet the benchmark

# Cells with more than 3% Call Drop Rate



# One month

 $\mathsf{Operator}(s)$  meeting benchmark: Airtel, Vodafone, Tata CDMA, Reliance CDMA, Reliance GSM, MTS

Operator(s) not meeting the benchmark: Idea, BSNL

# Live measurement

Operator(s) meeting benchmark: Airtel, Vodafone, Tata CDMA, Reliance CDMA, Reliance GSM, MTS

Operator(s) not meeting the benchmark: Idea, BSNL


#### Voice quality



#### **One month** All the operators meet the benchmark

#### **Drive test**

Operator(s) meeting benchmark: Airtel, Tata CDMA, Idea, Reliance CDMA, MTS Operator(s) not meeting the benchmark: Vodafone, BSNL, Reliance GSM

#### **Billing Disputes**



Operator(s) meeting benchmark: Airtel, Vodafone, Tata CDMA, Idea, Reliance CDMA, Reliance GSM, MTS

Operator(s) not meeting the benchmark: BSNL





#### **Resolution of billing complaints**

#### One month

All the operators meet the benchmark

#### Live measurement

Operator(s) meeting benchmark: Airtel, Tata CDMA, Reliance CDMA, Reliance GSM, MTS Operator(s) not meeting the benchmark: Vodafone, Idea, BSNL





All the operators meet the benchmark



#### Live calling for billing Complaints

Resolution of billing complaints	Bench mark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total Number of calls made		50	75	25	30	100	35	3	25
Number of cases resolved in 4 weeks		50	70	25	29	92	35	3	25
Percentage cases resolved in four weeks	100%	100.00%	93.33%	100.00%	96.67%	92.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00 %

Operators not meeting the benchmark



#### Customer Care / Helpline: Calls answered

#### One month

All the operators meet the benchmark

#### Live measurement

All the operators meet the benchmark







#### One month

All the operators meet the benchmark

Live measurement Operator(s) meeting benchmark: Airtel, Vodafone, Tata CDMA, Idea, Reliance CDMA, Reliance GSM, MTS Operator(s) not meeting the benchmark: BSNL

#### Termination / Closure of service



Operator(s) meeting benchmark: Vodafone, Idea, BSNL, Reliance CDMA, MTS Operator(s) not meeting the benchmark: Airtel, Tata CDMA





#### Refund of deposits

# Operator(s) meeting benchmark: Vodafone, Tata CDMA, Idea, BSNL, Reliance CDMA Operator(s) not meeting the benchmark: Airtel, MTS

Inter operator calls assessment

Inter operator call Assessment To↓ From→	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Airtel		100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Vodafone	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tata CDMA	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
ldea	100%	100%	100.0%		99%	100%	98%	99%
BSNL	99%	98%	99%	99%		98%	98%	97%
Reliance CDMA	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%
Reliance GSM	98%	98%	98%	97%	94%	98%		98%
MTS	99%	98%	100%	98%	99%	100%	98%	



The maximum problem faced by the calling operator to other operators

In the inter-operator call assessment, calls were made from the test SIMs of service provider whose audit was being conducted to all the other service providers. BSNL found it tough connecting to Reliance GSM number with only 94 out of 100 calls getting connected.



# 12.0 Compliance reports: Results of Verification of PMR\*

#### 12.1 Cellular Mobile services

				Network Performance						Billing comp	olaints	Customer's Helpline			
Name of Serv Provider	ice	Accumulated downtime of Community isolation (in hours)	Call Set- up Success Rate (within licensee's own network)	SDCCH/ Paging Chl. Congestion (%age)	TCH Congestion (%age)	Call Drop Rate (%age)	Connection with good voice quality	Point of Interconnection (POI) Congestion	Billing complaints per 100 bills issued	%age complaints resolved within 4 weeks	Period of all refunds/payments due to customers from date of resolution	Percentage of calls answered electronically within 20 seconds	Percentage of calls answered electronically within 40 seconds	Percentage of calls answered by operators within 60 seconds	Percentage of calls answered by operators within 90 seconds
Benchmarl	¢	≤ 24 hours	≥ 95%	≤1%	≤ 2%	≤ 3%	≥ 95%	≤ 0.5%	≤ 0.1%	100%	≤4 weeks	≥ 80%	≥ 95%	≥ 80%	≥ 95%
Airtel	PMR	Complied	95.32%	1.15%	1.77%	1.51%	95.96%	NA	0.00%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	Complied	Complied	85.90%	Complied
	IMRB	Compilou	95.32%	1.15%	1.77%	1.51%	95.96%	Complied	0.00%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	Compilou	Compilou	85.90%	Complica
Vodofono	PMR	Complied	98.29%	0.42%	0.16%	0.89%	96.90%	NA	0.09%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	Complied	Complied	97.00%	Complied
voualone	IMRB	Complied	98.29%	0.42%	0.16%	0.89%	96.86%	Complied	0.10%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	Complied	Complied	97.00%	Compileu
Tata	PMR	Compliad	99.40%	0.00%	0.00%	0.44%	99.28%	0.00%	0.05%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	Compliad	Controlind	96.00%	Compliad
CDMA	IMRB	Complied	99.46%	0.00%	0.02%	0.44%	99.28%	0.00%	0.03%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	Complied	Complied	96.00%	Complied
Ideo	PMR	Compliad	99.65%	0.21%	0.22%	1.00%	97.85%	0.00%	0.09%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	Compliad	Complied	86.00%	Compliad
luea	IMRB	Complied	99.53%	0.21%	0.22%	1.00%	97.91%	0.00%	0.09%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	Complied	Complied	86.00%	Complied
DONI	PMR	Compliad	98.00%	0.26%	0.99%	1.89%	98.00%	0.00%	0.06%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	Compliad	Complied	81.00%	Compliad
BONL	IMRB	Complied	98.06%	0.22%	1.20%	2.00%	96.96%	0.00%	0.09%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	Complied	Complied	81.00%	Complied
Reliance	PMR		98.71%	0.00%	0.27%	0.75%	97.56%	NA	0.09%	100.00%	< 4 weeks			76.86%	
CDMA	IMRB	Complied	98.71%	0.00%	0.27%	0.75%	97.56%	Complied	0.09%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	Complied	Complied	76.86%	Complied
	PMR		97.65%	NA	0.10%	0.46%	99.57%	0.01%	0.09%	100.00%	< 4 weeks	ks Ks		62.00%	
MIS	IMRB	Complied	96.10%	NA	0.10%	0.82%	99.57%	0.01%	0.09%	100.00%	< 4 weeks		Complied	62.00%	Complied

\*As per the PMR submitted by the operators in the  $2^{nd}$  quarter of 2009



Figures do not match with those reported in PMR



Figures verified on all India basis

B'mark = TRAI Benchmark, DNA = Details not available



### 12.2 Conclusions (Wireless)

#### **Cellular Mobile services**

- 1. The figures reported by all the operators on all parameters almost match the figures obtained on verification except for BSNL on network performance parameters
- 2. Airtel does not meet the benchmark for SDCCH congestion in the network performance parameters
- 3. All the operators except Vodafone and Tata also fail to meet the benchmark for percentage calls answered by the operator in 60 seconds



# <u>Section C</u> BROADBAND



# 13.0 Sampling Methodology (Broadband)

#### 13.1 Sampling for Broadband service providers

- Audits for various Broadband service providers were conducted at the service provider's central node. Since most of the private operators have a centralized system of monitoring their network data was obtained for all the Point of Presence (POPs) present in the circle.
- For Reliance, the data pertaining to all parameters was obtained by IMRB Auditors at the central node in Mumbai.
- For BSNL, Audit was conducted at the various exchanges/POPs providing Broadband service was verified and collected. This was done in such a way that at least 5% of POPs spread across 10% of SDCA's were covered
- For BSNL, the data pertaining to network related parameters was obtained by IMRB Auditors at the central node in Bangalore.
- Following Broadband service providers were audited in Rajasthan circle:

	Name of Operator
Operator 1	BSNL
Operator 2	Airtel
Operator 3	Sify
Operator 4	Shyam
Operator 5	RCOM



# 14.0 Audit methodology (Broadband)

### 14.1 Broadband Services

In a nutshell, the audit methodology was as follows:

	Parameters	Verification of PMR	Three day live measurement	Data Verificatio n for one month	Live calling
(i)	Service Provisioning/ Activation time	YES	YES	YES	YES
(ii)	Fault Repair/ Restoration Time	YES	YES	YES	YES
(iii)	Billing Performance				
-	Billing Complaints per 100 Bills issued	YES	YES	YES	
-	%age of billing complaints resolved in four weeks	YES	YES	YES	YES
-	Time taken for refund of deposits after closure	YES	YES	YES	YES
(iv)	Response time to the customer for assistar	nce(Voice to Voi	ce)		
-	Within 60 seconds > 60%	YES	YES	YES	YES
-	Within 90 seconds > 90%	YES	YES	YES	YES
(V)	Bandwidth Utilization/ Throughput:				
-	A)Bandwidth Utilization				
_	POP to ISP gateway Node [Intra – network] Links	YES	YES	YES	
_	ISP Gateway Node to IGSP / NIXI Node upstream Link(s) for international connectivity	YES	YES	YES	
•	B) Broadband Connection Speed (Download)	YES	YES	YES	YES
(vi)	Service availability / Uptime	YES	YES	YES	
vii)	Packet Loss	YES	YES	YES	
(viii)	Network Latency for wired broadband acce	ess)			
-	User reference point at POP / ISP Gateway Note to International Gateway (IGSP/NIXI)	YES	YES	YES	
-	User reference point at ISP Gateway Node to International nearest NAP port abroad ( Satellite)	YES	YES	YES	
-	User reference point at ISP Gateway Node to International nearest NAP port abroad ( Satellite)	YES	YES	YES	

{Note: A more detailed explanation of parameter wise audit methodology for Broadband services is explained in Annexure II}



# **15.0 Executive Summary**

The objective assessment of Quality of Services (QoS) was carried out by IMRB International for all the Broadband service providers during the period starting from October 2009 to December 2009 in Rajasthan circle.

# 15.1 Service provider performance report based on one month data Verification – Broadband Services

Parameters	Benchmarks	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Service provisioning uptime						
Percentage connections provided within 15 days	100%	96.24%	97.37%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Fault repair restoration time						
Percentage faults repaired by next working days	> 90%	95.72%	95.08%	91.67%	94.81%	100.00%
Percentage faults repaired within three working days	> 99%	99.85%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Billing performance						
Billing complaints per 100 bills issued	< 2%	0.22%	0.01%	NA	NA	0.35%
%age of billing complaints resolved in 4 weeks	100%	100.00%	100.00%	NA	NA	100.00%
%age cases in which refund of deposits after closure was made in 60 days	100%	100.00%	100.00%	NA	NA	100.00%
Customer care/helpline assessment (Voice to Voice)						
Percentage calls answered within 60 seconds	> 60%	78.50%	89.99%	100.00%	100.00%	78.92%
Percentage calls answered within 90 seconds	> 80%	87.80%	94.72%	100.00%	100.00%	81.80%
Bandwidth utilization/Throughput			 			
Intra network links (POP to ISP Node)		166	91	400	44	64
Total number of intra network links > 90%		0	0	0	0	0
Upstream links (ISP Node to NIXI/NAP/IGSP)		280	12	20	3	19
Percentage bandwidth utilized on upstream links	< 80%	74.59%	65.87%	83.22%	80.18%	35.33%
Broadband download speed	> 80%	86.00%	88.00%	87.99%	86.99%	98.19%
Service availability/uptime	> 98%	99.98%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.84%
Packet loss	< 1%	0.40%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.42%
Network Latency						
POP/ISP Node to NIXI	< 120 msec	16	15	45	37	39
ISP node to NAP port (Terrestrial)	< 350 msec	219	4	300	120	222

{\*Note: For BSNL data pertains to the sample 5% of exchanges audited during the period of to October to December 2009, whereas for rest of the operators figures pertain to all the exchanges present in the circle}



Figures provided on All India basis

Not meeting the benchmark

B'mark = TRAI Benchmark, DNA = Details not available, NA: Not Applicable



#### Critical findings and Key take outs: Broadband services

Before concluding the Audit findings for Broadband services we would like to accentuate the fact that some service providers claimed that they were submitting the PMR basis their inference of the QoS parameters. Also, there were differences observed in level of reporting for e.g. Sify, and BSNL (for network related parameters) consider all India as one circle and VSNL has been reporting PMR on the regional basis where 1 region would cover multiple circles. In fact the findings reported herewith for some of the parameters for these operators are on an all India basis.

The key conclusions (Parameter wise) emerging out from the Audit exercise of six Broadband service providers are highlighted below

#### Service provisioning/Activation time

- BSNL (96.24%) and Airtel (97.37%) marginally fall short of TRAI benchmark of 100% connections to be provided within 15 days.
- For Live calling carried out BSNL and Sify score below TRAI benchmark of connection being provided within 15 days.

#### Fault Repair/Restoration time

- All operators meet TRAI benchmark for fault repair by next working day and within three working days in Rajasthan circle
- TRAI can consider including Mean Time to Repair (MTTR) for faults as one of the parameters for measuring Quality of Services (QoS) in future for Broadband services as well.
- Also, Sify was found to be reporting only those fault complaints which are booked at the call centre. All the fault complaints booked at the cable operator's end are not taken into consideration while reporting in PMR
- For live calling done by IMRB auditors BSNL is way below the benchmark for fault repair

#### Billing performance

- All the service providers were found to be meeting the benchmark of percentage billings complaints received and time taken for resolution of billing complaints for the month in which data was collected. Sify however claim that all its retail broadband customers are prepaid and hence there are no billing complaints for Sify.
- Also for Shyam, billing is combined with its wireline services hence no separate data was available.
- It should also be noted that the definition of billing complaints/disputes can be considered as lenient as service providers include only those complaints where an internal ticket is opened and refund is made to the customer. Hence there is a need felt to have some clarity on the definition of billing complaints.

#### Customer Care/Helpline Assessment

- All the operators meet the TRAI specified benchmark for calls answered by the operator in 60 and 90 seconds for the month in which audit was carried out
- For live calling BSNL falls short of TRAI specified benchmark for calls answered by the operator in 60 seconds and 90 seconds.



#### Bandwidth Utilization:

- All the service providers were found to be using Multiple Router Traffic Grapher (MRTG) to measure the bandwidth utilization at intra network links.
- All the service providers were found to be reporting combined bandwidth utilization for corporate and household customers as there is no mechanism available to provide it separately for different users.
- For Intra network link, data for Sify, RCOM and BSNL was obtained on all India bases. None of the links tested for these operators was found to be having above 90% bandwidth utilization for the month in which audit was carried out
- Also It was observed that all the links (tested during three day live measurement) in the access segment for most of the service providers were found be below 80%.
- For Bandwidth utilization on upstream links (From ISP Node to IGSP/NIXI), operators Sify and Shyam Telelink do not meet the TRAI specified benchmark.

#### Download speed

- During live measurements carried out at Pop's/ISP Node it was observed that all the operators are meeting the TRAI prescribed benchmark of greater than 80% speed available to the customer. These measurements were carried out by IMRB auditors on a sample basis during visits at PoPs and ISP Node
- However, no historic data was available for verification of records for month of Audit as well as quarter ending January to March 2009 with the service providers. Most of them claimed that they are reporting to TRAI basis live tests conducted at customer premises during field visits and tests conducted at POPs/ISP Node.

#### Service Availability/Uptime:

• All the service providers are meeting the benchmark on service availability/uptime for the month of audit and 3 day live measurement carried out.

#### Packet Loss and Network Latency

- It was observed that almost all the service providers are measuring packet loss and latency by conducting random ping tests for their internal performance measurement.
- The verification of the records of old ping tests was done through latency graphs (available from smoke ping tool) for some of the operators.
- However, ping tests conducted/smoked ping results during live measurements revealed that all the service providers are meeting the benchmark prescribed by TRAI.



#### Summary of Live Measurement Results – Broadband Services

Parameters	Benchmarks	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Service provisioning uptime						
Percentage connections provided within 15 days	100%	72.92%	100.00%	96.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Fault repair restoration time						
Percentage faults repaired by next working days	> 90%	32.64%	91.00%	84.00%	84.00%	100.00%
Percentage faults repaired within three working days	> 99%	57.64%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Billing performance						
%age of billing complaints resolved in 4 weeks	100%	71.43%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Customer care/helpline assessment (Voice to Voice)						
Percentage calls answered within 60 seconds	> 60%	51.00%	91.00%	81.00%	91.00%	100.00%
Percentage calls answered within 90 seconds	> 80%	67.00%	99.00%	89.00%	98.00%	100.00%
Bandwidth utilization/Throughput		د ۲۰۰۰ م		<u>,</u>		<u> </u>
Intra network links (POP to ISP Node)		168	91	394	44	21
Total number of intra network links > 90%		0	0	0	0	0
Upstream links (ISP Node to NIXI/NAP/IGSP)		280	12	20	3	17
Percentage bandwidth utilized on upstream links	< 80%	75.34%	65.87%	83.04%	90.91%	36.57%
Broadband download speed	> 80%	86.00%	88.00%	87.99%	86.99%	98.19%
Service availability/uptime	> 98%	99.93%	99.99%	100.00%	100.00%	99.31%
Packet loss	< 1%	0.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.47%
Network Latency						
POP/ISP Node to NIXI	< 120 msec	17	16	56	37	46
ISP node to NAP port (Terrestrial)	< 350 msec	220	4	105	121	129.5



Figures provided on All India basis

Not meeting the benchmark

B'mark = TRAI Benchmark, DNA = Details not available, NA: Not Applicable

- All the service providers are meeting the benchmark on service availability/uptime for three day live measurements
- The testing for Bandwidth utilization during live measurement was carried out on sample basis by IMRB auditors for intra network links. None of the links tested for these operators was found to be having above 90% bandwidth utilization for the month in which audit was carried out
- For Bandwidth utilization on upstream links, all the service providers except Sify and Shyam are meeting the benchmark during the three day live measurement and have excess capacities available on their upstream links.
- For network latency all the service providers comfortably meet the TRAI specified benchmark for ping tests carried out during live measurements.



# 16.0 Detailed findings – Includes comparison between Live calling/Live measurements and One month data collection for Broadband Services

#### 16.1 Graphical/Tabular Representations for Broadband services

# Service provisioning / Activation time (Comparison between one month audit results and live calling results)



#### One month

Operator meeting benchmark: Sify, Shyam, RCOM Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL, Airtel

#### Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Shyam, RCOM Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL, Sify







#### One month

All operators are meeting the benchmark

#### Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, RCOM Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL, Sify, Shyam

# Fault repair/Restoration time within three working days (Comparison between one month audit results and live calling results





#### One month

All operators are meeting the benchmark

#### Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Sify, Shyam, RCOM Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL

#### Percentage bills disputed



All operators are meeting the benchmark

# <u>Resolution of billing complaints (Comparison between one month audit results and live calling results)</u>



**One month** All operators are meeting the benchmark



#### Live calling

No operator is meeting the benchmark

#### Refund of deposits after closure



#### All operators are meeting the benchmark

#### <u>Response time to customer for assistance - Calls answered by the operator within 60</u> <u>seconds (Comparison between one month audit results and live calling results)</u>



#### One month

All operators are meeting the benchmark

Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Sify, Shyam, RCOM Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL





#### <u>Response time to customer for assistance - Calls answered by the operator within 90</u> seconds (Comparison between one month audit results and live calling results)

#### One month

All operators are meeting the benchmark

#### Live calling

Operator meeting benchmark: Airtel, Sify, Shyam, RCOM Operator not meeting benchmark: BSNL

# Bandwidth utilization at Intra network links (Comparison between one month audit results and live measurement results)

Bandwidth Utilization (One month)	B'mark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total number of intra network links		166	91	400	44	64
No of Intra network found to be above 90%		0	0	0	0	0
	_					
Bandwidth Utilization (Live measurement)	B'mark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total number of intra network links		168	91	394	44	21
No of Intra network found to be above 90%		0	0	0	0	0
Broadband download speed	Benchma	rk BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
%age subscribed speed available to the subscriber during	>80%	86.00%	6 88.00%	87.99%	86.99%	98.19%

As far as bandwidth utilization on the intra network links is concerned all the operators seem to performing well as all the sample intra network links tested during live measurement were found to be below 90%.



# Service availability/Uptime (Comparison between one month audit results and live measurement results)



#### One month

All operators are meeting the benchmark

#### Live calling

All operators are meeting the benchmark



## <u>17.0 Compliance reports: Results of Verification of Records</u> for January to March 2009

### 17.1 Broadband services

Paramotore	Bonohmarko	BS	NL*	Aiı	rtel	Si	fy	RCOM	
Falalleters	Dencimarks	PMR <sup>#</sup>	IMRB	PMR	IMRB	PMR	IMRB	PMR	IMRB
Service provisioning uptime									
Percentage connections provided within 15 days	100%	<mark>100.00%</mark>	91.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.00%
Fault repair restoration time									
Percentage faults repaired by next working days	> 90%	92.00%	73.00%	99.00%	99.00%	90.00%	91.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Percentage faults repaired within three working days	> 99%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.00%	<mark>100.00%</mark>	100.00%	100.00%
Billing performance									
Billing complaints per 100 bills issued	< 2%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.45%	0.66%
%age of billing complaints resolved in 4 weeks	100%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	NA	NA	NA	100.00%
%age cases in which refund of deposits after closure was made in 60 days	100%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	NA	NA	100.00%	100.00%
Customer care/helpline assessment (Voice to Voice)									
Percentage calls answered within 60 seconds	> 60%	97.00%	97.00%	86.00%	86.00%	90.00%	<mark>100.00%</mark>	84.00%	84.00%
Percentage calls answered within 90 seconds	> 80%	100.00%	100.00%	91.00%	91.00%	100.00%	100.00%	88.00%	88.00%
Bandwidth utilization/Throughput									
Intra network links (POP to ISP Node)		NA	187	77	77	382	382	132	129
Total number of intra network links > 90%		NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Upstream Bandwidth (ISP Node to NIXI/NAP/IGSP)		NA	650	10	10	24	24	52	52
Percentage bandwidth utilized on upstream links	< 80%	NA	73.00%	61.11%	61.11%	79.00%	79.00%	45.00%	45.00%
Broadband download speed	> 80%	92.00%	92.00%	100.00%	100.00%	95.00%	85.00%	88.00%	88.00%
Service availability/uptime	> 98%	99.00%	<mark>100.00%</mark>	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.77%	99.77%
Packet loss	< 1%	NA	4.00%	0.00%	0.00%	<1%	0.00%	<1%	0.50%
Network Latency									
POP/ISP Node to NIXI ( in msec)	< 120 msec	NA	22.6	17	17	<45	45	22.31	37.13
ISP node to NAP port (Terrestrial) ( in msec)	< 350 msec	NA	243	4	4	<300	300	102.11	225.7

\* These have been calculated cumulatively on the basis of figures reported by various exchanges

#As per the PMR submitted by the operators in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter of 2009



Figures do not match with those reported in PMR

Not meeting the benchmark

B'mark = TRAI Benchmark, DNA = Details not available, NA: Not Applicable



### 17.2 Conclusions (Broadband)

#### **Broadband services**

- 1. Network data for Sify, BSNL and RCOM was verified on an all India level
- 2. For BSNL there is slight variation observed in for some parameters when compared to the figures reported in PMR. But the reason is largely the fact that data was obtained for sample 5% of exchanges whereas reporting is done for 100% of exchanges.
- 3. Historic data for Broadband download speed and Ping test conducted to check the latency and packet loss was not available for verification for all the service providers.
- 4. Although all the service providers claimed that they conduct random ping tests and latency to check the packet loss but there is no book keeping at their end. Records of old ping tests were found to be nonexistent.



# 18.0 Annexure - I (Wireline)

Name of the Service Provider	Name of POI not meeting the benchmark	Total No. of circuits on POI	Total No. of call attempts on POI	Total traffic served on POI (Erlang)	% of Congestion POI	Action already taken/ action plan for meeting the benchmark						
BSNL		All POIs are meeting TRAI specified benchmark of $\leq 0.5\%$										
Airtel		All F	POIs are meeting TF	RAI specified benc	chmark of $\leq 0.5\%$							
Reliance		All POIs are meeting TRAI specified benchmark of $\leq 0.5\%$										
Shyam		All F	POIs are meeting TF	RAI specified benc	chmark of $\leq 0.5\%$							

# 18.1 Parameter wise performance reports for Basic Wireline services

1.1 Live calling for Service provisioning											
	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam						
Total registrations / OB note issued in General category		385	100	0	100						
Number of connections provided within 7 days		285	100	0	100						
Percentage of connections provided within 7 days	100%	74.03%	100.00%	NA	100.00%						
Connections completed after 7 days including pending connections		100	0	0	0						

2.1 Audit Results for Fault repair											
Fault incidences	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam						
Faults incidences (No. of faults/100 Subs./month)	≤ 5	3.3	2.30	1.84	2.94						
Fault repair (Urban areas)	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam						
Total No. of faults registered during the month		21290	807	401	1519						
No. of faults repaired by next working day during the month		19573	767	401	1437						
Percentage of faults repaired by next working day during the month	≥ 90%	91.94%	95.04%	100.00%	94.60%						
No. of faults repaired within 3 days during the month		20941	804	401	1519						
Percentage of faults repaired within 3 days during the month	100%	98.36%	99.63%	100.00%	100.00%						
Fault repair (Rural & Hilly areas)	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam						

Fault repair (Rural & Hilly areas)	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Fotal No. of faults registered during the month		1824	NA	NA	NA
No. of faults repaired by next working day during the month		1237	NA	NA	NA
Percentage of faults repaired by next working day during the nonth	≥ 90%	67.82%	NA	NA	NA
No. of faults repaired within 5 days during the month		1745	NA	NA	NA
Percentage of faults repaired within 5 days during the month	100%	95.67%	NA	NA	NA



Rent rebate	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
No. of cases with faults pending for >3 days and ≤7 days		6	0	0	0
Out of these number of cases where rent rebate for 7 days was given		6	0	0	0
Percentage of cases where rent rebate for 7 days was given	100%	100.00%	NA	NA	NA
No. of cases with faults pending for >7 days and ≤15 days		11	0	0	0
Out of these number of cases where rent rebate for 15 days was given		11	0	0	0
Percentage of cases where rent rebate for 15 days was given	100%	100.00%	NA	NA	NA
No. of cases with faults pending for ≥15 days		26	1	0	0
Out of these number of cases where rent rebate for 30 days was given		26	1	0	0
Percentage of cases where rent rebate for 30 days was given	100%	100.00%	1	NA	NA

MTTR	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Mean time taken to repair the fault in hours	≤ 8	4.2	8.36	4.06	5.50

#### 2.2 Live calling for fault repair

Urban area	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam		
Total Number of calls made		649	100	50	100		
Number of cases where faults were repaired by next working day		214	91	46	79		
Percentage cases where faults were repaired by next working day	≥ 90%	32.97%	91.00%	92.00%	79.00%		
Number of cases where faults were repaired within 3 days		476	100	4	100		
Percentage cases where faults were repaired within 3 days	100%	73.34%	100.00%	8.00%	100.00%		

Rural & Hilly area	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total Number of calls made		4	NA	NA	NA
Number of cases where faults were repaired by next working day		4	NA	NA	NA
Percentage cases where faults were repaired by next working day	≥ 90%	100.00%	NA	NA	NA
Number of cases where faults were repaired within 5 days		4	NA	NA	NA
Percentage cases where faults were repaired within 5 days	100%	100.00%	NA	NA	NA

Γ



Traffic statistics - Call Completion Rate	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total local call attempts		628220	18229793	NA	28131600
Total number of successful local calls		390689	17189456	NA	25661620
Call Completion Rate (CCR) in the local network	≥ 55%	62.19%	94.29%	NA	91.22%
Traffic statistics - Answer to Seizure Ratio	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total number of calls processed by the switch		1474484	NA	210041	NA
Total number of calls answered		861205	NA	188160	NA
Answer to Seizure Ratio (ASR)	≥ 75%	58.41%	NA	89.58%	NA

#### 3.1 Audit Results for Call Completion Rate (CCR)

#### 3.2 Live measurement results for Call Completion Rate (CCR)

Traffic statistics - Call Completion Rate	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total local call attempts		91365	20308044	NA	86651716
Total number of successful local calls		71264	18894825	NA	78956241
Call Completion Rate (CCR) in the local network	≥ 55%	78.00%	93.04%	NA	91.12%

Traffic statistics - Answer to Seizure Ratio	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total number of calls processed by the switch		201451	NA	51997	NA
Total number of calls answered		124155	NA	46882	NA
Answer to Seizure Ratio (ASR)	≥ 75%	61.63%	NA	90.16%	NA

4. P	OI Congestion	n
------	---------------	---

4.1 Audit Results for POI Congestion								
POI congestion	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam			
POI traffic offered on all individual POI's		53816	2034.54	649.6	57654			
Served traffic for all POI's		53816	1368.8	649.6	57654			
Traffic failed on all POI's	≤ 0.5%	0.00%	0.33%	0.00%	0.00%			

#### 4.2 Live measurement results for POI congestion

POI congestion	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
POI traffic offered on all individual POI's		9918	2204.85	653.9	3132
Served traffic for all POI's		9918	1327.7	653.9	3132
Traffic failed on all POI's	≤ 0.5%	0.00%	0.40%	0.00%	0.00%

POI congestion	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
No. of POIs not meeting benchmark		0	0	0	0
Total number of working POIs		DNA	12	83	119



Billing Performance	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam						
Billing deputes - Postpaid											
Total bills generated during the period		254535	8541	7584	18402						
Total number of bills disputed		68	1	2	17						
Percentage bills disputed	≤ 0.1%	0.03%	0.01%	0.03%	0.09%						
Resolution of billing complaints											
Total complaints resolved in 4 weeks from date of receipt		68	1	2	17						
Percentage complaints resolved within 4 weeks of date of receipt	100%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%						
Period of apply	ing credit / wa	aiver									
Total number of cases requiring credit/waiver		57	1	2	6						
Total number of cases where credit/waiver was made within 1 week		57	1	2	6						
Percentage cases in which credit/waiver was received within 1 week	100%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%						

#### 5.1 Audit Results for Billing performance

#### 5.2 Live calling results for resolution of billing complaints

Resolution of billing complaints	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total Number of calls made		55	1	3	10
Number of cases resolved in 4 weeks		47	1	3	10
Percentage cases resolved in 4 weeks	100%	85.45%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

#### 6.1 Audit Results for Requests

Shift Requests	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total no. of requests received for Shifts		528	153	0	31
Total no. of requests for shifts attended within 3 days		452	153	0	31
Percentage of requests for shifts attended within 3 days	≥ 95%	85.61%	100.00%	NA	100.00%
Total no. of requests for shifts not attended or attended beyond 3 days		129	0	0	0

Closure Requests	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total no. of requests received for Closures		2469	561	4	78
Total no. of requests for closures attended within 7 days		1518	561	4	78
Percentage of requests for closures attended within 7 days	100%	61.48%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Total no. of requests for closures not attended or attended beyond 7 days		109	0	0	0



#### 6.2 Live calling for Requests

Shift Requests	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total no. of requests received for Shifts		147	50	0	15
Total no. of requests for shifts attended within 3 days		97	50	0	15
Percentage of requests for shifts attended within 3 days	≥ 95%	65.99%	100.00%	NA	100.00%
Total no. of requests for shifts not attended or attended beyond 3 days		50	0	0	0

#### 7.1 Audit results for customer care

Customer Care Assessment	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Percentage calls getting connected and answered	≥ 95%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Percentage calls answered within 60 seconds (voice to voice)	≥ 90%	83.00%	89.00%	92.00%	98.00%

#### 7.2 Live calling results for customer care

Customer Care Assessment	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total Number of calls received		508	100	100	100
Total Number of calls getting connected and answered		439	100	100	98
Percentage calls getting connected and answered	≥ 95%	86.42%	100.00%	100.00%	98.00%

#### 7.4 Live calling results for customer care (Voice to Voice)

Customer Care Assessment	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total Number of calls received		508	100	100	100
Total Number of calls answered within 60 seconds		293	89	91	90
Percentage calls answered within 60 seconds	≥ 90%	57.68%	89.00%	91.00%	90.00%

#### 8.1 Audit results for refund of deposits

Refund	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total number of cases requiring refund of deposits		2632	3	2	27
Total number of cases where refund was made within 60 days		1852	3	2	27
Percentage cases in which refund was receive within 60 days	100%	70.36%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

#### 9.1 Live calling for level 1 services

Level 1 services	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Reliance	Shyam
Total no. of calls made		475	100	100	100
Calls answered in 60 sec		395	96	96	97
Calls answered after 60 sec		51	4	4	3



# 19.0 Annexure - I (Wireless)

### **19.1 Service provider performance report based on one month data**

	Network Av	ailability	Connection	Establishment (	Accessibility)	Connection Maintenance (Retainability)		Metering and Billing			Response time to customer for assistance		Termination / closure of service		
Name of Service Provider	BTSs Accumulated downtime (not available for service) (%age)	Worst affected BTSs due to downtime (%age)	Call Set- up Success Rate (within licensee's own network)	SDCCH/ Paging Chl. Congestion (%age)	TCH Congestion (%age)	Call Drop Rate (%age)	Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH drop	%age of connection with good voice quality	Metering and billing credibility	%age complaints resolved within 4 weeks	Period of applying credit/waiver less than 1 week	Accessibility of call centre/ customer care	Percentage of calls answered by operators (voice to voice) within 60 sec	%age requests for Termination complied within 7 days	Refund of deposits after closure within 60 days
Benchmark	≤ 2%	≤2%	≥ 95%	≤1%	≤2%	≤2%	≤ 5%	≥ 95%	≤0.1%	100%	100%	≥ 95%	≥ 90%	100%	100%
Airtel	0.11%	0.11%	99.25%	0.16%	0.23%	1.00%	2.86%	98.60%	0.06%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	91.39%	99.06%	99.82%
Vodafone	0.03%	0.00%	99.61%	0.08%	0.10%	0.91%	4.44%	97.04%	0.09%	100.00%	100.00%	99.38%	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Tata CDMA	0.02%	0.00%	98.76%	0.00%	0.03%	0.67%	0.35%	98.76%	0.03%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	96.00%	93.58%	100.00%
Idea	0.08%	0.00%	99.46%	0.21%	0.28%	1.24%	8.77%	97.55%	0.02%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	97.00%	100.00%	100.00%
BSNL	1.69%	7.40%	98.66%	0.31%	1.20%	1.83%	10.19%	97.80%	0.18%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	90.12%	100.00%	100.00%
Reliance CDMA	0.17%	0.05%	99.43%	0.00%	0.16%	0.84%	0.11%	96.06%	0.10%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	94.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Reliance GSM	0.31%	1.05%	98.70%	0.03%	0.06%	0.53%	0.32%	97.87%	0.08%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	93.00%	NA	NA
MTS	0.06%	0.00%	98.22%	0.19%	1.78%	0.62%	3.16%	98.59%	0.09%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	97.96%



Name of the Service Provider	Name of POI not meeting the benchmark	Total No. of circuits on POI	Total No. of call attempts on POI	Total traffic served on POI (Erlang)	% of Congestion POI	Action already taken/ action plan for meeting the benchmark
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Airtel	All F	POIs are r	meeting ber	nchmark of	≤0.5% conge	stion
Vodafone	All F	POIs are r	neeting ber	nchmark of	<sup>-</sup> ≤0.5% conge	stion
Tata CDMA	All F	POIs are r	meeting ber	nchmark of	<sup>-</sup> ≤0.5% conge	stion
ldea	All F	POIs are r	neeting ber	nchmark of	<sup>-</sup> ≤0.5% conge	stion
BSNL	All F	POIs are r	meeting ber	nchmark of	<sup>-</sup> ≤0.5% conge	stion
Reliance CDMA	All F	POIs are r	neeting ber	nchmark of	≤0.5% conge	stion
Reliance GSM	All F	POIs are r	meeting ber	nchmark of	≤0.5% conge	stion
MTS	All F	POIs are r	neeting ber	nchmark of	<sup>-</sup> ≤0.5% conge	stion

### **19.2 Monthly Point of Interconnection (POI) Congestion Report**



### 19.3 Parameter wise performance reports for Cellular Mobile services

### 1. Network Availability

#### Audit Results for Network Availability

	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Number of BTSs in the licensed service area		6381	5751	941	2941	3499	1899	2479	1403
Sum of downtime of BTSs in a month (in hours)		5414	1247	144.38	1675.48	44111	2384	5652	677.7
BTSs accumulated downtime (not available for service)	≤ 2%	0.11%	0.03%	0.02%	0.08%	1.69%	0.17%	0.31%	0.06%
Number of BTSs having accumulated downtime >24 hours		7	0	0	0	259	1	26	0
Worst affected BTSs due to downtime	≤ 2%	0.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	7.40%	0.05%	1.05%	0.00%

#### 2. Connection Establishment (Accessibility) Audit Results for CSSR, SDCCH and TCH congestion

CSSR	B'mark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total number of call attempts		921,707,51 4	18866558	272898 1	75841741	597389 5	57482119	32053024	29661795
Total number of successful calls established		914,784,87 9	18792978	269515 6	75434394	589384 4	57154762	31636723	29133245
CSSR	≥ 95%	99.25%	99.61%	98.76%	99.46%	98.66%	99.43%	98.70%	98.22%

SDCCH congestion	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
SDCCH/Paging channel congestion	≤ 1%	0.16%	0.08%	0.00%	0.21%	0.31%	0.00%	0.03%	0.19%
TCH congestion	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
TCH congestion	≤ 2%	0.23%	0.10%	0.03%	0.28%	1.20%	0.16%	0.06%	1.78%



Operators not meeting the benchmark

DNA: Detailed breakup was not available with the operator. IMRB auditors have taken data the data directly from the counters.



CSSR	B'mar k	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Relianc e CDMA	Relianc e GSM	MTS
Total number of call attempts		1,212,333,63 4	18785134	24741240	85006450	6070884	5622732	2952066	2904609
Total number of successful calls established		1,205,545,24 4	18725022	24570859	84727733	5976785	5589526	2913002	2853252
CSSR	≥ 95%	99.44%	99.68%	99.31%	99.67%	98.45%	99.41%	98.68%	98.23%

#### Live measurement results for CSSR, SDCCH and TCH congestion

SDCCH congestion	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
SDCCH/Paging channel congestion	≤ 1%	0.14%	0.03%	0.00%	0.25%	0.26%	0.00%	0.02%	0.16%

TCH congestion	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
TCH congestion	≤ 2%	0.12%	0.09%	0.01%	0.17%	1.20%	0.15%	0.05%	1.77%



Operators not meeting the benchmark

DNA: Detailed breakup was not available with the operator. IMRB auditors have taken data the data directly from the counters.



CSSR	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total number of call attempts		269	260	304	294	249	135	282	248
Total number of successful calls established		267	259	304	294	243	135	282	246
CSSR	≥ 95%	99.26%	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	97.59%	100.00%	100.00%	99.19%

#### Drive test results for CSSR (Average of three drive tests) and blocked calls

Blocked calls	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
%age blocked calls		0.74%	0.38%	0.00%	0.00%	2.41%	0.00%	0.00%	0.81%

# 3. Connection Maintenance (Retainability) Audit Results for Call drop rate and for number of cells having more than 3% TCH

Call drop rate	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total number of calls established		447924973	14431483	2695166	75434394	5893844	DNA	DNA	29133245
Total number of calls dropped		4465853	131326	17928	934241	107857	DNA	DNA	179882
Call drop rate	≤2%	1.00%	0.91%	0.67%	1.24%	1.83%	0.84%	0.53%	0.62%

Cells having more than 3% TCH	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total number of cells in the network		18837	18098	2884	276353	9865	1899	7437	130510
Total number of cells having more than 3% TCH		538	803	10	24242	1005	2	24	4128
Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH	≤ 5%	2.86%	4.44%	0.35%	8.77%	10.19%	0.11%	0.32%	3.16%



Operators not meeting the benchmark

DNA: Detailed breakup was not available with the operator. IMRB auditors have taken data the data directly from the counters.



Call drop rate	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total number of calls established		488291882	14304508	24570859	84727733	5976785	DNA	DNA	2853252
Total number of calls dropped		3396306	128740	166209	894654	132087	DNA	DNA	17573
Call drop	≤2%	0.70%	0.90%	0.68%	1.06%	2.21%	0.82%	0.51%	0.62%

#### Live measurement results for Call drop rate and for number of cells having more than 3% TCH

Cells having more than 3% TCH	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total number of cells in the network		18767	18139	2884	26745	10203	1889	7437	12630
Total number of cells having more than 3% TCH		565	857	22	2422	1456	5	28	455
Worst affected cells having more than 3% TCH	≤ 5%	3.01%	4.72%	0.76%	9.06%	14.27%	0.26%	0.38%	3.60%

#### Drive test results for Call drop rate (Average of three drive tests)

Call drop rate	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total number of calls established		267	259	304	294	243	135	282	246
Total number of calls dropped		1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Call drop rate	≤2%	0.37%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.82%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

#### 4. Voice quality

#### Audit Results for Voice quality

Voice quality	Benchm ark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total number of sample calls		89292045681	DNA	9588	DNA	500	DNA	DNA	15289
Total number of calls with good voice quality		88043564640	DNA	9469	DNA	489	DNA	DNA	15074
%age calls with good voice quality	≥ 95%	98.60%	97.04%	98.76%	97.55%	97.80%	96.06%	97.87%	98.59%



Operators not meeting the benchmark

DNA: Detailed breakup was not available with the operator. IMRB auditors have taken data the data directly from the counters.



Voice quality	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total number of sample calls		483211	385966	9588	482068	287488	7443	37592	15289
Total number of calls with good voice quality		468438	361688	9469	471688	265528	7277	35248	15074
%age calls with good voice quality	≥ 95%	96.94%	93.71%	98.76%	97.85%	92.36%	97.77%	93.76%	98.59%

#### Drive test results for Voice quality (Average of three drive tests)

#### 5. POI Congestion Audit Results for POI Congestion

POI congestion	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	ldea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
No. of POIs not meeting benchmark		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total number of working POIs		141	128	186	110	113	93	93	51

#### 6. Inter Operator Call Assessment

Inter operator call Assessment To↓ From→	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	ldea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Airtel	NA	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Vodafone	100%	NA	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tata CDMA	100%	100%	NA	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
ldea	100%	100%	100.0%	NA	99%	100%	98%	99%
BSNL	99%	98%	99%	99%	NA	98%	98%	97%
Reliance CDMA	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	NA	100%	100%
Reliance GSM	98%	98%	98%	97%	94%	98%	NA	98%
МТЅ	99%	98%	100%	98%	99%	100%	98%	NA

The maximum problem faced by the calling operator to other operators



Operators not meeting the benchmark

DNA: Detailed breakup was not available with the operator. IMRB auditors have taken data the data directly from the counters.



Billing	Bench	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata	Idea		Reliance	Reliance	MTS		
Performance	mark	7 11 101	Voulono	CDMA	lucu	DONE	CDMA	GSM	MITO		
			Billing	disputes -	Postpaid						
Total bills generated during the period		179621	132672	94735	21706	260062	145926	4992	45108		
Total number of bills disputed		99	122	32	5	467	153	4	40		
Percentage bills disputed	<= 0.1%	0.06%	0.09%	0.03%	0.02%	0.18%	0.10%	0.08%	0.09%		
			Billin	g diputes -	Prepaid						
Number of complaints related to charging, credit & validity		0	1615	406	266	2702	305	238	528		
Total number of prepaid customers in that period		9136402	6612479	2512924	2024655	3190451	2114151	1474003	1069409		
Percentage of complaints	<= 0.1%	0.00%	0.02%	0.02%	0.01%	0.08%	0.01%	0.02%	0.05%		
Resolution of billing complaints											
Total complaints resolved in 4 weeks from date of receipt		99	1737	441	271	3169	1786	697	568		
Percentage complaints resolved within 4 weeks of date of receipt	100%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		
			Period of	applying cr	edit / waive	r					
Total number of cases requiring credit/waiver		97	15	319	126	272	458	242	77		
Total number of cases where credit/waiver was made within 1 week		97	15	319	126	272	458	242	77		
Percentage cases in which credit/waiver was received within 1 week	100%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		

#### 7. Metering and Billing credibility Audit Results for Billing performance



Operators not meeting the benchmark

DNA: Detailed breakup was not available with the operator. IMRB auditors have taken data the data directly from the counters.



Resolution of billing complaints	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	ldea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total Number of calls made		50	75	25	30	100	35	3	25
Number of cases resolved in 4 weeks		50	70	25	29	92	35	3	25
Percentage cases resolved in four weeks	100%	100.00%	93.33%	100.00%	96.67%	92.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

#### Live calling results for resolution of billing complaints

#### 8. Customer Care Audit results for customer care

Customer Care Assessment	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total Number of calls received		4667057	13053915	275222	4231138	66252	1825596	1758254	931661
Total Number of calls getting connected and answered (Elec.)		4667057	12972407	275222	4231138	66252	1825596	1758254	931661
Percentage calls getting connected and answered (Elec.)	≥ 95%	100.00%	99.38%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Percentage calls answered within 60 seconds (V2V)	≥ 90%	91.39%	99.00%	96.00%	97.00%	90.12%	94.00%	93.00%	100.00%

#### Live calling results for customer care

Customer Care Assessment	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total Number of calls received		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Total Number of calls getting connected and answered		100	100	100	100	95	100	99	100
Percentage calls getting connected and answered	≥ 95%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	99.00%	100.00%



Operators not meeting the benchmark

DNA: Detailed breakup was not available with the operator. IMRB auditors have taken data the data directly from the counters.


Customer Care Assessment	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total Number of calls received		99	98	99	97	71	99	98	99
Total Number of calls answered within 60 seconds		94	93	96	94	55	96	94	94
Percentage calls answered within 60 seconds	≥ 90%	94.95%	94.90%	96.97%	96.91%	77.46%	96.97%	95.92%	94.95%

#### Live calling results for customer care (Voice to Voice)

#### 9. Termination / closure of service Audit results for termination / closure of service

Termination	Benchmark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total number of closure request		1598	1990	1012	219	2907	2076	0	213
Number of requests attended within 7 days		1583	1990	947	219	2907	2076	0	213
Percentage cases in which termination done within 7 days	100%	99.06%	100.00%	93.58%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	NA	100.00%



Operators not meeting the benchmark

DNA: Detailed breakup was not available with the operator. IMRB auditors have taken data the data directly from the counters.



### Audit results for refund of deposits

Refund	Bench mark	Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS
Total number of cases requiring refund of deposits		544	947	343	200	977	988	0	49
Total number of cases where refund was made within 60 days		543	947	343	200	977	988	0	48
Percentage cases in which refund was receive within 60 days	100%	99.82%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	NA	97.96%

11. Additional Network Related parameter
--

Audit Results for Total Traffic Handled in Erlang											
Traffic in Erlang		Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	Idea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance Reliance CDMA GSM			
Eqipped capacity of the network		375907	185812	120173	70929	265400	202000		54000.00		
Total taffic handled in erlang during TCBH		281093	141037	38030	44018	103380	71569	71569	36774.8		

Total number of customers										
As per VLR		Airtel	Vodafone	Tata CDMA	ldea	BSNL	Reliance CDMA	Reliance GSM	MTS	
Total no. of customers served (as per VLR) on last day of the month		8578792	4548865	1289055	1639147	2197088	1550441	1550441	1160143	



Operators not meeting the benchmark

DNA: Detailed breakup was not available with the operator. IMRB auditors have taken data the data directly from the counters.



# 20.0 Annexure - I (Broadband)

## 20.1 Parameter wise performance reports for Broadband services

## 1. Service Provisioning

#### 1.1 Audit Results for Service provisioning

	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total connections registered during the period		6380	646	33	96	45
Number of connections provided within 15 days		6140	629	33	96	45
Percentage of connections provided within 15 days	100%	96.24%	97.37%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of connections provided after 15 days of registration of demand		240	17	0	0	0
Number of customers to whom credit is given for delayed connections		0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of customers to whom credit is given for delayed connections	100%	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA

#### 1.2 Live calling for Service provisioning

	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total connections registered during the period		192	100	25	30	20
Number of connections provided within 15 days		140	100	24	30	20
Percentage of connections provided within 15 days	100%	72.92%	100.00%	96.00%	100.00%	100.00%

## 2. Fault Incidence / Clearance Statistics

#### 2.1 Audit Results for Fault repair

Fault repair	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total No. of faults registered during the month		26349	264	96	385	18
No. of faults repaired by next working day during the month		25221	251	88	365	18
Percentage of faults repaired by next working day during the month	> 90%	95.72%	95.08%	91.67%	94.81%	100.00%
No. of faults repaired within 3 days during the month		26310	264	96	385	18
Percentage of faults repaired within 3 days during the month	>99%	99.85%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Rent rebate	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
No. of cases with faults pending for >3 days and ≤7 days		5	2	2	NA	0
Out of these number of cases where rent rebate for 7 days was given		5	2	2	NA	0
Percentage of cases where rent rebate for 7 days was given	100%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	NA	NA
No. of cases with faults pending for >7 days and ≤15 days		2	0	0	NA	0
Out of these number of cases where rent rebate for 15 days was given		2	0	0	NA	0
Percentage of cases where rent rebate for 15 days was given	100%	100.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
No. of cases with faults pending for ≥15 days		6	0	0	NA	0
Out of these number of cases where rent rebate for 30 days was given		6	0	0	NA	0
Percentage of cases where rent rebate for 30 days was given	100%	100.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA



#### 2.2 Live calling for fault repair

Fault repair	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total Number of calls made		144	100	25	50	10
Number of cases where faults were repaired by next working day		47	91	21	42	10
Percentage cases where faults were repaired by next working day	> 90%	32.64%	91.00%	84.00%	84.00%	100.00%
Number of cases where faults were repaired within 3 days		83	100	25	50	10
Percentage cases where faults were repaired within 3 days	>99%	57.64%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

## 3. Billing performance

#### 3.1 Audit Results for Billing performance

Billing Performance	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM				
Billing disputes										
Total bills generated during the period		169283	15545	NA	NA	1127				
Total number of bills disputed		377	1	NA	NA	4				
Percentage bills disputed	< 2%	0.22%	0.01%	NA	NA	0.35%				
Resolution of billing complaints										
Total complaints resolved in 4 weeks from date of receipt		377	1	NA	NA	4				
Percentage complaints resolved within 4 weeks of date of receipt	100%	100.00%	100.00%	NA	NA	100.00%				
Period of	refund									
Total number of cases requiring refund		31	1	NA	NA	1				
Total number of cases where credit/waiver was made within 60 days		31	1	NA	NA	1				
Percentage cases in which credit/waiver was received within 60 days	100%	100.00%	100.00%	NA	NA	100.00%				

#### 3.2 Live calling results for resolution of billing complaints

Resolution of billing complaints	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total Number of calls made		28	0	NA	NA	0
Number of cases resolved in 4 weeks		20	0	NA	NA	0
Percentage cases resolved in 4 weeks	100%	71.43%	NA	NA	NA	NA



#### 4. Response time to the customer for assistance

4.1 Audit results for customer care (Voice to Voice)

Customer Care Assessment	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total Number of calls received		11766	60866	121	590	320369
Total Number of calls answered within 60 seconds		9236	54771	121	590	252825
Percentage calls answered within 60 seconds	> 60%	78.50%	89.99%	100.00%	100.00%	78.92%

#### 4.2 Live calling results for customer care (Voice to Voice)

Customer Care Assessment	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total Number of calls received		100	100	100	100	100
Total Number of calls answered within 60 seconds		51	91	81	91	100
Percentage calls answered within 60 seconds	> 60%	51.00%	91.00%	81.00%	91.00%	100.00%

#### 4.3 Audit results for customer care (Voice to Voice)

Customer Care Assessment	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total Number of calls received		11766	60866	121	590	320369
Total Number of calls answered within 90 seconds		10330	57655	121	590	262075
Percentage calls answered within 90 seconds	> 80%	87.80%	94.72%	100.00%	100.00%	81.80%

#### 4.4 Live calling results for customer care (Voice to Voice)

Customer Care Assessment	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total Number of calls received		100	100	100	100	100
Total Number of calls answered within 90 seconds		67	99	89	98	100
Percentage calls answered within 90 seconds	> 80%	67.00%	99.00%	89.00%	98.00%	100.00%

### 5. Bandwidth utilization

#### 5.1 Audit results for Bandwidth Utilization

Bandwidth utilization	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM			
No of Intra network found to be above 90%									
Total number of intra network links		166	91	400	44	64			
Total Bandwidth Available at the links (in Mbps)		166000	91000	14614	172	81928			
Total Bandwidth utilized at all the links during TCBH (In Mbps)		32447	DNA	4620	24.6	16152			
Percentage Bandwidth utilized	<80%	19.55%	<80%	31.61%	14.30%	19.71%			
No of Intra network found to be above 90%		0	0	0	0	0			
International	Bandwidth								
Total number of upstream links		280	12	20	3	19			
Total International Bandwidth available from ISP Node to IGSP/NIXI/NAP (In mpbs)		43400	630	2830	66	39994			
Total International Bandwidth utilized during peak hours		32370	415	2355	52.92	14129			
Percentage Bandwidth utilization during peak hours (In mpbs)	<80%	74.59%	65.87%	83.22%	80.18%	35.33%			
No of Intra network found to be above 90%		0	0	0	0	0			



Bandwidth utilization	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM	
Intra-network links (POP to ISP Node)							
Total number of intra network links		168	91	394	44	21	
Total Bandwidth Available at the links (in Mbps)		168000	91000	15813	172	29928	
Total Bandwidth utilized at all the links during TCBH (In Mbps)		30706	DNA	4550	28	11018	
Percentage Bandwidth utilized	<80%	18.28%	<80%	28.77%	16.28%	36.82%	
No of Intra network found to be above 90%		0	0	0	0	0	
International	Bandwidth						
Total number of upstream links		280	12	20	3	17	
Total International Bandwidth available from ISP Node to IGSP/NIXI/NAP (In mpbs)		43400	630	2730	66	18049	
Total International Bandwidth utilized during peak hours		32698	415	2267	60	6601	
Percentage Bandwidth utilization during peak hours (In mpbs)	<80%	75.34%	65.87%	83.04%	90.91%	36.57%	
No of Intra network found to be above 90%		0	0	0	0	0	

## 6. Broadband download speed

#### 6.2 Live calling results for broadband download speed

Broadband download speed	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
%age subscribed speed available to the subscriber during TCBH (B/A)*100	>80%	86.00%	88.00%	87.99%	86.99%	98.19%

## 7. Service availability/uptime

#### 7.1 Audit results for service availability

Service Availability	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total Operational Hours		126000	11176368	744	65520	744
Total Downtime		30	256	0	0	1.17
Total time when the service was available		125970	11176116	744	65520	742.83
Service Availability Uptime in Percentage	>98%	99.98%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.84%

#### 7.2 Live measurement results for service availability

Service Availability	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Total Operational Hours		12600	1117637	72	6552	72
Total Downtime		9	146	0	0	0.5
Total time when the service was available		12591	1117491	72	6552	71.5
Service Availability Uptime in Percentage	>98%	99.93%	99.99%	100.00%	100.00%	99.31%



## 8. Network latency / Packet loss

#### 8.1 Audit results for Latency and packet loss

Network Latency and Packet Loss	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Packet Loss (Percentage)	< 1%	0.40%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.42%
Network	Latency					
From user reference point at POP/ISP Node to IGSP/ NIXI (msec)	<120msec	16	15	45	37	39
From user reference point at ISP Gateway Node to nearest NAP Port (Terrestrial) (In msec)	<350msec	219	4	300	120	222

#### 8.2 Live measurement results for Latency and packet loss

Network Latency and Packet Loss	Benchmark	BSNL	Airtel	Sify	Shyam	RCOM
Packet Loss (Percentage)	< 1%	0.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.47%
Network Latency						
From user reference point at POP/ISP Node to IGSP/ NIXI (msec)	<120msec	17	16	56	37	46
From user reference point at ISP Gateway Node to nearest NAP Port (Terrestrial) (In msec)	<350msec	220	4	105	121	130



# 21.0 Annexure – II Detailed Explanation of Audit methodology (Parameter wise)

## 21.1 For Basic (Wireline) services

1. Provision of telephone after registration of demand		
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	Percentage connections provided within 7 working days = (No. of connections provided within seven working days/ Total number of connections registered during the period of 3 months) * 100 Technically Non Feasible (TNF) cases such as unavailability of telephone infrastructure/ equipment in the Area or Spare Capacity for activating telephone connection shall be excluded from the calculation of this parameter.	
Benchmark	100% cases in <7 days, subject to technical feasibility	
Audit Procedure	<ul> <li>IMRB Auditors verified and collected data pertaining to number of applications received at the service provider's level in the following time frames:-         <ul> <li>Number of connections provided within 7 days</li> <li>Number of connections provided after 7 days</li> <li>Number of connections were request is still pending</li> </ul> </li> <li>Live calling :-         <ul> <li>Interviewers ensured that operator should provide list of all new numbers added in one month prior to IMRB staff visit.</li> <li>Live calling team called up at least 10% of the customers who applied for new connections during the month prior to Audit</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	- Checked and Recorded whether the connection was provided within 7 days of registration on demand	

2. Fault incidence/clearance related statistic		
Computational Methodology	<b>Fault incidence</b> = (No. of faults reported by the customer per month/ Total Number of Subscribers for that particular month)*100	
Benchmark	Total number of faults registered per month: <=5 complaints per 100 subscribers Fault repair by next working day: >=90% and within 3 days: 100%, averaged over a quarter.	
Audit Procedure	IMRB Auditors to verify and collect data pertaining to number of fault received at the service provider's level in the following time frames:- Number of faults cleared within 24 hours Number of cleared in more than 1 day but less than 3 days Number of cleared in more than 3 days but less than 7 days Number of cleared in more than 7 days but less than 15 days Number of cleared in more than 15 days Live calling : - -Live calling to be done to verify 'Fault repair by next working day' parameter -Interviewers ensured that operator provided a list of all the subscribers who reported faults in one month prior to IMRB staff visit. -Calls were made to up to 10% or 30 complainants for the concerned exchange, whichever is less - Auditors checked and recorded whether the fault was corrected within the timeframes as mentioned in the benchmark.	



3. Metering and billing credibility	– billing complaints
Computational Methodology	Percentage incidence of billing complaints = (No. of billing complaints reported by the customer per month/ Total Number of Subscribers for that particular month)*100 Percentage resolution of billing complaints = (No. of billing complaints resolved over a particular period of time/Total No. of billing complaints of that period of time)*100
Benchmark	Percentage incidence of billing complaints: Not more than 0.1% of the bills issued Percentage resolution of billing complaints: 100% within a period of 4 weeks Period of applying credit/waiver/adjustment : In 100% of the cases within 1 week of resolution of complaint
Audit Procedure	<ul> <li>IMRB Auditors to verify and collect data pertaining to <ul> <li>Number of Billing complaints received at the service provider's level</li> <li>Last billing cycle stated should be such that due date for payment of bills must be beyond the date when this form is filled.</li> <li>Include all types of bills generated for customers. This could include online as well as other forms of bills presentation including printed bills</li> <li>Billing complaint is any of written complaint/ personal visit/ telephonic complaint related to: Excess metering/ wrong tariff scheme charged, Late receipt of bills/ Not received at all, Wrong name and address, Payment made in time but charged penalty/ not reflected in next bill, Last payment not reflected in bill, Adjustment/ waiver not done, Anything else related to bills, Toll free numbers charged etc.</li> <li>Live calling : -</li> <li>IMRB Auditors collected the list of all the subscribers who have made billing complaints in the month prior to the Audit.</li> <li>-100 such subscribers per service provider were called to check the time taken to resolve t he billing complaint. However, in some cases where number of billing complaints were less the sample size could not be achieved</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

4. Customer care promptness (Shifts and Closures)		
Computational Methodology	Shifts and closure requests	
Benchmark	Shifting of telephone line : Less than 3 days	
	Processing of closure request: Less than 7 days	
	IMRB Auditors collected and verified data pertaining to	
	Shifting Request: (Following key points were taken care of while verifying the data)	
	- Date of filing form should be at least 3 working days after the date of month appraised.	
	- All the holidays are excluded and only working days are considered	
	- The number of shift requests per month does not include the pending connections of the	
	previous months.	
Audit procedure	Processing of closure request (Following key points were taken care of while verifying the data)	
	- The operator includes all Requests for volunteer Permanent Closure and External (shifts	
	to other exchanges) Shift requests received at their exchange.	
	- DNP (due to Non – payment) cases are excluded	
	- All holidays are excluded for calculating 7 days.	
	- Closure requests attended in the previous months are excluded	
	- The period for closure starts from the time of submission of application by the subscriber.	

5. Response time to customer	
Computational Methodology	Percentage of calls answered in a specified time = (Total no. of calls answered within that specified time / Total no. of calls dialed for a particular service)*100
Benchmark	<ul> <li>(i) % age of calls getting connected and answered: In 95% of the cases or more</li> <li>(ii) % age of calls answered by operator / voice to voice) within 60 seconds: In 90% of the cases or more</li> </ul>



Audit Procedure	<ul> <li>-IMRB auditors made test calls from the exchanges to the operator's customer care / helpline / toll free numbers. They will record the time taken to connect a customer's call both to the IVR as well as to a customer care executive.</li> <li>- All the supplementary services that have any kind of human intervention are to be covered here. It also includes the IVR assisted services.</li> <li>- Time to answer the call by the operator should be taken from the time auditor has pressed the requisite button for being assisted by the operator.</li> <li>Live calling: -</li> <li>- Overall sample size is 2*50 calls per service provider per circle at different points of time, evenly distributed across the selected exchanges – 50 calls between 1000 HRS to 1300 HRS and 50 calls between 1500 HRS to 1700 HRS</li> <li>- Time to answer the call by the operator was assessed from the time interviewer pressed the requisite button for being assisted by the operator.</li> <li>- All the supplementary services that have any kind of human intervention are to be covered here. It also includes the IVR assisted services.</li> </ul>

6. Time taken to refund of deposits after closure		
Computational Methodology	Percentage of cases needing refund in a specified time = (Total no. of cases where refund within a particular time / Total no. of cases requiring refunde)*100	
Benchmark	Time taken to refund = 100% within 60 days	
Audit Procedure	IMRB Auditors verified and collected data pertaining to	
	- Cases requiring refund of deposits after closure are to be included	
	- Time taken starts from the date on which the closure is made by the service provider and	
	ends at the date on which refund is received by the customer	
	Live calling : -	
	- Collect the details of all the cases for which the refund was provided by the operator prior	
	to the month of Audit	
	- Overall 100 number of live calls are to be made in a licensed service area/circle for each service provider (Distributed across number of exchanges selected)	

7 Coll commistion note	
7. Call completion rate	
Computational Methodology	Call Completion Rate: Call Completion Rate (CCR) is defined as the percentage of total calls that are connected out of the total calls presented to exchange. This could be due to:- Other exchange not working / lines blocked Calling exchange is blocked CCR = [(Call attempts – Calls blocked)/Call attempts] X 100
Benchmark	Call Completion Rate (CCR) within local network: More than 55%
Audit Procedure	IMRB Auditors verified and collected data pertaining to Sample Traffic Data during Time Consistent Busy Hour (TCBH). These details were collected separately for -Three days in which live measurement was carried out - For the complete month in which audit was carried out



# 21.2 Cellular Mobile services

1. Accumulated Downtime of the	Network
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	BTSs accumulated downtime (not available for service) shall basically measure the downtime of the BTSs, including its transmission links/circuits during the period of a month, but excludes all planned service downtime for any maintenance or software upgradation.         Computational Methodology:         • BTSs Accumulated downtime = Sum of downtime of BTSs in a month in hours i.e. total outage time of all BTSs in hours during a month X 100         • 24 X No. of days in the month X No. of BTSs in the network in the licensed service area         • Worst affected BTSs due to downtime = No. of BTSs having accumulated downtime >24 hours in a month X 100         • Total No. of BTSs in the network in the licensed service area
Benchmark	<ul> <li>BTSs Accumulated downtime (not available for service) ≤ 2%</li> <li>Worst affected BTSs due to downtime ≤ 2%</li> </ul>
Audit Procedure	IMRB auditors collected and verified data pertaining to: The fault alarm details at the OMC (MSC) for the network outages (due to own network elements and infrastructure service provider end outages) used for arriving at the benchmark reported to TRAI were audit

2. Call Set-Up Success Rate (CSSR)		
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	The ratio of calls established to total calls is known CSSR. Call Established means the following events have happened in call setup:-	
Benchmark	> 95%	
Audit Procedure	IMRB auditors collected and verified data pertaining to         *       The cell-wise data generated through counters/ MMC available in the switch for traffic measurements was verified by the auditors         *       CSSR calculation was measured using OMC generated data only         *       Measurement was done only in Time Consistent Busy Hour (TCBH) period for all days of the week	



3. Network Congestion Parameter	S
3. Network Congestion Parameter Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	S It means a call is not connected because there is no free channel to serve the call attempt. This parameter represents congestion in the network. It happens at three levels: SDCCH Level: Stand-alone dedicated control channel TCH Level: Traffic Channel POI Level: Point of Interconnect Computational Methodology: SDCCH / TCH Congestion% = [(A1 x C1) + (A2 x C2) ++ (An x Cn)] / (A1 + A2 + + An) Where:-A1 = Number of attempts to establish SDCCH / TCH made on day 1 C1 = Average SDCCH / TCH Congestion % on day 1 A2 = Number of attempts to establish SDCCH / TCH made on day 2 C2 = Average SDCCH / TCH Congestion % on day 2 An = Number of attempts to establish SDCCH / TCH made on day 1 C1 = Average SDCCH / TCH Congestion % on day n POI Congestion% = [(A1 x C1) + (A2 x C2) ++ (An x Cn)] / (A1 + A2 ++ An) Where:-A1 = POI traffic offered on all POIs (no. of calls) on day 1 C1 = Average POI Congestion % on day 1 C1 = Average POI Congestion % on day 1
	<ul> <li>C1 = Average POI Congestion % on day 1</li> <li>A2 = POI traffic offered on all POIs (no. of calls) on day 2</li> <li>C2 = Average POI Congestion % on day 2</li> <li>An = POI traffic offered on all POIs (no. of calls) on day n</li> <li>Cn = Average POI Congestion % on day n</li> </ul>
Benchmark	SDCCH Congestion: ≤ 1% TCH Congestion: ≤ 2% POI Congestion: ≤ 0.5%
Audit Procedure	<ul> <li>IMRB Auditors collected and verified records pertaining to:</li> <li>Audit of the details of SDCCH and TCH congestion percentages computed by the operator (using OMC–Switch data only) was conducted</li> <li>The operator should be measuring this parameter during Time consistent busy hour (TCBH) only SDCCH</li> <li>The POI details were verified from the switch for all the links of the operators</li> </ul>

4. Call Drop Rate	
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	The dropped call rate is the ratio of successfully originated calls that were found to drop to the total number of successfully originated calls that were correctly released
Benchmark	≤ 2%
Audit Procedure	<ul> <li>IMRB Auditors collected and verified records pertaining to:</li> <li>Audit of traffic data of the relevant quarter kept in OMC-R at MSCs and used for arriving at CDR was conducted.</li> <li>The operator should only be considering those calls which are dropped during Time consistent busy hour (TCBH) for all days of the relevant quarter</li> </ul>



5. Connections with Good Voice C	Quality
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	Definition:       Image: Service providers the calls having a value of 0 - 4 are considered to be of good quality (on a seven point scale)         Image: Service providers the calls having a value of 0 - 4 are considered to be of good quality (on a seven point scale)         Image: Service providers the calls having a value of 0 - 4 are considered to be of good quality (on a seven point scale)         Image: Service providers the calls having a value of 0 - 4 are considered to be of good quality is Frame Error Rate (FER). FER is the probability that a transmitted frame will be received incorrectly. Good voice quality of a call is considered when it FER value lies between 0 - 4 %         Computational Methodology:         Image: Service providers the good voice quality = (No. of voice samples with good voice quality / Total number of samples) x 100
Benchmark	≥ 95%
Audit Procedure	<ul> <li>IMRB Auditors collected and verified records pertaining to:</li> <li>Audit would be conducted based on the details of periodic drive tests conducted at different part of the network during Time consistent busy hour (TCBH) and used to arrive at the benchmarks reported to TRAI.</li> <li>Procedures that were to be followed by operator for obtaining relevant details for computing this parameter were audited</li> <li>♦ Operator to conduct at least one drive test using standard drive test equipment every week during TCBH</li> <li>♦ Each drive test should evenly cover the following 5 types of locations:</li> <li>♦ 3 Outdoor (Periphery of the city, Congested Area, Across the City), and 2 Indoor (Office Complex and Shopping Complex)</li> <li>♦ 2 minute long calls to be initiated and held throughout the drive test</li> <li>♦ The speed of the vehicle should be kept at around 50km/hr. (around 30 km/hr in case of geographically small cities) – This was ensured during the drive tests conducted by IMRB Auditors</li> <li>♦ RxQual / FER samples generated during the drive test collected by the operator were verified</li> <li>♦ Measurements using Engineering handsets were not acceptable</li> <li>♦ All the operators were not maintaining this data at the switch level</li> </ul>

6. Service Coverage	
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	Definition:       Image: Service Coverage for route type x = [(N1 x CSS1) + (N2 x CSS2) ++ (Nn x CSSn)] / (N1 + N2 ++Nn)         Image: Service Coverage for route type x = [(N1 x CSS1) + (N2 x CSS2) ++ (Nn x CSSn)] / (N1 + N2 ++Nn)         Image: Service Coverage for route type x = [(N1 x CSS1) + (N2 x CSS2) ++ (Nn x CSSn)] / (N1 + N2 ++Nn)         Image: Service Coverage for route type x = [(N1 x CSS1) + (N2 x CSS2) ++ (Nn x CSSn)] / (N1 + N2 ++Nn)         Image: Service Coverage for route type x = [(N1 x CSS1) + (N2 x CSS2) ++ (Nn x CSSn)] / (N1 + N2 ++Nn)         Image: Service Coverage for route type x = [(N1 x CSS1) + (N2 x CSS2) ++ (Nn x CSSn)] / (N1 + N2 ++Nn)         Image: Service Coverage for route type x = [(N1 x CSS1) + (N2 x CSS2) ++ (Nn x CSSn] = Average coverage signal strength on type of route x in drive test 1 (in dBm)         Image: Service Coverage for route type x = [(N1 x CSS1) + (N2 x CSS2) ++ (Nn x CSS1)] / (N1 + N2 ++ Nn)         Image: Service Coverage for route type x = [(N1 x CSS1) + (N2 x CSS2) ++ (Nn x CSS1)] / (N1 + N2 ++ Nn)         Image: Service Coverage for route type of route x in drive test 1 (in dBm)         Image: Service Coverage for route x in drive test n         Image: Service Coverage for route x in drive test n (in dBm)         Image: Service Coverage for route x in drive test n (in dBm)
Benchmark	Indoor >= -75 dBm In-vehicle >= -85 dBm Outdoor – in city >= -95 dBm
Audit Procedure	<ul> <li>IMRB Auditors collected and verified call centre records pertaining to:</li> <li>Audit was conducted based on the details of periodic drive tests conducted at different part of the network during Time consistent busy hour (TCBH) which were used to arrive at the benchmarks reported to TRAI.</li> <li>♥ Procedures were verified that were to be followed by operator for obtaining relevant details for computing this parameter:-</li> </ul>



Operator to conduct at least one drive test using standard drive test equipment* every week during Time consistent busy hour (TCBH).
Each drive test should evenly cover the following 5 types of locations: -
S Outdoor (Periphery of the city, Congested Area, Across the City), and
4 2 Indoor (Office Complex and Shopping Complex)
\$ Measurements using Engineering handsets were not acceptable

7. Response time to customer	
Computational Methodology	To connect to Customer care: The time taken to connect a person (as soon as he presses call) to the IVR of the service provider To connect to operator: The time taken to connect a person (as soon as he presses 9) to the customer care executive Computational Methodology: • % age of calls getting connected = Total number of calls getting connected X 100 Total number of calls made
	<ul> <li>% age of calls answered within 60 sec (voice to voice) = Total number of calls answered within 60 seconds X 100</li> <li>Total number of calls made</li> </ul>
Benchmark	<ul> <li>% age of calls getting connected and answered ≥ 95%</li> <li>% age of calls answered by operator (voice to voice) within 60 seconds ≥ 90%</li> </ul>
Audit Procedure	<ul> <li>-IMRB auditors made test calls from the exchanges to the operator's customer care / helpline / toll free numbers. They will record the time taken to connect a customer's call both to the IVR as well as to a customer care executive.</li> <li>- All the supplementary services that have any kind of human intervention are to be covered here. It also includes the IVR assisted services.</li> <li>- Time to answer the call by the operator should be taken from the time auditor has pressed the requisite button for being assisted by the operator.</li> <li>Live calling: -</li> <li>- Overall sample size is 2*50 calls per service provider per circle at different points of time, evenly distributed across the selected exchanges – 50 calls between 1000 HRS to 1300 HRS and 50 calls between 1500 HRS to 1700 HRS</li> <li>- Time to answer the call by the operator was assessed from the time interviewer pressed the requisite button for being assisted by the operator.</li> <li>- All the supplementary services that have any kind of human intervention are to be covered here. It also includes the IVR assisted services.</li> </ul>

8.1 Billing complaints per 100 bills issued



Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	<ul> <li>Billing complaints includes any of the following complaints related to billing from the point of view of customer: <ul> <li>Local call charges billed as STD/ISD or vice-versa</li> <li>Toll free numbers charged</li> <li>Wrong roaming charges</li> <li>Call made/received disputed</li> <li>Wrongly charged extra for some service (SIM replacement charged twice, service not used but charged etc.)</li> <li>Cheque submitted on time but charged penalty for paying beyond due date (in case customer is not at fault i.e. all those that operator cannot prove that he/she is not lying)</li> <li>Payment made but not reflected (may be wrongly adjusted to another customer etc.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Billing complaints per 100 bills issued = Total billing complaints** received during the relevant quarter / Total bills generated* during the relevant quarter</li> <li>* All types of bills generated for customers i.e. printed bills, online bills and any other forms of bills generated are to be included</li> <li>** Only dispute related issues (including those that may arise because of a lack of awareness at the subscribers' end) are to be included. It does not include any provisional issues (such as delayed dispatch of billing statements, etc.) in which the operator has opened a tickt internality.</li> </ul>
Benchmark	< 0.1% billing complaints per 100 bills
Audit Procedure	IMRB auditors collected and verified data pertaining to - Number of bills generated - Number of billing complaints received - %age complaints per 100 bills

8.2 Resolution of billing complaints	
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	<ul> <li>%age of billing complaints resolved within 4 weeks=(Complaints resolved in 4 weeks from date of receipt / Total billing complaints received during the relevant period) x 100</li> <li><u>Only</u> dispute related issues (including those that may arise because of a lack of awareness at the subscribers' end) are to be included. It does not include any provisional issues (such as delayed dispatch of billing statements, etc.) in which the operator has opened a ticket internally.</li> <li>Date of resolution in this case would refer to the date when a communication has taken place from the operator's end to inform the complainant about the final resolution of the issue / dispute.</li> </ul>
Benchmark	100% cases to be resolved within 4 weeks
Audit Procedure	IMRB Auditors collected and verified data pertaining to         - Total number of billing complaints/bills disputed         - Number of complaints resolved in 4 weeks         Live calling : -         Overall 100 number of live calls made in a licensed service area/circle for each service provider. However in certain cases the sample could not be achieved as bills disputed
	(prior to the month of Audit) were found to be less than100
Benchmark	100% cases in less than 1 week
Audit Procedure	Audit of refund details and complaints (only those resulting in refunds) resolution details used for arriving at the figures reported to TRAI to be conducted. Operator to provide details of:- <ul> <li>Dates of resolution of all billing complaints resolved in favour of customer</li> </ul>



and resulting in requirement of a refund by the operator <ul> <li><u>Dates of refund</u> pertaining to all billing complaints received during the relevant quarter</li> <li>Also random live checks of all subscribers entitled for refund were conducted</li> </ul>
--

# 21.3 For Broadband services

1. Service provisioning/Activation	n time
	Service provisioning time refers to the time taken from the date of receipt of an application to the date when the service is activated
	<b>Percentage connections provided within X working days =</b> No of connections provided within X working days/ Total number of connections registered during the period * 100
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	<b>Technically Non Feasible (TNF)</b> cases such as unavailability of Broadband infrastructure/ equipment in the Area or Spare Capacity i.e. Broadband Ports including equipment to be installed at the customer premises for activating Broadband connection shall be excluded from the calculation of this parameter.
	Also, problems relating to customer owned equipment such as PC, LAN Card/ USB Port and internal wiring or non-availability of such equipment shall be excluded from the calculation of this parameter.
Benchmark	100 % cases in =<15 working days.
Audit Procedure	IMRB auditors collected and verified data pertaining to -Number of applications received at the service provider's level -Number of connections provided within 15 days -Number of connections provided after 15 days Live calling : At least 10% of the subscribers who had requested for new connections in month prior to Audit were called to check whether connection was provided in 15 days

2. Fault repair/Restoration time	
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	This refers to the time taken to restore the existing customer service to operational level from the time that a problem or fault is reported <b>Percentage faults repaired in X working days</b> = (Total no of faults repaired in X working days /Total number of faults reported during the period)*100 The time period for fault repair starts from the time when the fault is reported to the service provider either through customer care help line or in person by the subscriber Only the complaints registered till the close of the business hours of the day are to be taken into account. All the complaints registered after the business hours are to be considered as being registered in the next day business hours
Benchmark	By next working day: > 90% and within 3 working days: 99%
Audit Procedure	<ul> <li>IMRB auditors collected and verified data pertaining to         <ul> <li>Number of applications received at the service provider's level</li> <li>Number of connections provided within 15 days</li> <li>Number of connections provided after 15 days</li> </ul> </li> <li>Live calling : At least 10% of the subscribers who had requested for new connections in month prior to Audit were called to check whether connection was provided in 15 days</li> </ul>



3. Billing complaints per 100 bills issued	
	<ul> <li>Billing complaints includes any of the following complaints related to billing from the point of view of customer:</li> <li>Wrongly charged extra for some service</li> <li>Cheque submitted on time but charged penalty for paying beyond due date</li> <li>Payment made but not reflected (may be wrongly adjusted to another customer etc.)</li> </ul>
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	Billing complaints per 100 bills issued = Total billing complaints** received during the relevant quarter / Total bills generated* during the relevant quarter * All types of bills generated for customers i.e. printed bills, online bills and any other forms of bills generated are to be included
	** <u>Only</u> dispute related issues (including those that may arise because of a lack of awareness at the subscribers' end) are to be included. It does not include any provisional issues (such as delayed dispatch of billing statements, etc.) in which the operator has opened a ticket internally.
Benchmark	< 2% billing complaints per 100 bills
Audit Procedure	IMRB auditors collected and verified data pertaining to - Number of bills generated - Number of billing complaints received - %age complaints per 100 bills

3.1. Resolution of billing complai	3.1. Resolution of billing complaints	
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	%age of billing complaints resolved within 4 weeks=(Complaints resolved*** in 4 weeks from date of receipt / Total billing complaints** received during the period 2008) x 100         Only dispute related issues (including those that may arise because of a lack of awareness at the subscribers' end) are to be included. It does not include any provisional issues (such as delayed dispatch of billing statements, etc.) in which the operator has opened a ticket internally.         Date of resolution in this case would refer to the date when a communication has taken place from the operator's end to inform the complainant about the final resolution of the	
	issue / dispute.	
Benchmark	100% cases to be resolved within 4 weeks	
Audit Procedure	<ul> <li>IMRB Auditors collected and verified data pertaining to         <ul> <li>Total number of billing complaints/bills disputed</li> <li>Number of complaints resolved in 4 weeks</li> </ul> </li> <li>Live calling : -         <ul> <li>Overall 100 number of live calls are to be made in a licensed service area/circle for each service provider. However in certain cases the sample could not be achieved as bills disputed (prior to the month of Audit) were found to be less than100</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	



	Time taken to refund = Date of refund – Date of closure
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	Date of closure is considered to be the date on which the connection is discontinued in the service provider database of active customers
Benchmark	100% cases in less than 60 days
Audit Procedure	IMRB Auditors collected and verified data pertaining to -Number of cases requiring refund of deposits -Number of cases where refund was made within 60 days -%age cases where refund was made within 60 days

4. Response time to customer for assistance	
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	%age of calls answered by operator (voice to voice) within n seconds = (Number of calls where time taken for operator to respond* >= n sec / Total number of calls where an attempt to route to the operator was made) x 100
	<u>Time taken for operator to respond</u> = Time when an operator responds to a call – Time when the relevant code to reach the operator is dialled
Benchmark	Calls answered within 60 seconds > 60 % Calls answered within > 80%
Audit Procedure	IMRB Auditors collected and verified call centre records pertaining to         -Number of calls received by the operator         -Number and %age calls answered within 60 seconds         -Number and percentage calls answered within 90 seconds         Live calling : -         Overall 100 number of live calls at different points of time were made in a licensed service area/circle for each service provider to assess the efficiency of the call centre

5. Bandwidth Utilization	
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	Percentage Bandwidth available on the link = Total Bandwidth* utilised in TCBH for the period/ Total Bandwidth Available during the period*100
	Multi Router Traffic Grapher (MRTG) is to be used to measure the details of Bandwidth utilisation by service providers
Benchmark	<ul> <li> &lt; 80% link(s)/route bandwidth utilization during peak hours (TCBH).</li> <li> If on any link(s)/route bandwidth utilization exceeds 90%, then network is considered to have congestion. For this additional provisioning of bandwidth on immediate basis, but not later than one month is mandated.</li> </ul>
Audit Procedure	IMRB Auditors collected and verified call centre records pertaining to         (I)POP to ISP gateway Node [Intra – network] Links         -Auditors to verify and collect data pertaining to Total Bandwidth available and Total Bandwidth utilised during TCBH at some of the sample intra network links (POP to ISP Node) on each of the three days of live measurement separately         - Total Bandwidth available and Total bandwidth utilised during at the sample links TCBH for the complete month of audit         - Total number of intra network links having >90% bandwidth utilisation during the month of Audit         (ii) ISP Gateway Node to IGSP / NIXI Node upstream Link's) for international connectivity         - Total number of upstream links for International connectivity         - Total number of links having Bandwidth > 90% Total Bandwidth available and Total Bandwidth utilised on all the upstream links during TCBH (POP to ISP Node) on each of the three days of live measurement separately         - Total Bandwidth available and Total bandwidth utilised at all the international links during TCBH for the complete month of audit (Also obtain details separately for the days)



Broadband download speed	
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	This refers to the ratio of size of the file to be downloaded and total time required for error free transmission of the file
Benchmark	Subscribed broadband connection speed to be met >80% from ISP Node to user
Audit Procedure	Live calling : - -Details of live customers were obtained from the service providers -Overall 50 number of live calls at were made during peak hours in a licensed service area/circle for each service provider to assess the download speed available to subscribers. Tool provided by the on the service providers website was used for the same -Details of total committed download speed and speed available to the users were recorded for each of the subscriber - Percentage download speed available was calculated as = Sum of total speed available for 50 customers/Total committed download speed for 50 customers*100

Service availability/Uptime	
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	Service availability/uptime is the measure of the degree to which the broadband access network including ISP Node is operable and not in a state of failure or outage at any point of time for all users Service availability/Uptime = (Total operational hours – Total Downtime hrs)*100 / Total operational hours
	Total downtime for all users, including the LAN switches, Routers, Servers, Etc at ISP Node and connectivity to upstream service provider are to be included
	Planned outages for routine maintenance of the system are excluded from the calculation of service availability/uptime
Benchmark	- 98%
Audit Procedure	IMRB Auditors collected and verified call centre records pertaining to -Total operational hrs -Total downtime hrs The above mentioned data was obtained and verified separately for three days in which the live measurement was carried out, Month in which audit was carried out Also, verification of old records was carried out

Dauataut	
Packet loss Perichinark	× 1 70
Audit Procedure	Packet loss is the percentage of packets lost to total packets transmitted between two <b>WSB-Auditorsterlerferfases verifierferfast return percenter in the brocket and the product of the</b>
Computational Methodology as	The packet to synthetic the second se
	Service provider needs to carry out such tests daily during Time Consistent Busy Hour(TCBH)
	and report the average results for the month in the performance monitoring report to TRAI
	Minimum sample reference points for each service area shall be three in number or multiple reference points if required
	Hence Packet loss is computed by the formula - (Total number of ping packets lost during the period/Total number of ping packets transmitted)* 100



Network Latency	
	Latency is the measure of duration of a round trip for a data packet between specific source and destination Router Port/Customer Premises Equipment (CPE). The round trip delay for the ping packets from ISP premises to the IGSP premises to the IGSP/NIXI gateway and to the nearest NAP port abroad are measured by computing delay for <b>1000 pings of 64 bytes</b> <b>each</b> (Pings are to be sent subsequent to acknowledgement received for the same for previous ping)
Computational Methodology as per QoS definition	Service provider needs to carry out such tests daily during Time Consistent Busy Hour(TCBH) and report the average results for the month in the performance monitoring report to TRAI
	Minimum sample reference points for each service area shall be three in number or multiple reference points if required Hence the formula for network latency would be Network latency for X days= Total round trip time for all the ping packets transmitted in X days /No of days during the period
Benchmark	<ul> <li>&lt; 120 msec from user reference point at POP/ISP Node to International Gateway</li> <li>&lt; 350 msec from User reference point at ISP Gateway Node to International nearest NAP port (Terrestrial)</li> <li>&lt; 800 msec from User reference point at ISP Gateway Node to International nearest Nap port (Satellite)</li> </ul>
Audit Procedure	<ul> <li>IMRB Auditors collected and verified call centre records pertaining to <ul> <li>Records maintained for ping tests conducted</li> <li>Smoked ping test (wherever available) results</li> <li>Results of live ping tests conducted during three day live measurement and month of Audit (During peak hours)</li> <li>Live ping tests were conducting by selecting a minimum of three user reference test points at POP/ISP Node in each circle</li> </ul></li></ul>

\*\*\*\*\*

